

From:
To: [Hearings](#)
Cc: [Edwina White](#)
Subject: Notifications Consent Submission: Group 542
Date: Thursday, 14 May 2020 6:41:04 PM

Group ID: 542

Consent name: Bathurst Coal Limited

Consent number: CRC184166, CRC200500, CRC201366, CRC201367, CRC201368, CRC203016, RC185622

Name: Rosemary Neave

Care of:

Mailing address 1:

Mailing address 2:

Suburb:

Town/City:

Post-code:

Country:

Mobile phone:

Work phone:

Home phone:

Email:

Contact by email: Yes

Is a trade competitor: No

Directly affected: No

Consent support/hearing details

- CRC184166: oppose | NOT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- CRC200500: oppose | NOT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- CRC201366: oppose | NOT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- CRC201367: oppose | NOT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- CRC201368: oppose | NOT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- CRC203016: oppose | NOT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- RC185622: oppose | NOT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing

Reasons comment:

1. The length of these consents is totally unreasonable: These consents are predicated on

the benefits of supplying local users of this product. This at a time when more and more pressure is being brought to bear on Dairy Companies to find alternative sources of energy because of the need to reduce our reliance on fossil fuels because of the Climate and Ecological Crisis. Granting these consents for the requested time is likely to leave the Coalgate mine without a market. 2. The companies that are supplied locally have already said they want to move away from coal. What is their timeframe - that is the timeframe that should be part of this application. 3. we have declared a climate emergency, and while the RMA does not yet allow you to take this into account, it soon will - giving consent for the length of time requested is outrageous. 4. Require a bond so that any damage to the environment as a result of this mine can be remediated. 5. The Sewlyn catchment is an already seriously degraded environment, this expansion will further add to this at a time when we are endeavouring to clean up our rivers and waterways. 6. We need to urgently protect what remains of the biodiversity of this area. 7. Thank you for this public notification. I say no, or at the very least a time limited transitional expansion linked to the time frame of the companies they supply moving away from coal firing.

Consent comment:
(nothing entered)