

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

**CON520: SUBMISSION ON RESOURCE
CONSENT APPLICATION**

(SECTION 96 RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991)

FILE: CRC184166, CRC200500, CRC201366,
CRC201367, CRC201368, CRC203016TO: Consents Hearings
Environment Canterbury
P O Box 345
CHRISTCHURCH 8140Email: hearings@ecan.govt.nz
Ph: (03) 353 9007 Fax: (03) 365 3194Closing Date: **5.00pm Tuesday 21 April 2020**

OR: submit by completing an on-line form at:

<https://www.ecan.govt.nz/do-it-online/resource-consents/notifications-and-submissions/notified-consents/>**Person(s) /Group /Organisation Making the Submission**

Full Name of Submitter(s):

Sylvia Mort

Postal Address For Service:

Contact Phone No(s):

Fax/Email:

☐ Please tick this box if you do not want to receive any communication via emailContact
Person:Sylvia Mort**Name of applicant:** Bathurst Coal Limited**Applications to Environment Canterbury**

All of the applications as listed below OR only those as ticked.

- | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------|---|
| ☐ | CRC184166 | Land use to undertake earthworks |
| ☐ | CRC200500 | Discharge contaminants to air (fugitive dust) |
| ☐ | CRC201366 | To take surface water from artificial ponds, divert surface water and dam water |
| ☐ | CRC201367 | To take groundwater |
| ☐ | CRC201368 | Discharge sediment, drainage water and residual contaminants to water |
| ☐ | CRC203016 | Discharge contaminants to land and to water |



I / We support the application



I / We oppose the application

I / We are neutral to the application
(neither support or oppose)

I / We do wish to be heard in support of my/our submission*

(Note: this means you wish to speak in support of your submission at the hearing)

*If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing ☐ Yes ☐ No

OR



I / We do not wish to be heard in support of my/our submission

(Note: this means that you cannot speak at the hearing, however you will retain your right to appeal any decision to the Environment Court on any decision made by the Council.)

1/9

7



*If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing

OR

2.

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is no handwriting or printed text on the paper.

Please attach additional pages if required

3

[illegible]

29

Please attach additional pages if required

4. ☐ I am not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
- ☐ I am a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991; and
- ☐ I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
- ☐ I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Signature of submitter or duly authorised agent on behalf of submitter

Date

16 / 5 / 2020

Notes to the submitter:

1. The person making this submission must send a copy to the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after serving Environment Canterbury
2. A list of all submissions received will be provided to the applicant.
3. Privacy: Please note that submissions are public. Your name and submission (excluding your contact details) will be included in papers that are available to the media and public which may include publication on the Council website. Your submission will only be used for the purpose of the notified resource consent process

The address for service of the applicant is:

Applicant: Bathurst Coal Limited
Address for service: Bathurst Coal Limited
Attn: Edwina White

16/5/20

I am disgusted at the thought of some people fighting to close down this mine. It's going to have a huge & extremely detrimental affect to local towns, villages, and to all the people who rely on small and large businesses to the greater area especially Darfield.. There are men & (women?) who work at the mine who rely extremely heavily on the standard weekly wage available. Thanks to the employment at the mine, they have relied on the stability for their families, & to be able support mortgages & living costs. I cannot imagine there will be a huge impact on the area environmentally (as far as I can see)to its detriment. The mine is out of the way of any major towns; which the closest is ~~4/9~~ Christchurch and approximately 50 km

away? I understand there are several large companies that use coal for its boilers to continue producing their products for sale to local families and the whole of New Zealand.

I've done a little bit of research (although I'm not an expert by any stretch of the imagination) & I believe, that coal is actually far better than other methods (& more financially friendly) than oil or diesel.. these companies are not entirely local they stretch out the width and breath of the greater part of the South Island and maybe even further away information regarding this I found difficult to find.

Support local they all say! Well some of these businesses (relying on the coal ^{5/9} produced from the mine), are doing just

relying on the continuation of this mine) that you are planning to close down the mine?, all because the so-called greenies are thinking of the local environment. Most of these people don't even live in this area and have absolutely no idea of the whole Picture as far as the communities are concerned.

the families directly affected will be displaced; wives, husbands, and children- who will be so stressfully affected by, yet again another move, another withdrawal from local schools and businesses relying on the employees of the mine. Come on people think of the grassroots of The ^{1/a} whole community, think of the working man working. To support his family. I

simply cannot imagine after all the years of this mine working, the environment be so badly affected. the mine is situated up the back hills have a tiny community called Colgate. Could U possibly understand where the name came from? it's a New Zealand commodity, and has been used for years and years, why knock it on the head now? With the state of the world as it currently is and unstable as (it has been in the oil industry per se), at least we know the coal is there for a more stable future.

I would imagine many of these (what they call "greenies") are fighting against the renewal of the lease don't even live in this area or close it!! How do they know how it works? How did the

greenies know what sort of impact it would be on the smaller and greater environment/Community and, more particularly, the people if they don't live in the area?

Sure there may be one or two. But how can people in other parts of New Zealand vote against it even if they are "greenies" Unless they are all clear about how I tightknit community and a slightly greater community that stretches out as far as the field and south really work? This is a rule community that's relied on the mine for years and years and years come on people find a bigger fight to fight and I will be the first one to sign a petition to support you and that cause.

9/9