

Plan Change 7 – Submission Points Potentially Beyond the Scope of Plan Change 7

In preparing the summary of decisions requested and the Section 42A Report, the officers have identified a number of submission points that may be outside the scope of Plan Change 7. Many of these were identified in the Section 42A Report. For the avoidance of doubt, a list of these potentially out of scope points has been collated and is set out below. A reason for each point being listed is included.

The officers have prepared this list, so that submitters are aware of the concern regarding the scope of the submission points. Submitters may wish to respond to this list either through the presentation of their cases at the hearing; or in writing beforehand. Please send any enquiries to: PC7 Hearings Officer - planhearings@ecan.govt.nz

| Plan Provision | Point No | Submitter | Support/Oppose | Summary of Decision Requested | S42A Comment |
|-----------------------------|------------|--|----------------|---|--|
| Managed Aquifer Recharge | PC7-390.2 | Ashburton Lyndhurst Irrigation Limited (ALIL) | Oppose in part | Require all references to "managed aquifer recharge" to also include "targeted stream augmentation". | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| Water quantity - allocation | PC7-94.2 | Barnes Family Farms Limited | Oppose in part | Amend Part C of Plan Change 7 to require irrigation companies to change the way water is charged to be based on actual volume used rather than a l/s rate | Submission point not on the provisions of PC7. |
| General | PC7-446.2 | Beckenham Neighbourhood Association Incorporated | Oppose in part | Require Plan Change 7 final decisions on submissions are made by the democratically elected council. | Submission point on a topic that is not addressed by regional plans. |
| 11.05.33 | PC7-84.31 | Bowden Environmental | Oppose | Delete condition 8 of Rule 11.5.33 and replace it with condition 4 of Rule 8.5.14. | Submission point on a rule not altered by PC7. |
| 16.08 | PC7-548.1 | Bray, A | Oppose in part | Amend Schedule 8 to include a wider list of water quality parameters including insecticides. | Submission point cited as being beyond scope at para 3.28 of S42A Report. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 16.08 | PC7-548.2 | Bray, A | Oppose in part | Amend Schedule 8 so that limits are determined by the long term common good rather than short term ease and business profits. | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| General | PC7-38.5 | Brown, I D | Oppose in part | Require ECan to delay the plan change process until a fully representative, democratic Council are appointed. | Submission point on a topic that is not addressed by regional plans. |
| 04.050 | PC7-193.19 | Cashmere Stream Care Group | Oppose in part | Amend Policy 4.50 so that it does not undermine Policy 8.4.17. | Submission point on a policy not altered by PC7. |

| Plan Provision | Point No | Submitter | Support/Oppose | Summary of Decision Requested | S42A Comment |
|--------------------|-------------|---|----------------|---|--|
| 04.Table 1a | PC7-193.1 | Cashmere Stream Care Group | Oppose in part | Amend Plan Change 7 to reduce fine sediment less than 2mm from 20% to 10% for hill-fed lower rivers. | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.097 | PC7-193.26 | Cashmere Stream Care Group | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.97 to include a sediment standard of 50gm/cubic metre. | Submission point on a rule not altered by PC7. |
| 05.098 | PC7-193.27 | Cashmere Stream Care Group | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.98 to include a sediment standard of 50gm/cubic metre. | Submission point on a rule not altered by PC7. |
| 08.05.01 | PC7-430.105 | Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand | Oppose in part | Retain Rule 8.5.1 as notified, subject to the map reference location being below the potential dam site in the upper gorge, to enable the potential for water storage in the Lees Valley to be retained. OR If this is not the case, amend the map reference in Rule 8.5.1 to downstream of the potential dam site in the upper gorge, to enable the potential for water storage in the Lees Valley to be retained. | Submission point on a rule not altered by PC7. |
| 13.05.36 | PC7-430.173 | Combined Canterbury Provinces, Federated Farmers of New Zealand | Oppose | Delete condition 4 of Rule 13.5.36. | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| General Opposition | PC7-36.1 | De Lu, D | Oppose | Delete the plan change in its entirety and delay the plan change until a newly elected fully democratic ECan Board is empanelled. | Submission point on a topic that is not addressed by regional plans. |
| 05.154 | PC7-160.27 | Department of Conservation | Oppose in part | Amend condition 2 of Rule 5.154 to insert a new clause as follows: 2. For the damming of water in the bed of a river and the constructing, altering, using, maintaining and operating of dam structures within the bed of a river: ... <u>h. the dam, its operation and impoundment area is not in any Indigenous Freshwater Species Habitat.</u> | Submission point on a rule not altered by PC7. |
| 13.05.36 | PC7-11.5 | Eiffelton Community Group Irrigation Scheme | Oppose in part | Amend condition 1 of Rule 13.5.36 to remove the restriction on targeted stream augmentation for trials up to 5 years. | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 13.05.36 | PC7-11.6 | Eiffelton Community Group Irrigation Scheme | Oppose in part | Amend condition 4 of Rule 13.5.36 to remove restriction of targeted stream augmentation within any well used for potable supply (Delete condition 4 of Rule 13.5.36). | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the |

