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Terms of Reference

Establishment
This Committee of Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury) is established pursuant to the Local Government Act 2002 in accordance with the Canterbury Water Management Strategy 2009 (CWMS). The CWMS was established by the Canterbury Mayoral Forum.

Purpose and Functions
The purpose and function of the CWMS Regional Committee is to:

1) Monitor progress of the implementation of the CWMS across the Canterbury Region; and
2) Provide advice to Environment Canterbury on regional issues associated with implementation of the CWMS.

Objectives
1) Periodic review of the implementation of the CWMS by Environment Canterbury and other regional agencies;
2) Assessment of how well the implementation of the Regional Implementation Programme and the Zone Implementation Programmes across the region are meeting CWMS targets, including the identification of gaps;
3) Identification of opportunities for alignment, prioritisation and efficiencies in delivery across all of the Zone Implementation Programmes;
4) Identification, in partnership with Zone Committees and stakeholders, of issues that are of regional importance and would benefit from a regional approach;
5) Leading regional initiatives, such as the use of working groups, with regular reporting to Environment Canterbury, Zone Committees and the Canterbury Mayoral Forum. This can include but is not limited to:
   • Infrastructure development requiring significant investment and/or involving wider regional stakeholder involvement;
   • Regional biodiversity;
   • A co-ordinated approach to land use and water quality;
   • Kaitiakitanga.
6) Oversight of communication on the CWMS to regional stakeholders and audiences.

Membership
The CWMS Regional Committee will comprise:

- Two elected members/Commissioners appointed by Environment Canterbury
- One elected member appointed by Christchurch City Council;
- Three nominated representatives appointed by the combined Territorial Authorities (one from each sub region – North, Mid, and South Canterbury);
• One representative of Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu;
• Three representatives appointed by Ngāi Tahu (South, Mid and North Canterbury);
• The Chairs of each Zone committee or an appointee from each Zone as its representative for
  the triennium;
• Five – seven selected community members who collectively bring experience and expertise
  in the following sectors:
  • Fisheries;
  • Energy/Electricity;
  • Indigenous biodiversity;
  • Primary industry/agriculture;
  • Recreation;
  • Regional Development including Tourism.
Two Central Government representatives and a representative of the Canterbury District Health
Board will be invited to participate in the Committee with observer status.

Appointment process
Environment Canterbury will appoint its own representatives. The territorial authorities,
and Ngāi Tahu will nominate their representatives and the appointments will be
confirmed by Environment Canterbury.

A Working Party of the Mayoral Forum including a representative of Ngāi Tahu will:
1) Select the community members. They will advertise the roles, and names will also come
from collaborative discussions with stakeholder interests; and
2) Prepare recommendations to take to Environment Canterbury. Key
considerations will be ensuring Committee interest and geographic balance to
enable productive dialogue to occur.

Alternates
Proxies or alternates are not permitted.

Quorum
At least 15 members of the CWMS Regional Committee shall be present to form a quorum.

Officers
The Chair of CWMS Regional Committee will be nominated by the Chair of Environment
Canterbury in consultation with the Mayors of the Territorial Authorities and the Ngai Tahu
Kaiwhakahaere.

Term
Members are appointed for a term of three years and can be reappointed for a second term of
three years at the discretion of Environment Canterbury, upon request by the relevant nominated
bodies.

Financial Delegations
None

Operating Philosophy
1) The CWMS Regional Committee will at all times operate in accordance with the
requirements of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and
will observe the following principles: Give effect to the principles, targets and goals of the
2) Be culturally sensitive, observing tikanga Maori;
3) Apply a Ki uta ki tai (from the mountains to the sea) approach;
4) Give consideration to and balance the interests of all water users in the region in debate and decision making;
5) Work in a collaborative and co-operative manner using their best endeavours to reach solutions that balance the interests of all sectors of the community;
6) Promote a philosophy of integrated water management to achieve the multiple objectives of the range of stakeholders with interests in water;
7) Seek consensus in decision-making where at all possible. Where, despite the best endeavours unanimous agreement cannot be reached, a decision may be taken, if in the view of the significant majority it represents the best interest of all sectors of the community;
8) In the event that neither unanimous agreement is able to be reached nor a significant majority view formed, in the first instance seek assistance from an external facilitator to further Committee discussions and deliberations. Where the Committee is unable to reach consensus despite having sought assistance and exhausted all avenues, it must recommend that the Canterbury Regional Council disband it and appoint a new Committee.

Meeting frequency and Remuneration Guidelines

1) The Committee is formed on a three-yearly basis.
2) The Committee will meet at least four times per annum and with workshops and additional meetings, including of working groups, as required.
3) Remuneration for members will be paid in the form of an honorarium currently set at the following levels:
   a. Appointed members - $4,000 pa
   b. Deputy Chair - $5,000 pa
   c. Chair - $6,000 pa.

Staff or elected members of Territorial Authorities are not eligible for remuneration.
4) Reasonable travel expenses will be reimbursed.

Committee Support

The CWMS Regional Committee shall be supported by staff from Environment Canterbury.

Working Groups

The CWMS Regional Committee may at any time form one or more sub-committees or working groups. These sub-committees or working groups may (with the approval of the CWMS Regional Committee) co-opt outside persons as members. The quorum of any sub-committee or working group shall be 50% of the total membership plus one member.
REGISTER OF INTERESTS – CWMS REGIONAL COMMITTEE

As at 11 August 2020

Zone Committee members need to ensure that the information contained in this register is accurate and complete. Information noted below was noted as at 11 February 2020. All members are to advise updates and confirm correctness of information, including any conflicts in relation to agenda items.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Committee Member Interests</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Example person     | • Dairy farming/grazing  
|                    | • Partner of X holdings (with spouse)  
|                    | • X Family Trust – trustee (spouse is also trustee)  
|                    | • Shareholder (less than 10%), X Irrigation Ltd  
|                    | • Land with beneficial interest – 100 Smithfield Road. 200 Town Road  
|                    | • Consents held – CRC123123, CRC345345  
|                    | • Member Federated Farmers  
|                    | • Contract 2019 with Environment Canterbury (with a value of $X)  
|                    | • Contract 2020-2022 with Ashburton District Council (with a value of $X)                                        |
| Canard, Hugh       | None                                                                                                           |
| Cr Cotter, Pauline | Chair, CCC 3 Waters Infrastructure Committee                                                                  |
| Cushnie, Angela    |                                                                                                                                               |
| Cr McKay, Claire   | • Shareholder WIL  
|                    | • Holder of resource consents                                                                                        |
| Cr McKay, Angus    | • Dairy farming/grazing  
|                    | • Shareholder MHV Irrigation (less than 1%)  
|                    | • Councillor, Ashburton District Council  
|                    | • Holds many consents                                                                                                                                 |
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Affiliations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Cr Pauling, Craig | • Employee of Boffa Miskell Ltd  
                           • Trustee of Te Ara Kākāriki  
                           • Trustee of Rapaki IAZB Ahu Whenua Trust  
                           • Member of Osbournes Drain Working Party  
                           • Member of Te Whariki Mana Whenua Advisory Group |
| Demeter, Jane   | None                                                                        |
| Dobbs, Loretta  | None                                                                        |
| Heath, Trudy    | • General Manager, Te Ao Tūroa                                             |
| Herbert, Rima    | • Banks Peninsula Zone Committee  
                           • Tenant on Oashore farm, Banks Peninsula with husband John Stroh  
                           • Restoration ecologist – work part time and volunteer in Wairewa catchment  
                           • Husband works on restoration projects in Wairewa catchment |
| Hoskins, Barney | • Lower Waitaki                                                              |
| Howard, Ted      | • Solution-Multipliers Ltd  
                           • Hutton’s Shearwaer Charitable Trust |
| Humphrey, Alistair Dr | • Health                                                                 |
| Kirk, Phil      | None                                                                        |
| Latham, Carolyne | • Farmer  
                           • Ag Consultant (FEPs, catchment groups, LINZ)  
                           • Silver Ferns Farm shareholder  
                           • Farmlands shareholder |
<p>| Logan, Hugh      | None                                                                        |
| Millar, Lucy     | None                                                                        |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Conflicts/Positions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Millichamp, Ross</td>
<td>• May get minor income from operation of small lifestyle un-intensive property</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preece, John</td>
<td>• Environmental Consultant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• 442 Conway Flat Road – beneficial interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Director, Wadi Nimrin Enterprises Ltd, T/A Wetlands NZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor, Rowan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tirikatene-Nash, Nukuroa</td>
<td>• North Canterbury Regional representative (no conflicts identified)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Waitaki rep</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wakefield, Benita</td>
<td>• Chair, Banks Peninsula Zone Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• TRONT HSNO Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• TRONT representative, Aoraki/Canterbury Conservation Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wanhalla, Les</td>
<td>• Trustee, Central Plains Water</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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1. Karakia

