Kia ora

Please find attached a PDF of my submission, prepared using your on-line form then scanned.

Any questions, please get back to me

Nga mihi David Hawke



RMA FORM 5

Submission on publicly notified Proposed Change 1 to Chapter 6 of the Canterbury Regional Policy Statement

CLAUSE 6 OF SCHEDULE 1, RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991

Note to person making submission:

The submission period for Proposed Change 1 to Chapter 6 of the Canterbury Regional Policy Statement closes at **5pm Monday 15 February 2021**.

To return this form you can:

- email it to mailroom@ecan.govt.nz (subject line: Chapter 6 CRPS submission)
- post it to Customer Services, Environment Canterbury, PO Box 345, Christchurch 8140

Your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- It is frivolous or vexatious.
- It discloses no reasonable or relevant case.
- It would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further.
- It contains offensive language.
- It is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

To: Environment Canterbury

1. Submitter details

Please note: all fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required.

Name of submitter(s)*	
Submitter address*	
City/Town*	Postcode*
Contact name (if different from αbove)	
Contact organisation	
Contact email address	
Contact address (if different from above)	
City/Town	Postcode
Contact phone number021 0854 0215	

Please note that by making a submission your personal details, including your name and contact details, will be made publicly available in accordance with the Resource Management Act 1991. While all information in your submission will be included in papers which are available to the media and the public, your submission will be used only for the purpose of this process.

2. Trade competition declaration* (Please tick the statement that applies)

I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

✓ No ____ Yes

If yes: I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that

(a) adversely effects the environment; and

(b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.



No

Note: If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

3. Submission details*

I am enclosing further supporting information in addition to this submission form.

Provision to which my/our submission relates: (Please specify the provision or other aspect of the proposed change your submission relates to)	My/our position on this provision is: (Select one option)	My/our reasons for supporting/opposing the amended provisions are:	The decision I/we want is: (Please specify if you want the provision to be retained, amended or deleted)
The wording of Policy 6.3.12	 ✓ Oppose in part ○ Oppose in full ○ Support in part ○ Support in full 	Policy 6.3.12 does not presently meet the expectations of 6.4.16, which states: "Transport infrastructure appropriately manages network congestion, dependency of private vehicles is reduced, emissions and energy use from vehicles is reduced, and transport safety is enhanced." Focusing on the changes affecting Rolleston, the new greenfield areas are even further from the town centre than existing developments, and there is no formal provision for either active transport (eg separated cycleways) or public transport. Consequently, dependency on private vehicles will be increased (not reduced), vehicle emissions & energy use from vehicles will increase, and safety of those biking or walking will suffer,	Policy 6.3.12 needs to have a phrase inserted that requires no net change in total private vehicle use across each of the towns concerned (Rolleston, Rangiora etc). Expressing the amendment in terms of the desired outcome will incentivise councils and developers to come up with innovative approaches that meet the expectations of 6.4.16. Without this change to 6.3.12, the amendments to "Our Space 2018-48" will not meet the requirements of Objective 8a of NPS on Urban Development 2020
The boundaries for urban expansion in Christchurch city (Map A)	Oppose in part Oppose in full Support in part Support in full	Christchurch city has spread through Halswell (where I live) in a way that increases both traffic density and reliance on private motor vehicles, and makes walking & biking unpleasant and dangerous. The pace of development post-2011 has also diminshed community connectedness, and placed the load for managing this squarely on voluntary community organisations.	Retain the Christchurch city boundary unchanged.

2. Submission details*

As above	Oppose in part				
	Oppose in full				
	Support in part				
	Support in full				
		~			
	Oppose in part				
	Oppose in full				
	Support in part				
	Support in full				
		, D J Hawke	4 February 2021		
Signature of submitter (or person authorise	ed to sign on behalf of subn	nitter)	Date		
Note: A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.					
			Environment Canterbury		
haveyoursay.ecan.govt.nz/chapter6CRPS			Regional Council Kaunihera Taiao ki Waitaha		