| Plan Provision | Point No | Submitter | Support/Oppose | Summary of Decision Requested | S42A Comment |
|--------------------------------|------------|--------------|----------------|---|--|
| | | | | | merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 04.Table 1a | PC7-339.3 | Fenwick, G D | Oppose in part | Amend Table 1a to include a discussion of Freshwater Outcomes for Canterbury Groundwater. | Submission point cited at para 3.28 of S42A report as being beyond scope. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 04.Table 1b | PC7-339.4 | Fenwick, G D | Oppose in part | Amend Table 1b to include a discussion of Freshwater Outcomes for Canterbury Groundwater. | Submission point cited at para 3.28 of S42A report as being beyond scope. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 16.08 | PC7-339.12 | Fenwick, G D | Oppose in part | Amend Schedule 8 to consider input from appropriate experts, particularly qualified experts in invertebrate toxicology. | Submission point cited at para 3.25 of S42A report as being beyond scope. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 16.08 | PC7-339.9 | Fenwick, G D | Oppose in part | Amend Schedule 8 to ensure that the proposed water quality limits maintain the natural life sustaining capacity of aquifer's water. | Submission point cited at para 3.25 of S42A report as being beyond scope. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| Freshwater Fishery | PC7-152.3 | Forsyth, I | Oppose in part | Require a total ban on whitebait fishing for a 10 year trial period. | Submission point on a topic that is not addressed by regional plans. |
| Habitats of Indigenous Species | PC7-444.1 | Hall, M C | Oppose in part | Amend rules and policies related to the protection of indigenous freshwater fish to recognise that salmon spawning sites might change depending on stream conditions and other factors. | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| General | PC7-97.40 | Hawkins, M | Oppose in part | Supports recommendations by Opuha Water Limited in relation to Recommendation 4.8.1, Table 1 in the ZIPA, with no specific decision requested. | Submission point not on the provisions of PC7. |
| General | PC7-97.41 | Hawkins, M | Oppose in part | Amend Table 1 of the ZIPA to divide those sites where current state averages are known (Geraldine, Opihi, Orari and Pareora (lower)) are tied to a specified limit and monitoring programs are established for those with insufficient data (South Branch Pareora, Taiko Stream, Te Ana Wai, Timaru and Upper Pareora) to ensure current state can be determined and appropriate limits or targets related to the 5.65mg/l can be set at the next plan development stage. | Submission point not on the provisions of PC7. |
| General | PC7-97.42 | Hawkins, M | Oppose in part | Amend Recommendation 4.8.1(iii)(a), Table 1 and Table 2 of the ZIPA to be consistent with the NPS-FM (E.g: the word 'attribute' should precede 'state') and data averaged over 5 years should be referred to. | Submission point not on the provisions of PC7. |
| General | PC7-97.43 | Hawkins, M | Oppose in part | Amend Recommendation 4.9.8 in the ZIPA to clarify that shareholders in Opuha Dam are exempt from providing usage data given the shares held. | Submission point not on the provisions of PC7. |
| 04.031 | PC7-310.5 | Iles, H | Oppose in part | Amend clause (a) of Policy 4.31 to require all stock be fenced out of waterways, OR | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 |

| Plan Provision | Point No | Submitter | Support/Oppose | Summary of Decision Requested | S42A Comment |
|---------------------------|-----------|-------------------------|----------------|---|--|
| | | | | Amend definition "intensively farmed stock" to define the intensity rates for all stock species. | or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 04.031 | PC7-310.6 | Iles, H | Support | Retain clause (ba) of Policy 4.31 as notified. | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 04.031 | PC7-310.7 | Iles, H | Oppose in part | Amend clause (c) of Policy 4.31 to specify "sheep" and a stocking density. | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 04.Table 1a | PC7-310.2 | Iles, H | Oppose in part | Amend Table 1a to raise outcomes for water bodies, including Alpine - lower " good to fair " " <u>good</u> " Hill-fed - lower " good to fair " " no value set " " <u>good</u> " | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 04.Table 1b | PC7-310.3 | Iles, H | Support | Supports Table 1b, retain "high" outcome for small to medium sized high country lakes. | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| General Seeking Amendment | PC7-493.1 | Jones, R | Oppose in part | Require Plan Change 7 to be placed on hold until fully democratically elected council is installed. | Submission point on a topic that is not addressed by regional plans. |
| 04.079 | PC7-102.1 | Kemp, M | Oppose in part | Amend Policy 4.79 to require all hazardous activities that may impact on water quality, including hydrocarbon exploration and fracking, to be completely restricted. | Submission point on a policy not altered by PC7. |
| 04.080 | PC7-102.5 | Kemp, M | Oppose in part | Amend Policy 4.80 to require all hazardous activities that may impact on water quality, including hydrocarbon exploration and fracking, to be completely restricted. | Submission point on a policy not altered by PC7. |
| General | PC7-491.2 | McDonald, S | Oppose in part | Oppose water lines being exploited by a foreign company. | Submission point not on the provisions of PC7. |
| Managed Aquifer Recharge | PC7-218.5 | MHV Water Limited (MHV) | Oppose in part | Require targeted stream augmentation and managed aquifer recharge activities to be considered together and amend all references to Managed Aquifer Recharge in the PC7 to include "and Targeted Stream Augmentation". | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the |