2. Apologies

3. Conflicts of Interest
4. Minutes

MINUTES OF THE CANTERBURY WATER MANAGEMENT STRATEGY REGIONAL COMMITTEE
HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER
ENVIRONMENT CANTERBURY
200 TUAM STREET, CHRISTCHURCH
ON TUESDAY, 11 FEBRUARY 2020 AT 1.05 PM

Contents

Opening Karakia

1. Apologies
2. Conflicts of Interest
3. Minutes of previous meeting held 10 December 2019
4. Matters Arising

Items for Discussion:
5. 2019 CWMS Regional Committee Annual Report
6. 2020 Challenges and Opportunities
7. Watershed 2020 (guidance sought)

Items for Information:
8. Updates for information (Fit for Future/Territorial Authorities; Central Government initiatives and timeframes; RMA amendments – issues and options; and Environment Canterbury’s submission
9. Zone Committee, Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu and Central Government Updates
10. Facilitator’s Update
11. Any other business
12. Next meeting

Closing Karakia
Present

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chair:</th>
<th>Hugh Logan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community Representatives:</td>
<td>Hugh Canard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jane Demeter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ross Millichamp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone Representatives:</td>
<td>Carolyne Latham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Waimakariri</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Les Wanahalla</td>
<td>Christchurch West-Melton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benita Wakefield</td>
<td>Selwyn-Waihora</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Preece</td>
<td>Hurunui/Waiau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barney Hoskins</td>
<td>Lower Waitaki</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ted Howard</td>
<td>Kaikoura</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rima Herber</td>
<td>Banks Peninsula</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phil Driver</td>
<td>Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christchurch City Council</td>
<td>Cr Pauline Cotter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District Council Representatives:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter McIlraith</td>
<td>South Canterbury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr Angus McKay</td>
<td>Mid Canterbury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu</td>
<td>Trudy Heath</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ngā Rūnanga</td>
<td>Nukuroa Tirikatene-Nash (North Canterbury)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment Canterbury:</td>
<td>Cr Claire McKay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cr Craig Pauling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canterbury District Health Board</td>
<td>Dr Alistair Humphrey</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Absent

| Nick Vincent                   | Ministry for the Environment |
| Upper Waitaki representative   |                                 |

In attendance

Environment Canterbury: Lesley Woudberg (Team Leader Zone Facilitator), Caroline Hart (CWMS), Stephen Bragg, (CWMS Tangata Whenua Facilitator), Frances Schmechel (Principal Biodiversity Advisor) and Shaun Burkett (Regional Lead, Biodiversity); and Elaine Greaves (Committee Advisor).

Opening Karakia

Cr Craig Pauling opened the meeting by acknowledging those who had travelled from within the region to attend today’s meeting and staff in attendance; and provided a karakia.

Welcome

Chair, Hugh Logan, welcomed everyone to the first meeting of 2020 – a year of change.

The Facilitator checked sufficient members were in attendance for a quorum, noting the Committee’s membership was currently in a state of flux. Confirmation of a refresh of expired appointments and territorial authority appointments would be confirmed at the Mayoral Forum to be held at the end of February 2020. Although the process of refreshing
membership would happen in the next few months, it should not impact on the operation of the Committee; and those present were thanked for their continuing contributions. The Committee would need to be mindful of changes/refresh over the next 2-3 months.

It was hoped that future membership would also include rūnanga representatives for north, mid and south Canterbury, as well as an observer from Land Information New Zealand (LINZ). In this regard it was noted that Nukuroa Tirikatene-Nash would be joining today’s meeting, representing North Canterbury Rūnanga.

Discussion took place around the need to keep the momentum of the Committee’s work going during the interregnum period; and the need for clarity for those attending meetings during this period.

The Canterbury Water Management Strategy Regional Committee agreed:

1. That for the purpose of clarity and continuity, the Terms of Reference be amended to reflect that incumbents remain in appointed positions until such time that a decision is made on their replacement.  
   Peter McIlraith/Phil Diver  
   CARRIED

1. **Apologies**

   Apologies for absence were received and accepted from Stuart Wilson and Loretta Dobbs. Cr Pauline Cotter gave an apology for early departure.

2. **Conflicts of interest**  
   Refer to pages 1-8 of the agenda

   A Register of Interests was circulated; and members were asked to amend accordingly, including any conflicts of interest in relation to current agenda items. It was noted that the information provided at today’s meeting would be populated into an embedded Register to be amended/confirmed at all future meetings.

   An explanatory report was provided for information. The rule of thumb was – if in doubt, record it. If necessary, advice would be sought regarding any concerns raised.

3. **Minutes of the previous meeting 10 December 2019**  
   Refer pages 9 to 16 of the agenda

   The Canterbury Water Management Strategy Regional Committee:

   1. Confirmed the minutes of its meeting held on 10 December 2019, subject to a minor amendment being made on page 14 (to change the word ‘recess’).  
      Ross Millichamp/Barney Hoskins  
      CARRIED

4. **Matters arising**
Enquiries had been made with LINZ regarding a representative attending future meeting as an observer. However, LINZ have yet to appoint a specific person.

The forward work programme would be discussed under items 6 and 8.

Trudy Heath arrived at 1.21pm and was absent for items 1-3 and part of item 4.

**Items for Discussion**

5. **2019 CWMS Regional Committee Annual Report**  
*Refer to page 17 of the agenda*

The Facilitator welcomed and noted comments from Committee members, advising the Chair would present the previously emailed document to Environment Canterbury Regional Council and discuss challenges going forward.

There was support for (in the future) international trends to be taken into account when considering challenges and opportunities and how they could impact (both positively and negatively) on Canterbury.

**The Canterbury Water Management Strategy Regional Committee:**

1. **Agreed by consensus, to receive and adopt its 2019 Annual Report.**

Item 8 was considered next in order to provide background to item 6 on a national level.

8. **Update on CWMS Fit for the Future Project and Key Central Government Initiatives**  
*Refer to page 25 of the agenda*

Caroline Hart (Senior Strategy Manager) provided an overview of updates on the following key initiatives, noting all proposals were works in progress:

- CWMS Fit for the Future Project implementation
- Action Plan for Healthy Waterways (Essential Freshwater discussion document)
- Review of Three Waters and the Water Services Regulator
- Resource Management System: A comprehensive review (issues and options paper)
- Resource Management Act Amendment
- New Zealand Biodiversity Strategy (discussion document)

The meeting paused momentarily at 1.35pm for Cr Pauling to welcome new Joint Committee member, Nukuroa Tirikatene-Nash (representing North Canterbury) to the meeting.

The Chair noted the process being outlined would achieve a coherent, supported and owned work programme. It was a shared commitment (recognised by the Mayoral
Forum) that would then be up to territorial authorities to determine how to implement and budget for the work to be done.

Considerable discussion took place and it was noted many actions for the 2025 goals were already under way. The Regional Committee’s role was to maintain strategic overview of the goals. Together with the Mayoral Forum, it had agreed the goals and directions; and the report outlined the process of reporting and monitoring that would need to be done.

The Canterbury Water Management Strategy Regional Committee:

1. Noted the contents of the report.

The meeting adjourned for afternoon tea at 2.34pm and resumed at 2.50pm.

6. **2020 Challenges and Opportunities**
   
   *Refer to pages 19-20 of the agenda*

   The Facilitator led discussion on what the Committee would report as its key achievement in its 2020 Annual Report. Members were asked to write down what they considered to be priorities for 2020 and considerable discussion ensued. The Chair explained the Committee’s role was to advise Environment Canterbury how to manage water. Zone committees undertake the work and this Committee works with staff and meets with regional colleagues to ensure implementation. The Terms of Reference clearly stated the Committee’s role was to monitor progress of the Canterbury Water Management Strategy across the region and provide information on regional issues to the Regional Committee. The Committee was also able to seek advice from this forum and act in an advisory capacity to Environment Canterbury.

   The Facilitator noted comprehensive feedback given by each Committee member on what had been achieved. The information would be collated and the Facilitator undertook to send out a doodle poll on what members considered could be achieved. It was important to focus on:

   1. Action and reporting
   2. Programmes
   3. Advice to Environment Canterbury on key strategic issues.

7. **Watershed Event 2020**
   
   *Refer to pages 21-24 of the agenda*

   Initial planning for the event had begun, including dates identified (8-9 June 2020); and a draft run-sheet prepared.

