From:

To: <u>Hearings</u>
Cc: <u>Edwina White</u>

Subject: Notifications Consent Submission: Group 542

Date: Tuesday, 5 May 2020 12:08:23 PM

Group ID: 542

Consent name: Bathurst Coal Limited

Consent number: CRC184166, CRC200500, CRC201366, CRC201367, CRC201368,

CRC203016, RC185622

Name: Jenny Easton

Care of: Jenny Easton

Mailing address 1:

Mailing address 2:

Suburb:

Town/City:

Post-code:

Country:

Mobile phone:

Work phone:

Home phone:

Email:

Contact by email: No

Is a trade competitor: No

Directly affected: No

Consent support/hearing details

- CRC184166: oppose | NOT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- CRC200500: oppose | NOT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- CRC201366: oppose | NOT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- CRC201367: oppose | NOT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- CRC201368: oppose | NOT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- CRC203016: oppose | NOT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- RC185622: oppose | NOT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing

Reasons comment:

It is completely irresponsible to continue using coal for thermal heat. The IPCC 1.5 report

2018 says we need to reduce greenhouse gas emissions by 45% by 2030 to have a 60% chance of keepingthe global temperature to less than 1.5 degrees Celsius. By continuing to burn coal you are putting the huge burden of climate breakdown on future generations, present coastal communities, and the environment. There are alternative methods of heating milkpowder, and if they cost more than that is the true cost which doesnt put the externalities onto the future. In addition the intensive dairy farming in Caterbury is causing environmental damage, and is not an industry that ECAN should be supporting. The Zero Carbon Act also requires a reduction in GHG, so even though the RMA hasnt yet been revised so that you have an easy legal way of not granting this consent, you should be able to anticipate this situation. Current non compliance by Bathurst. I worked for Tasman District Council from 1995 for 15 years and we continually had problems of noncompliance and bullying of downwind neighbours with Talleys, who now are partners with Bathurst, so I am not surprised that this company repeatedly breach their existing conditions. The fines, if they get them, are just part of their business model. They dont deserve to be able to expand their production, as they have not shown envronmental stewardship. I am suspicious of the mussel shell mix with coal clinker and ash as the toxic heavy metals in the clinker wont break down and I suspect the leachate in the future will be toxic. That creates a contaminated site. Excavation of coal releases methane into the environment, and burning it discharges PM 2.5 as well as SOX and NOX. There is absolutely no justification for burning coal after the Paris Agreement, and IPCC report out in 2018. ECAN needs to be reducing its GHG emissions, not permitting more.

Consent comment:

Not grant the consents to extend the coal mining operations near Coalgate.