| Plan Provision | Point No | Submitter | Support/Oppose | Summary of Decision Requested | S42A Comment |
|---------------------------|------------|--|----------------|---|---|
| | | | | | merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 04.Table 1a | PC7-423.86 | Ngā Rūnanga | Oppose in part | Amend Table 1a so that water quality outcomes are maintained where they are above a B Band in the NPSFM and improved to at least a B Band where they are below. | Submission point within scope insofar as it applies to E.Coli, but not for the other values. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 04.Table 1b | PC7-423.87 | Ngā Rūnanga | Oppose in part | Amend Table 1b so that water quality outcomes are maintained where they are above a B Band in the NPSFM and improved to at least a B Band where they are below. | Submission point within scope insofar as it relates to total cyanobacteria biovolume, but not for the other values. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 08.05.01 | PC7-95.31 | North Canterbury Fish and Game Council | Support | Retain Rule 8.5.1 as notified. | Submission point on a rule not altered by PC7. |
| General Seeking Amendment | PC7-551.13 | Orari River Protection Group | Oppose in part | Amend Plan Change 7 to implement the OTOIP ZIPA sooner than five years after 2022 and to implement the plan as soon as possible. | Submission point not on the provisions of PC7 as it relates to wider ZIPA implementation. |
| General | PC2-26.1 | Pankhurst, R K | Oppose in part | Amend Plan Change 2 to recognise importance of Waimakariri River and its aquifers. | Submission point on a topic that is not addressed by PC2. |
| 04.071 | PC7-404.17 | Potatoes New Zealand | Oppose in part | Amend Policy 4.71 as follows: Enable the <u>temporary</u> transfer of water permits to take or use water, provided: ... | Submission point on a policy not altered by PC7. |
| 04.071 | PC7-404.23 | Potatoes New Zealand | Oppose in part | Amend Policy 4.71 to insert a new clause as follows: a. ... <u>(aa) the transfer is to land included in the baseline commercial vegetable growing area; for the use of growing vegetables.</u> ... | Submission point on a policy not altered by PC7. |
| 04.071 | PC7-404.24 | Potatoes New Zealand | Oppose in part | Amend clause (d) of Policy 4.71 as follows:(d) that in an over-allocated surface water catchment or groundwater zone, a proportion of the allocated water is surrendered and is not re-allocated, unless there is a method and defined timeframe to phase out over-allocation set out in an applicable sub-region Section of this Plan; <u>or the water is utilised for the purpose outlined in Policy 4.71 aa.</u> | Submission point on a policy not altered by PC7. |
| 11.04.25 | PC7-404.19 | Potatoes New Zealand | Oppose in part | Amend clause (b) of Policy 11.4.25 as follows: 'b. fifty percent of any transferred water is surrendered except where: i. the transferred water is to be used for a community water supply, or ii. <u>the transferred water is to be used for commercial vegetable growing in a baseline area, or</u> iii. the transferred water is or will, following transfer, be used for an industrial or trade process and result in a neutral or positive water balance.' | Submission point on a policy not altered by PC7. |
| 08.05.01 | PC7-220.1 | Rankin, D A | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 8.5.1 to ensure: the interests of the wider community are provided for; expansion of farming with limited controls on nutrient release and nitrate pollution is not permitted at the expense of the | Submission point on a rule not altered by PC7. |

| Plan Provision | Point No | Submitter | Support/Oppose | Summary of Decision Requested | S42A Comment |
|----------------|-----------|-------------|----------------|---|--|
| | | | | environment; drinking water quality in the Waimakariri Zone and in the Christchurch aquifer is protected; drinking quality standards and groundwater quality limits are decided by independent drinking water quality experts, rather than a zone committee with vested farming interests; acceptable standards for human health and ecosystem health (including stygofauna, and not toxicity parameters) are applied rather than arbitrary standards with no solid scientific basis; Christchurch's drinking water aquifer is not degraded; in areas where contaminants from farming can enter the Christchurch drinking water aquifer, farming practice is returned to a system that does not result in elevated nitrate in the aquifer; Christchurch's drinking water is protected at a standard that does not cause elevated risk to health, including increased colorectal cancer. | |
| 08.05.02 | PC7-220.2 | Rankin, D A | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 8.5.2 to ensure: the interests of the wider community are provided for; expansion of farming with limited controls on nutrient release and nitrate pollution is not permitted at the expense of the environment; drinking water quality in the Waimakariri Zone and in the Christchurch aquifer is protected; drinking quality standards and groundwater quality limits are decided by independent drinking water quality experts, rather than a zone committee with a number of members with vested farming interests; acceptable standards for human health and ecosystem health (including stygofauna, and not toxicity parameters) are applied rather than arbitrary standards with no solid scientific basis; Christchurch's drinking water aquifer is not degraded; in areas where contaminants from farming can enter the Christchurch drinking water aquifer, farming practice is returned to a system that does not result in elevated nitrate in the aquifer; Christchurch's drinking water quality is protected at a standard that does not cause elevated risk to health, including increased risk of colorectal cancer. | Submission point on a rule not altered by PC7. |
| 08.05.03 | PC7-220.3 | Rankin, D A | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 8.5.3 to ensure: the interests of the wider community are provided for; expansion of farming with limited controls on nutrient release and nitrate pollution is not permitted at the expense of the environment; drinking water quality in the Waimakariri Zone and in the Christchurch aquifer is protected; drinking quality standards and groundwater quality limits are decided by independent drinking water quality experts, rather than a zone committee with a number of members with vested farming interests; acceptable standards for human health and ecosystem health (including stygofauna, and not toxicity parameters) are applied rather than arbitrary standards with no solid scientific basis; Christchurch's drinking water aquifer is not degraded; in areas where contaminants from farming can enter the Christchurch drinking water aquifer, farming practice is returned to a system that does not result in elevated nitrate in the aquifer; Christchurch's drinking water quality is | Submission point on a rule not altered by PC7. |