   Feedback and guidance was sought on the initial draft Watershed 2020 objectives, strap lines and programme; and members were asked to email their comments to the Facilitator.

   Carolyne Latham, Benita Wakefield, Rima Herber and Councillor Cotter retired from the meeting at 3.34pm and were absent for items 9 and 10.
9. **Zone Updates**  
*Refer to page 27 of the agenda*

Kaikoura - a new wetland had been discovered and the farmer was keen to protect it. The Committee was just getting back into action.

Hurunui-Waiau – achieved getting wetlands back on the agenda as a focus. The Committee was pursuing a clearer understanding of water quality issues and how it could implement actions to improve it.

Christchurch-West Melton – had held one workshop and was still concentrating on heavy metals in relation to urban water.

Selwyn-Waihora – had only held one workshop since November 2019 and had lost the Committee’s co-chair. The Committee had identified actions that would achieve some outcomes and it intended to meet in March 2020.

Cr Cotter and Alistair Humphries had achieved some wins in getting the Ministry of Health to look at nitrates in water and making a connection to cancer. Christchurch-West Melton, CDHB, Christchurch City Council and Environment Canterbury had lobbied the Ministry of Health to come to a different outcome. Alistair Humphreys advised the Ministry of Health supported his proposal that the Danish study needed to be corroborated or refuted. International data was required, and New Zealand was in a good position to assist. Increasing rates of nitrates varied throughout the country so the study would provide a unique opportunity to consider whether relatively low levels of nitrates could be connected to colon-rectal cancer. It was agreed a New Zealand study should be able to provide some good data.

Lower Waitaki – Wainono work was very successful. Had good engagement with community around the swimming hole. Zone Committee was undertaking field trips with a view to establishing catchment groups. A number of challenges had been identified.

Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora – the Pareora River was currently in a poor condition and considered unswimmable, partly because of the dry weather and adjacent land uses.

10. **Facilitator’s Report**  
*Refer to page 29 of the agenda*

The report outlined:

- Dates for meetings and events
- Progress on issues raised at previous meetings
- Central Government initiatives
- Media
- Items for information.

Clarification was sought around the 10 March 2020 working groups meeting and the Facilitator advised once the Committee had a sense of its top priorities, the working groups date would be revisited. The Chair believed it would be worthwhile to dedicate part of a field trip to understanding the application of various types of infrastructure across the region and how they relate to the Committee’s targets.
People were most interested in:

- Infrastructure
- Irrigation and green infrastructure projects
- Stormwater and wastewater
- Energy/hydro infrastructure.

It was noted there was a desire for a seminar to be held regarding the impacts of climate change in Canterbury. Councillors McKay and Pauling were asked to share information on Environment Canterbury’s stance on climate change.

11. **Any other business**
   
   There was no other business for discussion.

12. **Next meeting**
   
   The next meeting was scheduled for 14 April 2020 – venue to be advised.

**A closing karakia** was provided by Nukuroa Tirikatene-Nash.

The meeting concluded at 3.58pm.

_______________________________                                     ____________________
Chairperson  Date
5. Matters arising
6. Reports

6.1. Canterbury Mayoral Forum - feedback

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report to:</th>
<th>CWMS - Target/s Areas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CWMS Regional Committee</td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report by:</th>
<th>Date of Meeting:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lesley Woudberg (CWMS Facilitator)</td>
<td>11 August 2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recommendations

That the Canterbury Water Management Strategy Regional Committee;

Provides feedback to the Mayoral Forum on the following four questions;

1. Do you support the Mayoral Forum’s proposal to do less with more impact, using a ‘four wellbeing’s’ framework?

2. Does the Mayoral Forum’s vision for Canterbury capture your hopes and intentions for our region?

3. Thinking about the region, has the Forum identified the right priorities for local government in Canterbury?

4. Action 1 pg. 11
   “Direct the Regional Water Committee to refresh the CWMS vision through engagement with stakeholders, to test and build agreement on a shared vision for freshwater management that keeps parties at the table.”

Plan for Canterbury 2020–2022: Canterbury Mayoral Forum

Purpose

1. This paper invites CWMS Regional Committee feedback on the Canterbury Mayoral Forum’s Plan for Canterbury 2020–2022 (Plan for Canterbury – attached) and the Forum’s proposed five priority issues for this triennium:

   - sustainable environmental management of our habitats (land, air, waters and ecosystems), focusing on land use and freshwater management

   - shared economic prosperity through sustainable, value-added primary production, high-value manufacturing, high-value tourism and growing, attracting and retaining a skilled workforce, investment and new businesses
• better freight transport options leading to fewer trucks on the roads – mode shift to optimise movement of long-distance freight by rail and coastal shipping to improve road safety, decrease carbon emissions and reduce wear and tear on the region’s roads
• climate change mitigation and adaptation – reducing our carbon footprint, building community resilience and making our infrastructure as strong as it can be
• Three Waters services – securing safe drinking-water supplies, and ensuring that infrastructure, institutional arrangements and regulation enable the sustainable management of drinking water, wastewater and stormwater in Canterbury

Key points

2. The Plan for Canterbury has been developed by the Canterbury Mayoral Forum. It replaces the Canterbury Regional Economic Development Strategy (CREDS)

3. The Mayoral Forum’s vision for Canterbury is sustainable development with shared prosperity, resilient communities and proud identity. In Canterbury, all of us together:
   • use our natural resources wisely to secure both present and future opportunities
   • create shared economic prosperity so no one is left behind
   • nurture caring, hope and kindness, standing strong together to withstand and adapt to challenges and change
   • celebrate our diverse identities – and take pride in our common identity as Cantabrians

4. The Plan for Canterbury:
   • broadens the scope from economic development to sustainable regional development across the four wellbeing’s
   • narrows the focus to five priority issues and the few actions where the Forum can have the greatest impact through its leadership, facilitation and advocacy.

5. Actions in the Plan for Canterbury will be implemented by the Chief Executives Forum, Policy, Corporate and Operations Forums and regional working groups and monitored and reported on over the remainder of the Local Government term.

Background

6. The Canterbury Mayoral Forum is mandated by the Canterbury Local Authorities’ Triennial Agreement 2020¹ as the primary mechanism for communication, co-ordination and collaboration between Canterbury Councils. The Mayoral Forum is supported by the Chief Executives Forum, Policy, Corporate and Operations Forums and regional working groups.

7. In 2014 the Mayoral Forum initiated a Canterbury Regional Economic Development Strategy (CREDS). The CREDS was launched in August 2015 and refreshed and re-launched in July 2017 with seven interdependent work programmes:

- freshwater management and water infrastructure (governance of the Canterbury Water Management Strategy)
- multi-modal regional transport planning and investment
- digital connectivity in rural Canterbury
- value-added production
- regional visitor strategy
- education and training for a skilled workforce
- newcomer and migrant settlement (skilled workers, cohesive communities).


9. The Plan for Canterbury moves beyond the CREDS, which focused on regional economic development, and broadens the scope to regional sustainable development across the four aspects of wellbeing: environmental, economic, social and cultural.

10. The Plan for Canterbury also identifies how the Forum’s priorities and actions contribute to New Zealand’s achievement of the UN Sustainable Development Goals (Appendix 2, Plan for Canterbury).

Selection of priority issues

11. The Canterbury Mayoral Forum used three sets of criteria to narrow a long list of 20 issues (see Appendix 1, Plan for Canterbury) down to five.

**Importance**
- Is this issue critical to the wellbeing of the region in 5-10 years’ time?
- If we do nothing, will the issue still be important in 5-10 years’ time?
- Does this issue have enough scale, scope and complexity to require a regional focus?
- Is the issue already being managed effectively by another agency or organisation?

**Democratic mandate**
- Is there a strong public concern about the issue?
- Do our councils (elected members) care about the issue?

**Impact**
- Can the Mayoral Forum influence outcomes in a measurable way (and if so, how)?

12. The selection of the five priority issues does not mean other issues are unimportant. Some have greater sub-regional than regional significance. Other issues that were the focus of the CREDS between 2015 and 2019 are well on the way to being addressed.
And there are some issues that the Mayoral Forum cares about but has little or no direct ability to influence.

Cost, compliance and communication

13. The Plan for Canterbury will be implemented by the Chief Executives Forum, Policy, Corporate and Operations Forums and regional working groups.

Financial implications

14. The Mayoral Forum does not have a budget or levy member councils. The Regional Forum’s Secretariat and the costs of Mayoral Forum meetings are funded by Environment Canterbury from the regional general rate. From time to time, the Forum is able to leverage central government funding.

