

From:
To: [Hearings](#)
Cc: [Edwina White](#)
Subject: Notifications Consent Submission: Group 542
Date: Monday, 18 May 2020 6:51:09 AM

Group ID: 542

Consent name: Bathurst Coal Limited

Consent number: CRC184166, CRC200500, CRC201366, CRC201367, CRC201368, CRC203016, RC185622

Name: Jennifer Voss

Care of:

Mailing address 1:

Mailing address 2:

Suburb:

Town/City:

Post-code:

Country:

Mobile phone:

Work phone:

Home phone:

Email:

Contact by email: Yes

Is a trade competitor: No

Directly affected: No

Consent support/hearing details

- CRC184166: oppose | NOT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- CRC200500: oppose | NOT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- CRC201366: oppose | NOT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- CRC201367: oppose | NOT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- CRC201368: oppose | NOT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- CRC203016: oppose | NOT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- RC185622: oppose | NOT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing

Reasons comment:

I was very disappointed to hear of this submission for two reasons. Firstly the

environmental reasons. We (and Fonterra) need to move away from coal use as it is damaging to the environment, as is open source mining. I also believe that this is damaging and polluting the waterways, which we are trying to improve, and they are now planning to allow more waste to enter them! No thank you. Secondly, the company themselves have a very poor record for managing the area they have correctly, and already have a nearly 30 consent violations. If they are unable to act responsibly with the area they already have, how can they be expected to do this with greater area? I firmly believe this is a mistake.

Consent comment:

A flat no across the board