| Plan Provision | Point No | Submitter | Support/Oppose | Summary of Decision Requested | S42A Comment |
|------------------------|-------------|--------------------|----------------|---|---|
| | | | | protected at a standard that does not cause elevated risk to health, including increased risk of colorectal cancer. | |
| 08.05.04 | PC7-220.4 | Rankin, D A | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 8.5.4 to ensure: the interests of the wider community are provided for; expansion of farming with limited controls on nutrient release and nitrate pollution is not permitted at the expense of the environment; drinking water quality in the Waimakariri Zone and in the Christchurch aquifer is protected; drinking quality standards and groundwater quality limits are decided by independent drinking water quality experts, rather than a zone committee with a number of members with vested farming interests; acceptable standards for human health and ecosystem health (including stygofauna, and not toxicity parameters) are applied rather than arbitrary standards with no solid scientific basis; Christchurch's drinking water aquifer is not degraded; in areas where contaminants from farming can enter the Christchurch drinking water aquifer, farming practice is returned to a system that does not result in elevated nitrate in the aquifer; Christchurch's drinking water quality is protected at a standard that does not cause elevated risk to health, including increased risk of colorectal cancer. | Submission point on a rule not altered by PC7. |
| 08.05.05 | PC7-220.5 | Rankin, D A | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 8.5.5 to ensure: the interests of the wider community are provided for; expansion of farming with limited controls on nutrient release and nitrate pollution is not permitted at the expense of the environment; drinking water quality in the Waimakariri Zone and in the Christchurch aquifer is protected; drinking quality standards and groundwater quality limits are decided by independent drinking water quality experts, rather than a zone committee with vested farming interests; acceptable standards for human health and ecosystem health (including stygofauna, and not toxicity parameters) are applied rather than arbitrary standards with no solid scientific basis; Christchurch's drinking water aquifer is not degraded; in areas where contaminants from farming can enter the Christchurch drinking water aquifer, farming practice is returned to a system that does not result in elevated nitrate in the aquifer; Christchurch's drinking water is protected at a standard that does not cause elevated risk to health, including increased colorectal cancer. | Submission point on a rule not altered by PC7. |
| Non-regulatory actions | PC7-114.108 | Ravensdown Limited | Oppose in part | Require Council to operate a queuing system so as to ensure that farmers are not penalised for non-compliance when it is not their fault that relevant professionals and/or technical experts are not available to carry out all the work at the same time (in relation to common expiry dates for consents). | Submission point on a topic that is not addressed by PC7. |
| 04.032 | PC7-65.3 | Richardson, J | Support | Retain Policy 4.32 as notified. | Submission point on a policy not altered by PC7. |
| 05.001 | PC2-7.2 | Richardson, J | Oppose in part | Amend clause (4) of Policy 5.1 to ensure appropriate management of abstraction at high flows as well as low flows. | Submission point on a topic that is not addressed by PC2. |

| Plan Provision | Point No | Submitter | Support/Oppose | Summary of Decision Requested | S42A Comment |
|-------------------|-------------|---|----------------|--|--|
| Consultation | PC7-65.1 | Richardson, J | Oppose in part | Require a moratorium on the appointment of commissioner and plan changes until the establishment of a fully elected Council or until the new Freshwater Policy Statement is gazetted, whichever comes first. | Submission point on a topic that is not addressed by regional plans. |
| 04.Table 1a | PC7-455.10 | Richmond Residents and Business Association (RR&BA) | Oppose in part | Amend the Freshwater Outcomes for Canterbury Rivers to have much stronger water quality outcomes. | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 16.08.Groundwater | PC7-455.9 | Richmond Residents and Business Association (RR&BA) | Oppose in part | Amend Schedule 8 groundwater quality limits by lowering them to align with up to date research on the effects of water quality attributes on human health and ecosystems, NPS limits, and relevant ANZECC 2000 Guideline values, and outcomes sought by the community. | Submission point within scope insofar as it applies to E.Coli, but not for the other values. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.071 | PC7-472.53 | Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc. | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.71 so that it includes all farmed animals. | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.071 | PC7-472.54 | Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc. | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.71 to include wetlands in the list of areas. | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.136 | PC7-472.59 | Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc. | Oppose in part | Amend the activity status of Rule 5.136 so that it is not a permitted activity. | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.137 | PC7-472.60 | Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc. | Oppose in part | Amend the activity status of Rule 5.137 so that it is not a permitted activity. | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 08.05.01 | PC7-472.102 | Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc. | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 8.5.1 to prohibit dams on the entire length of the Ashley/Rakahuri River. | Submission point on a rule not altered by PC7. |
| 11.04.22 | PC7-472.132 | Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc. | Oppose in part | Amend clause (e) of Policy 11.4.22 to protect significant indigenous vegetation or significant habitats of indigenous biodiversity. | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the |

| Plan Provision | Point No | Submitter | Support/Oppose | Summary of Decision Requested | S42A Comment |
|---------------------------|-------------|---|----------------|---|--|
| | | | | | merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 12.0 Introduction | PC7-472.219 | Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc. | Oppose in part | Require Council to undertake a plan change for Section 12 of the LWRP as quickly as possible so that a single regional plan applies and so Central Canterbury's braided rivers are managed in accordance with Te Mana o Te Wai. | Submission point on a topic that is not addressed by PC7. |
| 16.07 | PC7-472.210 | Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc. | Oppose in part | Amend Schedule 7 to insert the requirement for contour based drainage plans. | Submission point on a topic that is not addressed by amendments to Schedule 7. |
| 16.07 | PC7-472.214 | Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc. | Oppose in part | Amend Schedule 7 to insert the requirement to identify whether the farm is located within an outstanding landscape or has outstanding natural features including but not limited to ecological, archaeological, or geological features. | Submission point on a topic that is not addressed by amendments to Schedule 7. |
| 08.04.New | PC7-266.14 | Saunders, A | Oppose in part | Amend framework to include management of sediment and flooding in spring-fed streams by clearing drains. | Submission point on a topic that is not addressed by PC7. |
| General Seeking Amendment | PC7-306.9 | Springfield Partnership Ltd | Oppose in part | Insert provisions to cover coastal surface water takes. | Submission point not on the provisions of PC7. |
| General | PC7-68.45 | Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group | Oppose in part | Supports recommendations by Opuha Water Limited in relation to Recommendation 4.8.1, Table 1 in the ZIPA, with no specific decision requested. | Submission point not on the provisions of PC7. |
| General | PC7-68.46 | Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group | Oppose in part | Amend Table 1 of the ZIPA to divide those sites where current state averages are known (Geraldine, Opihi, Orari and Pareora (lower)) are tied to a specified limit and monitoring programs are established for those with insufficient data (South Branch Pareora, Taiko Stream, Te Ana Wai, Timaru and Upper Pareora) to ensure current state can be determined and appropriate limits or targets related to the 5.65mg/l can be set at the next plan development stage. | Submission point not on the provisions of PC7. |
| General | PC7-68.47 | Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group | Oppose in part | Amend Recommendation 4.8.1(iii)(a), Table 1 and Table 2 of the ZIPA to be consistent with the NPS-FM (E.g: the word 'attribute' should precede 'state') and data averaged over 5 years should be referred to. | Submission point not on the provisions of PC7. |
| General | PC7-68.48 | Te Ana Wai (TeNgawai) Water Users Group | Oppose in part | Amend Recommendation 4.9.8 in the ZIPA to clarify that shareholders in Opuha Dam are exempt from providing usage data given the shares held. | Submission point not on the provisions of PC7. |
| 05.008 | PC7-424.128 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.8 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge is not onto or into land within 20 m of any mātaītai reserve.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.008 | PC7-424.46 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.8 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge of wastewater from a new, modified or upgraded on-site wastewater treatment system is not within a Rock Art Management Area.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the |