15. The Mayoral Forum focuses in its work programme on where it can make the greatest difference through its leadership, facilitation and advocacy, supported by its Secretariat. Any costs incurred relate primarily to travel for Mayors/Chair and Chief Executives, which are met by member councils.

Risk assessment and legal compliance

16. The Plan for Canterbury fulfils the requirement that the Canterbury Mayoral Forum develop and lead implementation of a sustainable development strategy for the Canterbury region as agreed by Canterbury Councils in the Triennial Agreement.

17. Terms of reference for the Mayoral Forum are agreed as part of the Triennial Agreement. These state explicitly (clause 4.b) that: The Canterbury Mayoral Forum does not have the power to legally bind any Council to any act or decision unless that act or decision has been agreed to by decision of that Council.’

Significance and engagement

18. The Canterbury Mayoral Forum is consulting with member councils and undertaking targeted stakeholder engagement on the Plan for Canterbury. Feedback will inform preparation of the final draft.

Communication

19. The Mayoral Forum plans to launch the Plan for Canterbury at a lunch with Canterbury MP’s following the Forum’s quarterly meeting on Friday 4 September 2020.

20. The Plan will be published on the Forum's website (https://canterburymayors.org.nz/).

---

2 There is a small regional forums budget ($73,220 in 2019/20) that is levied by the Chief Executives Forum to fund investigation of collaborative opportunities and regional training workshops. Major projects that arise during the triennium (e.g. 3 Waters) are considered case-by-case by the Chief Executives Forum.
Next steps

Jul 2020  Consultation with member councils
         Targeted engagement with priority stakeholders

Aug 2020  Final draft and document design

4 Sep 2020 Launch of the Plan for Canterbury
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The Canterbury Mayoral Forum

About us

The members of the Mayoral Forum are the Mayors of the 10 territorial authorities in Canterbury and the chair of the regional council (Environment Canterbury).

The Forum is mandated by the Canterbury Local Authorities’ Triennial Agreement¹ and is the primary mechanism for communication, co-ordination and collaboration between councils in New Zealand’s largest region.

The Forum is supported by the Canterbury Chief Executives Forum, Policy, Corporate and Operations Forums, regional working groups and a permanent secretariat hosted by Environment Canterbury.

For further information, see our website: https://canterburymayors.org.nz/.

Background to the Plan for Canterbury

In 2014, the Mayoral Forum initiated a Canterbury Regional Economic Development Strategy (CREDS). There were two key drivers of the CREDS: the structural ageing of Canterbury’s population, and planning ahead for when earthquake rebuild activity would cease to boost employment and regional GDP. Our objective was to grow the underlying economy of Canterbury and take a long-term view of the region’s development and prosperity.

We launched the CREDS in August 2015 and refreshed and re-launched it in July 2017. The strategy had seven interdependent work programmes:

- Freshwater management and water infrastructure (governance of the Canterbury Water Management Strategy)
- Multi-modal regional transport planning and investment
- Digital connectivity in rural Canterbury
- Value-added production
- Regional visitor strategy
- Education and training for a skilled workforce
- Newcomer and migrant settlement (skilled workers, cohesive communities).

We have summarised achievements of the Mayoral Forum 2016–19 on our website.2

The new Mayoral Forum elected in October 2019 agreed to:

- broaden its scope from economic development to sustainable development across the four interdependent aspects of wellbeing (environmental, economic, social and cultural wellbeing) and the four ‘capitals’ that enable wellbeing (financial/physical capital, natural capital, human capital and social capital)
- narrow its focus to a handful of priority issues where the Forum can have the greatest impact through its leadership, facilitation and advocacy.

Our planning has been informed by an overview of wellbeing in Canterbury prepared by the secretariat in August 2019.3

The Mayoral Forum’s Plan for Canterbury contributes to the Government’s wellbeing aspirations for New Zealand and to the achievement of the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals adopted in 2015 (see Appendix 2). Our thinking, planning and actions

---


are also informed by the Society of Local Government Managers’ (SOLGM) 2020 report on *Navigating critical 21st-century transitions*:

- transition to low emissions living
- transition to living in a disrupted climate
- transition to a low waste society
- transition to community interconnectedness
- transition to learning-empowered communities.\(^4\)

**Figure 1: A wellbeing framework for sustainable development** [re-draw as infographic]

COVID-19

The COVID-19 crisis is a novel event, quite unlike natural disasters we have dealt with in the past. Government action in response to COVID-19 has prevented a public health crisis, but there have been significant economic impacts which have been immediate and may be long lasting. It will be important to respond to short-term impacts with an eye to long-term futures and all four aspects of wellbeing: economic, environmental, social and cultural.

The Mayoral Forum is focusing its leadership, facilitation and advocacy on COVID-19 recovery actions that will contribute to our long-term vision and objectives for Canterbury.

Our vision and values

Our vision for Canterbury is sustainable development\(^5\) with shared prosperity, resilient communities and proud identity. This is the kind of region we want the present generation to be able to enjoy and pass on to those who come after us. In this we echo the guiding whakataukī of Ngāi Tahu: Mō tātou, ā, mō kā uri ā muri ake nei—for us and our children after us.

To expand on this, our vision is that in Canterbury, all of us together:

- use our natural resources wisely to secure both present and future opportunities
- create shared economic prosperity so no one is left behind
- nurture caring, hope and kindness, standing strong together to withstand and adapt to challenges and change
- celebrate our diverse identities – and take pride in our common identity as Cantabrians.

Figure 2: The Mayoral Forum’s vision for wellbeing in Canterbury [re-draw as infographic]

We commit to prudent governance for the future that achieves balance between the four aspects of wellbeing. While we cannot tackle every aspect of wellbeing equally and everywhere at the same time, no single aspect of wellbeing (environmental, economic, social or cultural) is more important than any other.

Standing together for Canterbury, we celebrate a win for any part of the region as a win for the whole region. And during hard times and when disaster strikes, we all pitch in to help. A wise and balanced approach to sustainable development requires ‘gifts and gains’, recognition of our diverse interests and values, and reaching agreement where we can without pushing for consensus where it does not exist.

\(^5\) Sustainable development meets the needs of the present while safeguarding the Earth system on which the wellbeing of current and future generations depends.
Our priorities

To achieve its long-term vision, the Mayoral Forum has identified five priority issues for the Mayoral Forum’s leadership, facilitation and advocacy in this local government term.

- **Sustainable environmental management** of our habitats (land, air, waters and ecosystems), focusing on land use and freshwater management
- **Shared economic prosperity** – through sustainable, value-added primary production, high-value manufacturing, high-value tourism and growing, attracting and retaining a skilled workforce, investment and new businesses
- **Better freight transport options leading to fewer trucks on the road** – mode shift to optimise movement of long-distance freight by rail and coastal shipping to improve road safety, decrease carbon emissions and reduce wear and tear on the region’s roads
- **Climate change mitigation and adaptation** – reducing our carbon footprint, building community resilience and making our infrastructure as strong as it can be.
- **Three Waters services** – securing safe drinking-water supplies, and ensuring that infrastructure, institutional arrangements and regulation enable the sustainable management of drinking water, wastewater and stormwater in Canterbury.

We used three sets of criteria to narrow a long list of 20 issues (see Appendix 1) down to these five priority issues.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Importance</th>
<th>• Is this issue critical to the wellbeing of the region in 5-10 years’ time? If we do nothing, will the issue still be important in 5-10 years’ time? • Does this issue have sufficient scale, scope and complexity to require a regional focus? • Is the issue already being managed effectively by another agency or organisation?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Democratic mandate</td>
<td>• Is there strong public concern about the issue? • Do our councils (elected members) care about the issue?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact</td>
<td>• Can the Mayoral Forum influence outcomes in a measurable way (and if so, how)?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By selecting five priorities, we do not mean that other issues are unimportant. Some have greater sub-regional than regional significance (for example, public and active transport is primarily an issue for the Greater Christchurch Partnership). Other issues that we focused on in the CREDS between 2015 and 2019 are well on the way to being addressed (for example, digital connectivity in rural Canterbury). And there are issues that we care about but the Mayoral Forum itself has little or no direct ability to influence (for example, mental health and suicide, and decreasing the proportion of young people who are not in education, employment or training).
Why these are our priority issues

Sustainable environmental management

Canterbury is New Zealand’s largest region by land area. We have:

- 21% of New Zealand’s highest quality soils
- 19% of the total area farmed in New Zealand
- around 70% of New Zealand’s groundwater
- 64% of the country’s irrigated land area
- more than 4,700 lakes and tarns and over 78,000 km of rivers and streams.

