

From:
To: [Hearings](#)
Cc: [Edwina White](#)
Subject: Notifications Consent Submission: Group 542
Date: Thursday, 21 May 2020 9:43:54 AM

Group ID: 542

Consent name: Bathurst Coal Limited

Consent number: CRC184166, CRC200500, CRC201366, CRC201367, CRC201368, CRC203016, RC185622

Name: Jacinta O'Reilly

Care of: Jacinta O'Reilly

Mailing address 1:

Mailing address 2:

Suburb:

Town/City:

Post-code:

Country:

Mobile phone

Work phone:

Home phone:

Email:

Contact by email: Yes

Is a trade competitor: No

Directly affected: No

Consent support/hearing details

- CRC184166: oppose | WANT to be heard | WILL consider a joint hearing
- CRC200500: oppose | WANT to be heard | WILL consider a joint hearing
- CRC201366: oppose | WANT to be heard | WILL consider a joint hearing
- CRC201367: oppose | WANT to be heard | WILL consider a joint hearing
- CRC201368: oppose | WANT to be heard | WILL consider a joint hearing
- CRC203016: oppose | WANT to be heard | WILL consider a joint hearing
- RC185622: oppose | WANT to be heard | WILL consider a joint hearing

Reasons comment:

I oppose the granting of consent because of the substantial and real danger posed by a

company with a history of deviance from consent requirements should be allowed to continue operating and to expand operations in an area where there is an endangered and unique species, the Canterbury Mudfish (*Neochanna burrowsius*) dependent on the local environment. It is a matter of global significance that such an environment should be endangered. As a district that has accepted the reality of a global climate crisis, and therefore the threat to life of this crisis, it is crucial that we act in the short and the long term to protect all species. It is inconceivable that allowing actions that mean that short-term threat and long-term threat to this species and all other species is anything but a failure to "to facilitate sustainable development in the Canterbury region."

Consent comment:

The whole of the application should be declined and remediation of land already damaged should be required. Any level of consent granted, which we would be disappointed to see, should entail adequate safeguards such as actual monetary bonds to ensure the company has the resources to remediate damage in the event of a company collapse, an eventuality more likely now as the effects of COVID 19 become apparent.