| Plan Provision | Point No | Submitter | Support/Oppose | Summary of Decision Requested | S42A Comment |
|----------------|-------------|---|----------------|---|--|
| | | | | | merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.010 | PC7-424.129 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.10 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge is not within 20 m of any mātaimai reserve.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.010 | PC7-424.48 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.10 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge of swimming pool or spa water is not within a Rock Art Management Area.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.016 | PC7-424.132 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.16 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge of aerobically composted material from a composting toilet is not within 20 m of any mātaimai reserve.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.016 | PC7-424.54 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.16 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge of aerobically composted material from a composting toilet is not within a Rock Art Management Area.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.018 | PC7-424.56 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.18 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge of the dust suppressant is not within a Rock Art Management Area.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.022 | PC7-424.133 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.22 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge is not within 100 m of any mātaimai reserve.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.022 | PC7-424.58 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.22 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge is not within a Rock Art Management Area.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the |

| Plan Provision | Point No | Submitter | Support/Oppose | Summary of Decision Requested | S42A Comment |
|----------------|-------------|---|----------------|---|--|
| | | | | | merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.029 | PC7-424.137 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.29 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The material is not discharged is not within 50 m of any mātaimai reserve.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.029 | PC7-424.62 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.29 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The material is not discharge[d] within a Rock Art Management Area.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.031 | PC7-424.138 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.31 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The stock holding area is not within 20 m of any mātaimai reserve.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.031 | PC7-424.63 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.31 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The stock holding area is not within a Rock Art Management Area.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.033 | PC7-424.139 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.33 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The land used for the collection, storage and treatment of animal effluent is not within 20 m of any mātaimai reserve.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.033 | PC7-424.64 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.33 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The land used for the collection, storage and treatment of animal effluent is not within a Rock Art Management Area.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.035 | PC7-424.140 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.35 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge is not within 20 m of any mātaimai reserve.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the |

| Plan Provision | Point No | Submitter | Support/Oppose | Summary of Decision Requested | S42A Comment |
|----------------|-------------|---|----------------|---|--|
| | | | | | merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.035 | PC7-424.65 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.35 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge is not within a Rock Art Management Area.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.038 | PC7-424.141 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.38 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The use of land and any associated discharge is not within 50 m of any mātaihai reserve.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.038 | PC7-424.67 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.38 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The use of land and any associated discharge is not within a Rock Art Management Area.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.039 | PC7-424.142 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.39 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The use of land and any associated discharge is not within 50 m of any mātaihai reserve.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.039 | PC7-424.68 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.39 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The use of land and any associated discharge is not within a Rock Art Management Area.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.065 | PC7-424.144 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.65 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The activity is not within 10 m of any mātaihai reserve.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.065 | PC7-424.75 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.65 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The activity is not within a Rock Art Management Area.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the |

| Plan Provision | Point No | Submitter | Support/Oppose | Summary of Decision Requested | S42A Comment |
|----------------|-------------|---|----------------|--|--|
| | | | | | merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.066 | PC7-424.145 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.66 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The activity is not within 10 m of any mātaimai reserve.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.066 | PC7-424.76 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.66 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The activity is not within a Rock Art Management Area.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.067 | PC7-424.146 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.67 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. Any adverse effects on mātaimai reserve.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.067 | PC7-424.77 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.67 to include the following matter of discretion for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. Any adverse effects on rock art and the historical, ecological and Ngāi Tahu values associated with them within a Rock Art Management Area.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.068A | PC7-424.147 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Support | Retain Rule 5.68A as notified. | Submission point on a rule not altered by PC7. |
| 05.068A | PC7-424.184 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.68A to include springs. | Submission point on a rule not altered by PC7. |
| 05.068B | PC7-424.148 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Support | Retain Rule 5.68B as notified. | Submission point on a rule not altered by PC7. |
| 05.068B | PC7-424.185 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.68B to include springs. | Submission point on a rule not altered by PC7. |
| 05.069 | PC7-424.150 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Support | Retain Rule 5.69 as notified. | Submission point on a rule not altered by PC7. |

| Plan Provision | Point No | Submitter | Support/Oppose | Summary of Decision Requested | S42A Comment |
|----------------|-------------|---|----------------|--|--|
| 05.069 | PC7-424.187 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.69 to include springs. | Submission point on a rule not altered by PC7. |
| 05.070 | PC7-424.152 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Support | Retain Rule 5.70 as notified. | Submission point on a rule not altered by PC7. |
| 05.070 | PC7-424.188 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.70 to include springs. | Submission point on a rule not altered by PC7. |
| 05.075 | PC7-424.154 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.75 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge is not within 20 m of any mātaimai reserve.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.075 | PC7-424.78 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.75 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge is not within a Rock Art Management Area.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.082 | PC7-424.155 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.82 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. Any new cemetery or an extension to an existing cemetery after 5 September 2015 is not located withing 100 m of any mātaimai reserve.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.082 | PC7-424.79 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.82 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. Any new cemetery or an extension to an existing cemetery after 5 September 2015 is not located within a Rock Art Management Area.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.091 | PC7-424.156 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.91 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge is not located within 100 m of any mātaimai reserve.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.091 | PC7-424.80 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.91 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge is not within a Rock Art Management Area.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the |