Past land use decisions, agricultural intensification since the 1970s and increased urbanisation have, however, increased pressure on the region’s rivers, streams and groundwater aquifers.

In 2009, the Mayoral Forum launched the Canterbury Water Management Strategy (CWMS) and continues to oversee its implementation. In 2019, the Forum commissioned the Fit for the Future project to develop 2025 and 2030 goals for the ten target areas of the CWMS and a regional work programme to support delivery of the strategy.

The Mayoral Forum can influence outcomes through its governance of the CWMS, local authority responsibilities for environmental management, collaboration between the regional council and territorial authorities and advocacy on central government policy and regulation.

Shared economic prosperity

Despite the region’s considerable natural advantages, infrastructure, universities and research institutes, Canterbury’s GDP per capita and median weekly incomes lag behind the country as a whole. This requires attention to the structure of our regional economy. We want to build on our strengths, rather than trying to become something we are not. We also want to ensure that our response to the impact of COVID-19 builds on these strengths and creates better and more sustainable futures. Key pathways will be:

- sustainable, market-responsive, value-added primary production and high-value manufacturing
- high-value tourism (value, not volume)
- ensuring our current and future workforce has the knowledge and skills to take up employment opportunities and generate improved productivity and inclusive prosperity.

Diversifying and adding value to our agricultural production improves market resilience and has potential to reduce environmental impact. Since 2017, the Mayoral Forum has been leading the multi-year Food, Fibre and Innovation Programme (delivered by ChristchurchNZ and the University of Canterbury) to inform, encourage and support value-added production and high-value manufacturing. This work programme aligns with and contributes to

---

ChristchurchNZ’s Food, Fibre and Agritech ‘supernode’ work programme to create better futures for the people of Christchurch and Canterbury.

With its tertiary education and training organisations, research institutes and a strong tech sector, Canterbury is well positioned for research-informed innovation in sustainable primary production and high-value manufacturing that lift productivity and the prosperity of our region’s people and communities. The advantage of these organisations and Canterbury’s infrastructure also provides opportunities for attracting investment and new businesses to Canterbury.

Visitor destination management planning that focuses on value rather than volume reduces environmental impact, is easier to manage for districts with high visitor flows but a small ratepayer base and is more likely to sustain the visitor industry’s ‘social licence to operate’ (including visitor dispersal and providing and maintaining visitor infrastructure and facilities).

In late 2018, the Mayoral Forum initiated development of a South Island Destination Management Plan. This work continues into the 2020–22 local government term. Our thinking will be informed by the Parliamentary Commissioner for the Environment’s December 2019 report on the environmental consequences of projected tourism growth.7 The impact of COVID-19 on tourist and visitor numbers provides an opportunity to reimagine the way tourism operates and will be reflected in the next stages of the South Island Destination Management Plan.

The Mayoral Forum has noted with concern that Canterbury has slightly lower educational attainment levels than the country as a whole. To lift wages and incomes, we need more skilled jobs and skilled workers to fill them – both ‘growing our own’ and attracting and retaining newcomers through internal and external migration. The Mayoral Forum is closely watching the implementation of the Government’s reform of vocational education8 and advocates with central government for immigration policies that work for Canterbury, the South Island and the country as a whole. Within our communities, we encourage education, training and lifelong learning, pathways from school to work and welcoming communities that include newcomers with openness, gratitude and kindness. We celebrate the richness of our diversity and take pride in our common identity as Cantabrians, old and new.

**Better freight transport options leading to fewer trucks on the road**

The Mayoral Forum has consistently advocated for a multi-modal freight network (road, rail, air and coastal shipping) that increases the region’s resilience to natural disasters and ensures the efficient movement of freight within Canterbury and the South Island, between the North and South Islands and to our global markets.

Current funding mechanisms, and other factors such as transit time, reliability, door-to-door service and frequency currently incentivise movement of freight by road rather than rail –

---

more than 90% of freight tonnage moves by truck. This impacts on road safety and maintenance, as well as the region’s carbon footprint.

The Mayoral Forum has some ability to influence regional land transport planning and investment through collaboration between Canterbury councils, advocacy on the Government Policy Statement on Land Transport 2021 and supporting the Regional Transport Committee to develop a Regional Land Transport Plan 2021–2031.

Climate change mitigation and adaptation

Climate change presents significant challenges, risks and opportunities to Canterbury. These impacts are already being seen in more frequent droughts, extreme weather events and flooding, coastal erosion, increased fire risk and a longer fire season, and increasing threats to biodiversity and biosecurity. Opportunities from warmer temperatures, a longer growing season and fewer frosts could result in new crops and markets.

Responding to climate change underpins the priority we give to environmental management and extends to:

- measuring and reducing our own carbon footprint as councils
- contributing to the design of central government policy and regulation and advocating for strong central government leadership through multi-party agreements to minimise political short-termism
- a co-ordinated regional climate change risk assessment
- planning ahead in our Long-Term Plans and 30-year Infrastructure Strategies to make our infrastructure as resilient as it can be
- systematically factoring climate change mitigation and adaptation into the proposed Regional Policy Statement intended to be notified in 2023, and in subsequent District Plan reviews.

Three Waters services

The August 2016 Havelock North drinking water contamination incident resulted in around 5,500 of the town’s 14,000 residents becoming ill with campylobacteriosis. Some 45 were subsequently hospitalised. It is possible that the outbreak contributed to three deaths, and an unknown number of residents continue to suffer health complications.

As part of its Three Waters Review, the Government has introduced legislation to enable Taumata Arowai, the new water services regulator. Next steps are to review service delivery and funding arrangements and economic regulation of Three Waters services.

Security of drinking-water supplies (particularly the question of mandatory chlorination, and concern to protect drinking-water supplies from infiltration of nitrates), infrastructure development and maintenance, and arrangements for the delivery and regulation of Three Waters services is an urgent and pressing concern for Canterbury councils, with significant long-term implications. We will continue to work together to provide a co-ordinated response and improved practices to the delivery of water services for our communities, and advocate
for a Three Waters regulatory system that utilises risk- and evidence-based intervention to ensure the safe and efficient delivery of water services.
## What we will do in this local government term

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRIORITY ISSUE</th>
<th>OBJECTIVE</th>
<th>ACTION</th>
<th>MONITORING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sustainable environmental management of our habitats</td>
<td>Renew community acceptance and commitment to the Canterbury Water Management Strategy</td>
<td>Direct the Regional Water Committee to refresh the Canterbury Water Management Strategy vision through engagement with stakeholders, to test and build agreement on a shared vision for freshwater management that keeps parties at the table</td>
<td>Updated Canterbury Water Management Strategy vision by 30 June 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Advocate with Government for the region’s interests to be addressed in the investment decisions to support the Government’s Freshwater Package.</td>
<td>Canterbury’s interests are evident in the investment decisions related to the Government’s Freshwater Package</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shared economic prosperity</td>
<td>Inclusive prosperity and improved economic and social wellbeing in Canterbury</td>
<td>Advocate for the transition of secondary students to work and/or further study and training Advocate with Government for education and immigration policies that deliver a skilled workforce now and into the future</td>
<td>Monitoring the NEET rate in Canterbury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Facilitate a forum of key regional education and training agencies to enable the exchange of ideas and information and support collaboration. Advocate for the safe return of international students post-COVID-19</td>
<td>Forum meets at least two times per year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRIORITY ISSUE</td>
<td>OBJECTIVE</td>
<td>ACTION</td>
<td>MONITORING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Monitor and provide input into ChristchurchNZ’s work programme on supernodes, especially Food, Fibre and Agritech, including attracting investment and new businesses to Canterbury</td>
<td>Delivery and reporting of Food and Fibre Innovation Programme contract with MBIE</td>
<td>Continue to invite CE of ChristchurchNZ to attend Mayoral Forum meetings to align economic development thinking and activity for the city and region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seek support from the rest of the South Island to progress to Stage 2 of the South Island Destination Management Plan</td>
<td>A decision on whether to progress to Stage 2 is made by 31 Dec 2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better freight transport options leading to fewer trucks on the road</td>
<td>Optimised movement of long-distance freight by rail and coastal shipping to improve road safety, decrease carbon emissions and reduce wear and tear on the region’s roads</td>
<td>Actively participate on the Canterbury Regional Transport Committee and the development of the Canterbury Regional Transport Plan 2021</td>
<td>Mayoral representation on the Canterbury Regional Transport Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clearly communicate to the Regional Land Transport Committee the Mayoral Forum’s desire the new Regional Land Transport Plan provide a planning and investment framework that results in fewer trucks on roads</td>
<td>Regional Land Transport Plan 2021 reflects the Mayoral Forum’s desires for better freight transport options</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Collaborate with South Island chairs of the Regional Land Transport Committees to drive multi-modal transport planning investment in all Regional Land Transport Plans</td>
<td>Regional Land Transport Plans reflect the Mayoral Forum’s desire for better freight transport options</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRIORITY ISSUE</td>
<td>OBJECTIVE</td>
<td>ACTION</td>
<td>MONITORING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climate change mitigation and adaptation</td>
<td>Build capacity and influence to understand climate impacts, risks and opportunities and incorporate these into regional planning documents and community awareness.</td>
<td>Complete our first regional climate change risk assessment, aligned with the national climate change assessment, and identify critical gaps in our adaptation planning.</td>
<td>Publish the Canterbury Climate Change Risk Assessment following release of the National Climate Change Risk Assessment. Progress Stages 2 and 3 of the Climate Change Risk Assessment by 30 June 2021.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three Waters services</td>
<td>Sustainable delivery of Three Waters services in Canterbury</td>
<td>Review Three Waters service delivery arrangement across Canterbury councils.</td>
<td>Improved management, delivery and efficiency of Three Waters services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRIORITY ISSUE</td>
<td>OBJECTIVE</td>
<td>ACTION</td>
<td>MONITORING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Advocate a Three Waters regulatory system that utilises risk- and evidence-based interventions to ensure the safe and efficient delivery of water services</td>
<td>Safe and efficient delivery of water services for Canterbury</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Monitoring and reporting

