

From:
To: [Hearings](#)
Cc: [Edwina White](#)
Subject: Notifications Consent Submission: Group 542
Date: Sunday, 17 May 2020 12:37:31 PM

Group ID: 542

Consent name: Bathurst Coal Limited

Consent number: CRC184166, CRC200500, CRC201366, CRC201367, CRC201368, CRC203016, RC185622

Name: Melanie Dixon

Care of: Melanie Dixon

Mailing address 1:

Mailing address 2:

Suburb

Town/City:

Post-code:

Country:

Mobile phone:

Work phone:

Home phone:

Email:

Contact by email: Yes

Is a trade competitor: No

Directly affected: No

Consent support/hearing details

- CRC184166: oppose | NOT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- CRC200500: oppose | NOT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- CRC201366: oppose | NOT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- CRC201367: oppose | NOT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- CRC201368: oppose | NOT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- CRC203016: oppose | NOT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- RC185622: oppose | WANT to be heard | WILL consider a joint hearing

Reasons comment:

The applicant does not appear to have identified all wetlands that maybe – or already have

– been affected by the mining activities. If considered in total the wetlands within the proposed mine expansion area – including gully wetlands - should be considered high value and impacts on them should be avoided given the level of wetland loss in Canterbury. Wetlands downstream are of high significance and support mudfish – a high value ecosystem that can easily be degraded by sediment.

Consent comment:

(nothing entered)