| Plan Provision | Point No | Submitter | Support/Oppose | Summary of Decision Requested | S42A Comment |
|----------------|-------------|---|----------------|--|--|
| | | | | | merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.094A | PC7-424.157 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.94A to include the following conditions for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge is not onto or into land within 20 m of any mātaimai reserve;</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.094A | PC7-424.205 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.94A to include the following conditions for the OTOP sub-region: <u>y. The discharge is not into a mātaimai reserve.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.094A | PC7-424.81 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.94A to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge is not within a Rock Art Management Area.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.094B | PC7-424.158 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.94B to include the following matter of discretion for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. Any adverse effects on mātaimai reserves.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.094B | PC7-424.82 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.94B to include the following matter of discretion for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. Any adverse effects on rock art and the historical, ecological and Ngāi Tahu values associated with them within a Rock Art Management Area.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.095 | PC7-424.159 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.95 to include the following conditions for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge is not onto or into land within 20 m of any mātaimai reserve;</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.095 | PC7-424.203 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.95 to include the following conditions for the OTOP sub-region: <u>y. The discharge is not onto a mātaimai reserve.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the |

| Plan Provision | Point No | Submitter | Support/Oppose | Summary of Decision Requested | S42A Comment |
|----------------|-------------|---|----------------|--|--|
| | | | | | merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.095 | PC7-424.83 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.95 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge is not within a Rock Art Management Area.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.098 | PC7-424.161 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.98 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge is not onto or into any land within 50 m of any mātaihai reserve.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.098 | PC7-424.85 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.98 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge is not within a Rock Art Management Area.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.099 | PC7-424.162 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.99 to include the following conditions for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge is not onto or into land within 50 m of any mātaihai reserve;</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.099 | PC7-424.204 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.99 to include the following conditions for the OTOP sub-region: <u>y. The discharge is not into a mātaihai reserve.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.099 | PC7-424.86 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.99 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge is not within a Rock Art Management Area.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.103 | PC7-424.87 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.103 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The bore is not within a Rock Art Management Area.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the |

| Plan Provision | Point No | Submitter | Support/Oppose | Summary of Decision Requested | S42A Comment |
|----------------|-------------|---|----------------|---|--|
| | | | | | merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.104 | PC7-424.88 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.104 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The bore is not installed within a Rock Art Management Area.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.113 | PC7-424.163 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.113 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The bore is not located within 20 m of any mātaimai reserve.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.113 | PC7-424.89 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.113 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The bore is not within a Rock Art Management Area.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.114 | PC7-424.164 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.114 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The bore is not located within 20 m of any mātaimai reserve.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.114 | PC7-424.90 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.114 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The bore is not installed within a Rock Art Management Area.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.114A | PC7-424.165 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.114A to include the following matter of discretion for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. Any adverse effects on mātaimai reserve</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.114A | PC7-424.91 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.114A to include the following matter of discretion for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. Any adverse effects on rock art and the historical, ecological and Ngāi Tahu values associated with them within a Rock Art Management Area.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the |

| Plan Provision | Point No | Submitter | Support/Oppose | Summary of Decision Requested | S42A Comment |
|----------------|-------------|---|----------------|---|--|
| | | | | | merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.116 | PC7-424.167 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.116 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The take is not from a mātaimai reserve</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.135 | PC7-424.100 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.135 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The activity does not occur within a Rock Art Management Area.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.142 | PC7-424.106 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.142 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The discharge does not occur within a Rock Art Management Area.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.146A | PC7-424.107 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.146A to include the following matter of discretion for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. Any adverse effects on rock art and the historical, ecological and Ngāi Tahu values associated with them within a Rock Art Management Area.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.154 | PC7-424.109 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.154 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The activity does not occur within a Rock Art Management Area.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.154 | PC7-424.174 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.154 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The activity does not occur on a mātaimai reserve</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.171 | PC7-424.114 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.171 to include the following matter of discretion for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. Any adverse effects on rock art and the historical, ecological and Ngāi Tahu values associated with them within a Rock Art Management Area.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the |

| Plan Provision | Point No | Submitter | Support/Oppose | Summary of Decision Requested | S42A Comment |
|----------------|-------------|---|----------------|---|--|
| | | | | | merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.172 | PC7-424.115 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.172 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The activity does not occur within a Rock Art Management Area.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.179 | PC7-424.118 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.179 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The activity does not occur within a Rock Art Management Area.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.179 | PC7-424.177 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.179 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The activity does not occur within 20 m of any mātaimai reserve</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.181 | PC7-424.120 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.181 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The activity does not occur within a Rock Art Management Area.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.181 | PC7-424.179 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.181 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The activity does not occur within 20 m of any mātaimai reserve</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.186 | PC7-424.121 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.186 to include the following matter of discretion for the OTOP sub-region: The use of land for a site investigation to assess concentrations of hazardous substances that may be present in the soil that does not meet one or more of the conditions in Rule 5.185 is a restricted discretionary activity. The exercise of discretion is restricted to the following matters: ... <u>x. Any adverse effects on rock art and the historical, ecological and Ngāi Tahu values associated with them within a Rock Art Management Area.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |

| Plan Provision | Point No | Submitter | Support/Oppose | Summary of Decision Requested | S42A Comment |
|----------------|-------------|---|----------------|---|--|
| 05.187 | PC7-424.122 | Te Rūnanga o Arowhenua and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.187 to include the following condition for the OTOP sub-region: <u>x. The activity does not occur within a Rock Art Management Area.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.093 | PC7-292.127 | Timaru District Council | Oppose in part | As a consequential change to the proposed changes to Schedule 8 direct a change to condition 3 of Rule 5.93 as follows: The discharge of stormwater or construction-phase stormwater from a reticulated stormwater system onto or into land or into or onto land in circumstances where a contaminant may enter water, or into groundwater or a surface waterbody is a restricted discretionary activity, provided the following conditions are met: ... 3. The discharge will not cause a limit in Schedule 8 to be exceeded. Where Schedule 8 limits are exceeded, priority catchments are agreed to between the reticulated stormwater system operator and the CRC to show positive directional change over time. ... | Submission point on a rule not altered by PC7. |
| Consultation | PC7-171.1 | Waimakariri Group | Oppose in part | Place Plan Change 7 on hold until after the elections to give the incoming Councillors time to familiarise themselves with the plan and provide input. | Submission point on a topic that is not addressed by regional plans. |
| General | PC7-171.10 | Waimakariri Group | Oppose in part | Support recommendations 1.15 and 1.16 of Part D Waimakariri Water Solutions Programme of the Waimakariri ZIPA with no specific decision requested. | Submission point not on the provisions of PC7. |
| General | PC7-171.11 | Waimakariri Group | Oppose in part | Support recommendations 3.1 and 3.18 of Part D Waimakariri Water Solutions Programme of the Waimakariri ZIPA with no specific decision requested. | Submission point not on the provisions of PC7. |
| General | PC7-171.12 | Waimakariri Group | Oppose in part | Support recommendations 4.1, 4.3, 4.5, 4.6, 4.7 and 4.16 of Part D Waimakariri Water Solutions Programme of the Waimakariri ZIPA with no specific decision requested. | Submission point not on the provisions of PC7. |
| General | PC7-171.13 | Waimakariri Group | Oppose in part | Support recommendations 5.1- 5.7 of Part D Waimakariri Water Solutions Programme of the Waimakariri ZIPA with no specific decision requested. | Submission point not on the provisions of PC7. |
| General | PC7-171.14 | Waimakariri Group | Oppose in part | Support recommendations at paragraph 4.1 of Part C Waimakariri Water Solutions Programme of the Waimakariri ZIPA with no specific decision requested. | Submission point not on the provisions of PC7. |
| 04.031 | PC7-88.48 | Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust | Oppose in part | Amend clause (b) of Policy 4.31 as follows: b. excluding stock from within freshwater bathing sites listed in Schedule 6, salmon spawning sites listed in Schedule 17, Community Drinking-water Protection Zones <u>for surface water takes</u> as set out in Schedule 1, other sensitive water body areas; and the water body bed and banks <u>and riparian zones</u> closely adjacent to and upstream of these areas; and | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 04.031 | PC7-88.49 | Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust | Oppose in part | Amend clause (c) of Policy 4.31 as follows: c. <u>excluding and limiting</u> access to wetlands, and the banks or beds of lakes | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 |

| Plan Provision | Point No | Submitter | Support/Oppose | Summary of Decision Requested | S42A Comment |
|----------------|-----------|----------------------------------|----------------|---|--|
| | | | | and rivers to stock species that prefer to avoid water and at stocking rates that avoid evident <u>damage adverse effects to vegetation, ecological function and substrate.</u> | or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 04.031 | PC7-88.50 | Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust | Oppose in part | Amend Policy 4.31 to insert a new clause as follows: <u>d. provide at least a 5 metres riparian protection zone along and either side of waterways and around wetlands and spring heads.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 04.031 | PC7-88.51 | Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust | Oppose in part | Amend Policy 4.31 to provide consistent and defined terminology. | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 04.031 | PC7-88.52 | Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust | Oppose in part | Amend Policy 4.31 to include higher levels of protection and restoration for E-can mapped wetlands. | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 04.031 | PC7-88.56 | Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust | Oppose in part | Amend clause (a) of Policy 4.31 as follows: a. <u>excluding</u> intensively farmed stock from lakes, rivers, <u>streams, farm drains</u> and wetlands; and | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 04.031 | PC7-88.57 | Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust | Oppose in part | Amend clause (b) of Policy 4.31 as follows: b. <u>excluding</u> stock from within freshwater bathing sites listed in Schedule 6, salmon <u>and trout</u> spawning sites listed in Schedule 17, Community Drinking-water Protection Zones for surface water takes as set out in Schedule 1, other sensitive water body areas; and the water body bed and banks closely adjacent to and upstream of these areas; and | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 04.031 | PC7-88.58 | Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust | Oppose in part | Amend clause (c) of Policy 4.31 as follows: c. <u>excluding and</u> limiting access to wetlands, and the banks or beds of lakes and rivers to stock species that prefer to avoid water and at stocking rates that avoid evident <u>damage and adverse effects to vegetation, ecological function and substrate;</u> and | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 04.031 | PC7-88.59 | Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust | Oppose in part | Amend Policy 4.31 to insert a new clause as follows: <u>provide at least a 5 metre riparian protection zone along and either side of waterways and around wetlands and spring heads.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by |