Actions to implement the Mayoral Forum’s Plan for Canterbury will be included in the three-year work programme of the Canterbury Chief Executives Forum and reported to the Mayoral Forum quarterly. We will provide updates at least quarterly on our website at https://canterburymayors.org.nz/.

We will review progress annually against nationally comparable indicators and, to the extent that our regional data permit this, against relevant indicators for the UN Sustainable Development Goals (see Appendix 2).

Environment Canterbury publishes a biennial report on progress in achieving targets of the Canterbury Water Management Strategy.9

---

Appendix 1: Our long list of issues for Canterbury

This long list was derived from the overview of wellbeing in Canterbury (August 2019) and discussions by the Mayoral Forum in January, August and November 2019.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Wellbeing / Capital</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Environmental management: the quality of our habitats (land,</td>
<td>Environmental / Natural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>waters and ecosystems), especially freshwater management</td>
<td>Cultural / Social</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Security of drinking-water supplies and delivery of Three Waters</td>
<td>Environmental / Natural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services (drinking water, wastewater, stormwater)</td>
<td>Social / Human</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Resilience to drought and other climate change impacts (water</td>
<td>Economic / Financial-Physical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>storage and distribution infrastructure)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Land use planning and protection of versatile land for food</td>
<td>Environmental / Natural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>production</td>
<td>Economic / Financial-Physical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Maintaining and improving biodiversity</td>
<td>Economic / Financial-Physical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Natural hazards and contaminated land</td>
<td>Environmental / Natural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Climate change adaptation – making our infrastructure as strong</td>
<td>Environmental / Natural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>as it can be</td>
<td>Economic / Financial-Physical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Integrated, multi-modal regional transport planning and investment</td>
<td>Economic / Financial-Physical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>that optimise movement of freight by rail and coastal shipping</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Resilience and future proofing of the regional transport network,</td>
<td>Economic / Financial-Physical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>including public/active transport</td>
<td>Environmental / Natural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Digital connectivity in rural Canterbury</td>
<td>Social / Human</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Lift economic wellbeing in Canterbury by diversifying sustainable,</td>
<td>Economic / Financial-Physical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>value-added agricultural production and high-value manufacturing</td>
<td>Environmental / Natural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Sustainable, high-value tourism that improves outcomes across all</td>
<td>Economic / Financial-Physical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>four aspects of wellbeing</td>
<td>Environmental / Natural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Improved provision of social and affordable housing in Canterbury</td>
<td>Economic / Financial-Physical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Attract and retain skilled workers through internal and external</td>
<td>Social / Human</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>migration</td>
<td>Economic / Financial-Physical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Good settlement outcomes for former refugees and new migrants</td>
<td>Social / Human</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Increasing the proportion of skilled and highly skilled jobs in</td>
<td>Social / Human</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canterbury</td>
<td>Economic / Financial-Physical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Maintaining the unemployment and youth NEET rates at or below</td>
<td>Social / Human</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>national rates</td>
<td>Economic / Financial-Physical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Increasing the % of school leavers with NCEA Level 2 or above</td>
<td>Social / Human</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and increase the educational attainment of the adult population</td>
<td>Economic / Financial-Physical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>through lifelong education and training</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Improve mental health and reduce suicide rates in Canterbury</td>
<td>Social / Human</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Equitable social and economic outcomes for all population groups</td>
<td>Cultural / Social</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Economic / Financial-Physical</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 2: Mayoral Forum priorities, wellbeing and sustainable development

This appendix summarises how each of our priorities contributes to the wellbeing of Canterbury, its people and communities. The Canterbury Mayoral Forum supports the UN Sustainable Development Goals.10 Our Plan for Canterbury will help New Zealand achieve the Goals.

Sustainable environmental management

The goals of the Canterbury Water Management Strategy cover all four aspects of wellbeing (environmental, economic, social and cultural) and relate to stocks and flows in our natural capital (Living Standards Framework). Stop banks for river and flood management represent important physical capital in Canterbury for which councils are directly responsible. Water distribution infrastructure is privately owned but regulated by local government.

Managing the quality of our habitats in our land, waters and ecosystem contributes to achieving the following Sustainable Development Goals and Targets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>Ensure availability and sustainable management of water and sanitation for all (see also Security of drinking water supplies and delivery of Three Waters services below)</td>
<td>6.4 By 2030, substantially increase water-use efficiency across all sectors and ensure sustainable withdrawals and supply of freshwater to address water scarcity and substantially reduce the number of people suffering from water scarcity&lt;br&gt;6.4.1 Change in water-use efficiency over time&lt;br&gt;6.4.2 Level of water stress: freshwater withdrawal as a proportion of available freshwater resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>By 2030, substantially increase water-use efficiency across all sectors and ensure sustainable withdrawals and supply of freshwater to address water scarcity and substantially reduce the number of people suffering from water scarcity&lt;br&gt;6.4.1 Change in water-use efficiency over time&lt;br&gt;6.4.2 Level of water stress: freshwater withdrawal as a proportion of available freshwater resources</td>
<td>6.5 By 2030, implement integrated water resources management at all levels, including through transboundary cooperation as appropriate&lt;br&gt;6.5.1 Degree of integrated water resources management implementation (0-100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>By 2030, implement integrated water resources management at all levels, including through transboundary cooperation as appropriate</td>
<td>6.6 By 2020, protect and restore water-related ecosystems, including mountains, forests, wetlands, rivers, aquifers and lakes&lt;br&gt;6.6.1 Change in the extent of water-related ecosystems over time</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10 The UN Sustainable Development Goals, Targets and Indicators can be accessed at https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/sdgs
6.B Support and strengthen the participation of local communities in improving water and sanitation management

6.B.1 Proportion of local administrative units with established and operational policies and procedures for participation of local communities in water and sanitation management

15.0 Protect, restore and promote sustainable use of terrestrial ecosystems, sustainably manage forests, combat desertification, and halt and reverse land degradation and halt biodiversity loss

15.1 By 2020, ensure the conservation, restoration and sustainable use of terrestrial and inland freshwater ecosystems and their services, in particular forests, wetlands, mountains and drylands, in line with obligations under international agreements

15.1.1 Forest area as a proportion of total land area

15.1.2 Proportion of important sites for terrestrial and freshwater biodiversity that are covered by protected areas, by ecosystem type

15.5 Take urgent and significant action to reduce the degradation of natural habitats, halt the loss of biodiversity and, by 2020, protect and prevent the extinction of threatened species

15.5.1 Red List Index

### Shared economic prosperity

Our actions to encourage and support value-added primary production, high-value manufacturing and tourism and a skilled workforce contribute directly to economic, environmental and social aspects of wellbeing and enable cultural wellbeing. Our advocacy for inclusive prosperity reflects our vision that no one will be left behind.

