From:

To: <u>Hearings</u>
Cc: <u>Edwina White</u>

Subject: Notifications Consent Submission: Group 542

Date: Sunday, 17 May 2020 9:01:40 PM

Group ID: 542

Consent name: Bathurst Coal Limited

Consent number: CRC184166, CRC200500, CRC201366, CRC201367, CRC201368,

CRC203016, RC185622

Name: Julie Mugford

Care of: Julie Mugford

Mailing address 1:

Mailing address 2:

Suburb:

Town/City:

Post-code:

Country:

Mobile phone

Work phone:

Home phone:

Email

Contact by email: Yes

Is a trade competitor: No

Directly affected: No

Consent support/hearing details

- CRC184166: oppose | NOT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- CRC200500: oppose | NOT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- CRC201366: oppose | NOT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- CRC201367: oppose | NOT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- CRC201368: oppose | NOT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- CRC203016: oppose | NOT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- RC185622: oppose | NOT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing

Reasons comment:

I strongly oppose this resource consent application! First, coal kills the climate. We must

stop producing and using coal if we are to bring emissions down. The low-grade coal from this mine is used locally for industrial heating, a use for which climate-friendly alternatives exist. Currently the Resource Management Act does not allow 'climate impact' as grounds for refusing a consent. However, this RMA clause is being reviewed and is likely to be changed to be compatible with the Zero Carbon Act. In 2019 ECan committed to declaring and acting on a climate and ecological emergency. As elected representatives, your primary responsibility is to the health of your local population and whenua. Second, the mine supports an unsustainable model of land-use. 90% of this low-grade coal goes to Fonterra dairy factories. Their commercial model (the intensive production of low price/high volume commodities) requires a degree of irrigation, fertiliser use and livestock overstocking that is harmful to both the natural environment and human health. Calls for a change to this model are growing steadily stronger and more mainstream. Third, disregard for environmental degradation and threat to biodiversity. Bathurst have repeatedly breached their existing consents for discharge to local waterways that are the habitat of the endangered Canterbury mudfish. We have no confidence they will not continue to do so. Fourth, the negative impact on local environment and community. As well as the impact on indigenous biodiversity and cultural values, Selwyn D.C's own Notification decision report identified the impact of noise and dust, pollution of local waterway, landscape effects and overall amenity values as being more than minor. Bathurst has also repeatedly failed to provide the required information on these issues. Overall this is a terrible activity for our environment and community and totally against the needs of the current times. We urgently need to be investing time and resources into activities that reduce greenhouse gas emissions and aid biodiversity. Not coal!

Consent comment:

For all these reasons, therefore, we argue that Batbhurst's application for resource consents to enable it to extend its coal- mining operations at Canterbury Mines should not be approved.