

## FORM520: SUBMISSION ON RESOURCE CONSENT APPLICATIONS

SECTION 96 RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT (RMA) 1991

TO: Consents Hearings  
Environment Canterbury  
PO Box 345  
Christchurch 8140

Ph: (03) 353 9007 Fax: (03) 365 3194

OR: Email: [hearings@ecan.govt.nz](mailto:hearings@ecan.govt.nz)

OR: submit by completing an on-line form at:  
<https://www.ecan.govt.nz/do-it-online/resource-consents/notifications-and-submissions/notified-consents/>.

CUSTOMER SERVICES	
EC - CHCH	
FILE REF:	ACTION INFO
DOCUMENT No.:	
27 NOV 2020	
TIME RECEIVED:	

**SUBMISSIONS CLOSE: 5.00pm FRIDAY 27 NOVEMBER 2020**

### A. PERSON/GROUP/ORGANISATION MAKING SUBMISSION

Full name of submitter:	Beatrice Elisabeth Thompson		
Postal address for Service:		Postcode:	
Contact Phone:	Private:	Work:	Cell:
Email Address:			
Contact Person:	Beatrice		

Information about this resource consent process, including any details relating to a hearing will be sent via email

☐: - Please tick this box if you do not wish to receive communications via email.

Name of applicant:	<b>TAGGART EARTHMOVING LIMITED</b>
Site Address:	<b>RANGIORA RACECOURSE, 309 WEST BELT, RANGIORA</b>

#### 1. Applications to: Canterbury Regional Council

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CRC204106 – land use to excavate                    | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CRC204107 discharge contaminants to air |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CRC204143 - discharge contaminants to land                     | <input type="checkbox"/> CRC211629 – water permit                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I/We support the above application                             | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I/We oppose the above application       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I/We are neutral to the application(neither support or oppose) |   |

#### 2. Applications to: Waimakariri District Council

- |   |
|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> RC205104 – land use to establish, maintain and operate an aggregate quarry |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I/We support the above application   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I/We oppose the above application                               |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I/We are neutral to the application(neither support or oppose)             |

3. The specific parts of the application that my/our submission relates to are: (detail the specific activities or effects)

I oppose the application to establish, maintain and operate an aggregate quarry because of the health hazard to all the residents in the area, but especially the elderly and very young.

4. The reasons for making my/our submission are: (state in summary the nature of your submission, giving reasons)

The Celica dust is not visible and will be carried throughout a huge area affecting the health of everyone, esp. the young and older people and babies. It has been proven the Celica dust can cause cancer. For young families it will be increased doctors' visits & bills, which will cause added stress on low income families. This is a concern for at least the next two generations. Close by to the proposed site are 3 childcare facilities, 3 schools, 2 Retirement Villages w/ hospitals and the Mater Unity Medical Centre.

The dust will also stick to our homes & buildings. We enjoy growing our own vegetables which will be affected by the dust. We feel that our new home which was meant to be our peaceful retirement is now in jeopardy. House prices will decrease.

5. I/we wish the consent authority to make the following decision: (give details, including the general nature of any conditions sought.)

Do not allow the quarry to go ahead!

6. ☐ I/we **do** wish to be heard in support of my/our submission\*

(Note: this means you wish to speak in support of your submission at the hearing)

\*If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing ☐ Yes ☐ No

- ☒ I/we **do not** wish to be heard in support of my/our submission

(Note: this means you cannot speak at the hearing, however you will retain your right to appeal any decision to the Environment Court on any decision made by the Councils.)

7. ☐ I/we **am/are** a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.

I/We am/am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that a) adversely affects the environment; and

b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

8. I/we **request**, pursuant to section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, that you delegate your functions, powers and duties to hear and decide the application to 1 or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority. ☐ Yes ☒ No

Signature

Date

25 November 90

Notes to the submitter:

1. The person making this submission must send a copy to the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after serving Environment Canterbury
2. A list of all submissions received will be provided to the applicant
3. Please be aware that third parties may request a copy of submissions received and that request is subject to the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987.
4. If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.
5. If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.
6. Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):
  - a. it is frivolous or vexatious;
  - b. it discloses no reasonable or relevant case;
  - c. it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further;
  - d. it contains offensive language;
  - e. it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.