Our actions will also contribute to achieving the following Sustainable Development Goals and Targets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>End poverty in all its forms everywhere</td>
<td>1.2 By 2030, reduce at least by half the proportion of men, women and children of all ages living in poverty in all its dimensions according to national definitions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.2.1 Proportion of population living below the national poverty line, by sex and age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.2.2 Proportion of men, women and children of all ages living in poverty in all its dimensions according to national definitions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The International Union for Conservation of Nature developed the Red List Index to show trends in overall extinction risk for species and provide an indicator that is used by governments to track their progress in achieving targets that reduce biodiversity loss.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2.0 End hunger, achieve food security and improved nutrition and promote sustainable agriculture</th>
<th><strong>2.4</strong> By 2030, ensure sustainable food production systems and implement resilient agricultural practices that increase productivity and production, that help maintain ecosystems, that strengthen capacity for adaptation to climate change, extreme weather, drought, flooding and other disasters and that progressively improve land and soil quality</th>
<th><strong>2.4.1</strong> Proportion of agricultural area under productive and sustainable agriculture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>4.3</strong> By 2030, ensure equal access for all women and men to affordable and quality technical, vocational and tertiary education, including university</td>
<td><strong>4.3.1</strong> Participation rate of youth and adults in formal and non-formal education and training in the previous 12 months, by sex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4.4</strong> By 2030, substantially increase the number of youth and adults who have relevant skills, including technical and vocational skills, for employment, decent jobs and entrepreneurship</td>
<td><strong>4.4.1</strong> Proportion of youth and adults with information and communications technology (ICT) skills, by type of skill</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>8.2</strong> Achieve higher levels of economic productivity through diversification, technological upgrading and innovation, including through a focus on high-value-added and labour-intensive sectors</td>
<td><strong>8.2.1</strong> Annual growth rate of real GDP per employed person</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>8.4</strong> Improve progressively, through 2030, global resource efficiency in consumption and production and endeavour to decouple economic growth from environmental degradation, in accordance with the 10-year framework of programmes on sustainable consumption and production, with developed countries taking the lead</td>
<td><strong>8.4.1</strong> Material footprint, material footprint per capita, and material footprint per GDP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>8.4.2</strong> Domestic material consumption, domestic material consumption per capita, and domestic material consumption per GDP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>8.9</strong> By 2030, devise and implement policies to promote sustainable tourism that creates jobs and promotes local culture and products</td>
<td><strong>8.9.1</strong> Tourism direct GDP as a proportion of total GDP and in growth rate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>8.9.2</strong> Number of jobs in tourism industries as a proportion of total jobs and growth rate of jobs, by sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>9.2</strong> Promote inclusive and sustainable industrialization and, by 2030, significantly raise industry’s share of employment and gross domestic product, in line with national circumstances,</td>
<td><strong>9.2.1</strong> Manufacturing value added as a proportion of GDP and per capita</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>9.2.2</strong> Manufacturing employment as a proportion of total employment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Better freight transport options leading to fewer trucks on the road

Multi-modal regional transport planning and investment contribute to environmental, economic and social aspects of wellbeing, and delivers on the following Sustainable Development Goals and Targets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9.0 Build resilient infrastructure, promote inclusive and sustainable industrialization and foster innovation</td>
<td>9.1 Develop quality, reliable, sustainable and resilient infrastructure, including regional and transborder infrastructure, to support economic development and human wellbeing, with a focus on affordable and equitable access for all</td>
<td>9.1.2 Passenger and freight volumes, by mode of transport</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Climate change mitigation and adaptation

Our actions to mitigate and adapt to climate change contribute to environmental, economic, social and cultural aspects of wellbeing, and to achievement of the following Sustainable Development Goals and Targets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13.0 Take urgent action to combat climate change and its impacts</td>
<td>13.1 Strengthen resilience and adaptive capacity to climate-related hazards and natural disasters in all countries</td>
<td>13.1.2 Number of countries with national and local disaster risk reduction strategies 13.1.3 Proportion of local governments that adopt and implement local disaster risk reduction strategies in line with national disaster risk reduction strategies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Three Waters services

Securing drinking water supplies and sustainable management of wastewater and stormwater contribute to environmental, social, cultural and economic aspects of wellbeing and to achieving the following Sustainable Development Goals and Targets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>Ensure availability and sustainable management of water and sanitation for all</td>
<td>6.1 By 2030, achieve universal and equitable access to safe and affordable drinking water for all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.3 By 2030, improve water quality by reducing pollution, eliminating dumping and minimizing release of hazardous chemicals and materials, halving the proportion of untreated wastewater and substantially increasing recycling and safe reuse globally</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.5 By 2030, implement integrated water resources management at all levels, including through transboundary cooperation as appropriate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.B Support and strengthen the participation of local communities in improving water and sanitation management</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.2. Recent Government Reforms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report to:</th>
<th>CWMS - Target/s Areas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CWMS Regional Committee</td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report by:</th>
<th>Date of Meeting:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lesley Woudberg (CWMS Facilitator)</td>
<td>11 August 2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Purpose**

To provide a summary of recent Government announcements as requested during the committee’s workshop 28 July.

**Recommendations**

That the Canterbury Water Management Strategy Regional Committee;

- **Receives information** on recent Government announcements as requested during the committee’s workshop 28 July.

**Central Government Reforms**

Following the impact of Covid-19 the Government is focused on dealing with the health and economic effects of the pandemic and planning for recovery. The main environmental initiatives are listed below.

**Jobs for Nature (ref Attachment 2)**

Jobs for Nature is a $1.3 billion programme of broad environmental funding. It is part of the Government’s Covid-19 recovery package and is expected to run for four years.

[https://www.mfe.govt.nz/funding/jobs-for-nature](https://www.mfe.govt.nz/funding/jobs-for-nature)

**Action for Healthy Waterways Policy Reform Package**

On 28 May the Government announced the **Action for Healthy Waterways policy reform package**. The package consisted of a 10-page summary, links to decision documents and FAQs and an information sheet with high-level overview of the requirements for Regional Councils. Final versions of NPS-FM, NES and Stock Exclusion regulations were not provided and are to be released later this year — expected in August.

The Ministry for the Environment is developing detailed guidance in consultation with Regional Council representatives. This guidance will be provided as the new regulations
(NES and Stock Exclusion) are gazetted.

https://www.mfe.govt.nz/action-for-healthy-waterways

**Three Waters Reform (ref Attachment 2)**

On 7 July the Government announced it will give $761 million to Councils and launch a programme to reorganise water assets under regional entities.

**Taumata Arowai**—the Water Services Regulator Bill is at its third reading. The funding includes $51m for Taumata Arowai — the Water Services Regulator that will enforce nationwide drinking water standards — and $30m to help rural water supplies meet safety standards. Councils will have to opt into a reform programme to access the majority of the funding.

https://www.dia.govt.nz/Three-waters-review

**Review of the Resource Management Act**

On 29 July an independent advisory panel has delivered its report and recommendations on the Resource Management Act. The panel recommends substantial changes to the current Resource Management system including replacing the Resource Management Act with two new acts a;

- Natural and Built Environment Act
- Strategic Planning Act

https://www.mfe.govt.nz/rmreview

**National Policy Statement for Indigenous Biodiversity**

The timeframe to deliver the proposed National Policy Statement for Indigenous Biodiversity has been extended to April 2021.

Three Waters Reform Programme
A proposal to transform the delivery of three waters services

1. BACKGROUND
Over the past three years central and local government have been considering solutions to challenges facing the regulation and delivery of three waters services. This has seen the development of new legislation and the creation of Taumata Arowai, the new water services regulator.

Both central and local government acknowledge that there are broader challenges facing the delivery of water services and infrastructure, and the communities that fund and rely on these services. There has been regulatory failure, underinvestment in three waters infrastructure in parts of the country, and persistent affordability challenges.

FURTHER RESEARCH COMMISSIONED BY DIA FOUND:
- while unquantified in New Zealand due to limited asset quality data, experience from places like Scotland that have undertaken significant water services reforms indicates the bulk of asset replacement value (potentially up to $3 billion) and the accumulated infrastructure deficit likely lies in renewal of pipes rather than treatment plants.

2. CHALLENGES

THE EXISTING INFRASTRUCTURE DEFICIT
Quantifying the precise infrastructure gap remains challenging. The Office of the Auditor General (OAG) has raised concerns about relevant and reliable information about assets remaining a challenge.

UNGRADED ASSETS
Across our water networks
- Potable & wastewater: 45%
- Stormwater: 52%

RENEWAL GAPS
A more recent analysis highlights the extent of the reinvestment challenge and the “renewals gap”.

Forecast average renewals as proportion of forecast average depreciation for:
- Water supply: 82%
- Wastewater: 67%
- Stormwater: 52%

While unquantified in New Zealand due to limited asset quality data, experience from places like Scotland that have undertaken significant water services reforms indicates the bulk of asset replacement value (potentially up to $3 billion) and the accumulated infrastructure deficit likely lies in renewal of pipes rather than treatment plants.

FURTHER RESEARCH COMMISSIONED BY DIA FOUND:
- $309-$574 million
- Estimated cost for upgrading networked drinking water treatment plants to meet drinking water standards, with an additional annual operating cost of $11-$21 million.

- $3-$4 billion
- Estimated cost for upgrading wastewater treatment systems that discharge to coastal and freshwater bodies to meet national minimum discharge standards, with an annualised operating cost of $126-$193 million.

3. OBJECTIVES

A. Significantly improving safety and quality of drinking water services, and the environmental performance of wastewater and stormwater systems.

B. Improving resource coordination and unlocking strategic opportunities to consider national infrastructure needs at a larger scale.

C. Ensuring all New Zealanders have equitable access to affordable three waters services.

D. Moving three waters services to a financially sustainable footing, and addressing the affordability and capability challenges faced by small suppliers and councils.

E. Improving transparency and accountability in cost and delivery of three waters services, including the ability to benchmark performance of service providers.

4. KEY FEATURES

Design features of the proposed reform programme should examine, as a minimum:

A. Water service delivery entities that are:• of significant scale (most likely multi-regional) to enable benefits from aggregation to be achieved over the medium- to long-term;• asset-owning entities with balance sheet separation, to support improved access to capital, alternative funding instruments and improved balance sheet strength; and• structured as statutory entities with appropriate and relevant commercial disciplines and competency-based boards.

B. Legislation and regulatory reforms.

5. INDICATIVE REFORM PATHWAY

TRANCHE 1
Engage with iwi/Māori to establish interests in reform programme
Council agreement to MOU triggers tranche #1 of stimulus release
Councils work with stakeholders and iwi to consider multi-region groupings

TRANCHE 2
Councils opt in to multi-regional groupings and undertake pre-establishment planning. Triggers possible further stimulus.

TRANCHE 3
Related to formation of new entities. Triggers possible further stimulus.

6. PROPOSED PROCESS

An opt-in reform and funding programme to:

- Stimulate investment, to assist economic recovery through job creation, and maintain investment in water infrastructure renewals and maintenance.
- Reform current water service delivery into larger scale providers, to realise significant economic, public health, environmental, and other benefits over the medium to long-term.

Progressed in phases:

- Three phases of reform with three tranches of investment proposed (as set out above). The first phase of the programme includes a Memorandum of Understanding covering central and local government to progress the reform in partnership. Reform will be guided by a joint Steering Committee at key stages.

7. FUNDING AND IMPACT

Government funding:
- $761 million

Jobs protected or created:
- 2,288 jobs

GDP increase:
- $236 million

ALLOCATION OF FUNDING
First tranche funding provided as a grant to Councils who opt in to participate in the reform process. Allocation is based on a simple formula applied on a nationally consistent basis.

Future additional funding will be subject to Government decision-making and reliant on progress against the reform objectives.

8. LEGEND

- Metropolitan Councils (50%)
- Provincial Councils (37%)
- Rural Councils (13%)

Subject to Government decision-making.
6.3. Regional Committee Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report to:</th>
<th>CWMS - Target/s Areas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CWMS Regional Committee</td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Report by:</th>
<th>Date of Meeting:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lesley Woudberg (CWMS Facilitator)</td>
<td>11 August 2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Purpose

To provide an opportunity for the committee to add to their feedback and reflections on the review of the Regional Committee.

Recommendations

That the Canterbury Water Management Strategy Regional Committee;

- Provide additional feedback on the work to “improve the implementation of the CWMS with a particular focus on the Regional Committee”.

Workshop Summary (28 July)

Function

1. **Importance of monitoring – “clearing house”/“3 party scrutiny”**
   - Need to clarify;
     - Who is responsible for what – ECAn a significant, but not the only party responsible for monitoring? We need others reporting
     - What is important to monitor – outcomes and actions
     - Why – what are the questions we are trying to answer?
       - Do we just like to know stuff?
       - Are we as a committee going to do something with the information we receive?
     - How – do we want to receive information, so we do something with it?

2. **Legitimising issue** – ensuring that the CMWS leadership are getting independent trustworthy advice to ensure targets are honoured and (respectfully) avoid ‘capture’

3. **Strategic and regional advice role** – strategic advice, forward-looking and focus on the SWOT (Strengths Weakness Opportunities Threats) of CWMS – needs to be
based on a good understanding of what is working and what needs work.

Key implementation issues;

- Leadership
- Commitment
- Accountability
- Resourcing
- Celebrating progress

4. **Institutionalised networks need to be challenged** – i.e. all stakeholder 'doers' who are responsible for 2025 goals need to regularly show progress towards them and the steps they intend to achieve them. This includes: industry organisations, agencies, Environment Canterbury and Territorial Authorities.

5. **Zone Committee support** – need for some forum in which Zone Committee members can share ideas – hear about successes and learn from each other. This may not be the Regional Committee.

**Form**

Options were considered.

1. **Balancing independence and proximity** – May need to be careful about being part of the Mayoral Forum as it may be too far from the action of the CMWS. Ngai Tahu representation/participation needs to reflect the Treaty partnership.

2. **Refinement preferred** – Options 3-5 to refine the Regional Committee with stronger technical input were generally preferred.

3. **Strong analysis support** – Egg model was proposed – yolk of the egg (advisory function (committee) supported by egg white (information and analysis providers (staff)).

4. **‘Purification’ of the consensus model** – consensus outcomes may need to reflect that while consensus was reached, the depth and breadth of contributing and possibly conflicting views need to be reflected in the ‘decision’.

5. **Working groups and sub-committees.** – Value in continuing with targeted work on a clearly prioritised (few) key areas. Need to clearly define working group objectives, programme and time frame at the beginning. Achieve these, then either move onto a
new issue and working group, or renew the mandate of the existing working group with a new objective and work programme.

6. **Size of the committee is too big** – no consensus on how to reduce numbers

7. **Need a forward agenda 2-3 years** – focus on 2-3 targets to stimulate progress, watching brief on progress of other targets
7. Facilitators Update

7.1. Facilitators Report

Report to: 
Canterbury Water Management Strategy Regional Committee

CWMS - Target/s Areas
All

Report by: Lesley Woudberg, Facilitator

Date of Meeting: 11 August 2020

Purpose

Provide the Regional Committee with information on;

- Dates for meetings and events
- Progress – issues raised in previous meetings

Recommendation:

That the Canterbury Water Management Regional Committee;

1. Notes the Facilitators report

Report

1. Dates, Meeting and Events

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dates 2020</th>
<th>Meetings and Events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11 August</td>
<td>Regional Committee meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 September</td>
<td>Working Groups TBC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 October</td>
<td>Regional Committee meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 November</td>
<td>Working Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 December</td>
<td>Regional Committee meeting</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Progress - Issues Raised During Previous Meetings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTIONS FROM PREVIOUS MEETINGS</th>
<th>Who</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11 Dec 2018 Water Usage Compliance Monitoring</td>
<td>ECan - Request report back to Committee on progress second half of 2019. Info Committee interested included grades for regionally significant takes, % of telemetered takes, trend info</td>
<td>TBC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Topic</td>
<td>Responsible Party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 11 June 2019| Provide advice on principles to incentives landowners to encourage greater effort to protect/improve water ways/ecosystem health | Regional Committee | August 2020 Note Government nature jobs for nature $1.3 billion programme  
Incentivising private landowners Report for Gisborne DC  
| 13 August 2019 | 2019 Targets Report Actions                                              | ECan              | Stocktake of work being undertaken by TA’s is being compiled through the Mayoral Operations Forum (under the Mayoral Forum)  
Update TBC  
Climate Change CRI briefings | CRI’s  
TBC  
WaterShed 2020 | ECan  
Scheduled 8-9 June 2020 Guidance sought from RC Feb 2020  
Cancelled due to Covid 19 |
| 10 December 2020 | Braided Rivers                                                            | ECan              | Update Bridge Project – what’s happening  
Currently on hold – Dewhirst  
Court Action  
Youth Rōpā – how can we connect better with Zone Committees | ECan  
July/August  
Youth Rōpū members introducing themselves to ZCs. CWM and BP ZC have Youth Rōpū members currently on their Committees as a trial  
Regional Committee Priorities | Committee  
TBC |
8. General Business

9. Closing Karakia