| Plan Provision | Point No | Submitter | Support/Oppose | Summary of Decision Requested | S42A Comment |
|----------------|-----------|----------------------------------|----------------|--|--|
| | | | | | the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 04.Table 1a | PC7-88.12 | Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust | Oppose in part | Amend Table 1a to include a societal attribute - Indigenous freshwater species, as listed, are sufficiently abundant to support health waterways equivalent to 1990 levels of abundance and diversity. | Submission point cited at para 3.28 of S42A report as being beyond scope. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 04.Table 1a | PC7-88.13 | Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust | Oppose in part | Amend Table 1a to add at least 3% of a catchment area will protect and restore wetlands. | Submission point cited at para 3.28 of S42A report as being beyond scope. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 04.Table 1b | PC7-88.18 | Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust | Oppose in part | Amend Table 1b to include a societal attribute - indigenous species, as listed, are sufficiently abundant to support healthy waterways, equivalent to 1990 levels of diversity and abundance. | Submission point cited at para 3.28 of S42A report as being beyond scope. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.071 | PC7-88.62 | Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.71 as follows: The use and disturbance of the bed (including the banks) of a lake, <u>stream</u> , <u>permanent wetland</u> or river by any farmed cattle, farmed deer or farmed pigs and any associated discharge to water... | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 05.071 | PC7-88.63 | Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust | Oppose in part | Amend Rule 5.71 to include a new condition 5 as follows: <u>In the bed (including the banks) of permanent wetlands, as shown on the Planning Maps.</u> | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 16.07 | PC7-88.33 | Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust | Oppose in part | Amend Schedule 7 to require farm environment plans to map land drainage/overland flow paths and connecting wetlands and plan stock exclusion and native revegetation. | Submission point on a topic that is not addressed by amendments to Schedule 7. |
| 16.07 | PC7-88.47 | Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust | Oppose in part | Amend Schedule 7 to require more detailed on-farm overland stormwater flow/drainage mapping. | Submission point on a topic that is not addressed by amendments to Schedule 7. |
| 16.07 | PC7-88.55 | Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust | Oppose in part | Amend Plan Change 7 to require farm environment planning to include more detailed on-farm overland stormwater flow/drainage mapping. | Submission point on a topic that is not addressed by amendments to Schedule 7. |
| 16.07 | PC7-88.72 | Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust | Oppose in part | Amend 5E of Schedule 7 to include a new target as follows: <u>Detailed farm drainage plan with sufficient contour interval (e.g 10cm) to plot overland flow paths for rainfall runoff and management actions to prevent and or treat contaminated rainfall runoff from discharging to waterways (creeks, drains, wetlands). Fence off and retire wetlands identified in the drainage plan and or E-Can wetland mapping.</u> | Submission point on a topic that is not addressed by amendments to Schedule 7. |
| 16.07 | PC7-88.73 | Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust | Oppose in part | Amend the definition of Management Area in Schedule 7 as follows: Management Area - means the areas of farm management practice as set out below: | Submission point on a topic that is not addressed by amendments to Schedule 7. |

| Plan Provision | Point No | Submitter | Support/Oppose | Summary of Decision Requested | S42A Comment |
|----------------|-----------|----------------------------------|----------------|--|--|
| | | | | a. Nutrients <u>ba. Sediments</u> <u>bb. Land drainage</u> ... | |
| 16.07 | PC7-88.74 | Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust | Oppose in part | Amend clause 2.a.ii in Part A of Schedule 7 as follows: Contains a methodology that will enable development of a plan that will identify actual and potential environment effects and risks specific to the property or land area, addresses those effects and risks and has a high likelihood of appropriately avoiding, remedying <u>offsetting</u> , or mitigating those effects. | Submission point on a topic that is not addressed by amendments to Schedule 7. |
| 16.07 | PC7-88.75 | Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust | Oppose in part | Amend clause 2.c. of Part B of Schedule 7 as follows: The location of permanent or intermittent rivers, streams, lakes, drains, pond, wetlands or springs, <u>including banks and overland stormwater flow paths.</u> | Submission point on a topic that is not addressed by amendments to Schedule 7. |
| 16.07 | PC7-88.76 | Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust | Oppose in part | Amend clause 2.g of Part B in Schedule 7 as follows: The location of any critical source areas <u>and flow/transport pathways</u> for phosphorus or sediment loss for any part of the property or land area including any land within the High Runoff Risk Phosphorus Zone <u>and erosion prone areas.</u> | Submission point on a topic that is not addressed by amendments to Schedule 7. |
| 16.07 | PC7-88.77 | Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust | Oppose in part | Amend clause 2.i of Part B of Schedule 7 as follows: Public access routes or access routes used to maintain the rivers, streams <u>wetlands or drains.</u> | Submission point on a clause within Schedule 7 not altered by PC7. |
| 16.07 | PC7-88.78 | Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust | Oppose in part | Amend clause 4A of Part B in Schedule 7 as follows: An assessment of the adverse environmental effects and risks associated with the farming activities and how the identified effects and risks will be <u>avoided, managed, or offset, including irrigation ,application of nutrients, effluent application, stock exclusion from waterways, soil erosion controls, offal pits and farm rubbish pits, and sediment overland flow paths.</u> | Submission point on a clause within Schedule 7 not altered by PC7. |
| 16.07 | PC7-88.79 | Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust | Oppose in part | Amend Objective 1 of 5A Management Area: Nutrients as follows: Use nutrients efficiently and minimise nutrient losses to <u>surface and ground water.</u> | Submission point on an objective within Schedule 7 not altered by PC7. |
| 16.07 | PC7-88.80 | Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust | Oppose in part | Amend Section 5D of Schedule 7 as follows: 5D Management Area: Cultivation, Soil Structure <u>and Soil Erosion</u> | Submission point on a section within Schedule 7 not altered by PC7. |
| 16.07 | PC7-88.81 | Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust | Oppose in part | Amend Schedule 7 to insert a new Target under 5C Management Area: Cultivation and Soil Structure as follows: <u>Erosion prone areas are stabilised and retired from farming activities as necessary.</u> | Submission point on a section within Schedule 7 not altered by PC7. |
| 16.07 | PC7-88.82 | Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust | Oppose in part | Amend Schedule 7 to insert a new Target under 5C Management Area: Cultivation and Soil Structure as follows: <u>Suspended sediments in overland flow of stormwater are contained and settled out before discharge to waterways.</u> | Submission point on a section within Schedule 7 not altered by PC7. |
| 16.07 | PC7-88.83 | Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust | Oppose in part | Amend the Objective under 5E Management Area: Waterbodies (wetlands, riparian areas, drains, rivers, lakes, springs) as follows: Wetlands, riparian areas and the margins of surface waterbodies are | Submission point on a topic that is not addressed by amendments to Schedule 7. |

| Plan Provision | Point No | Submitter | Support/Oppose | Summary of Decision Requested | S42A Comment |
|----------------|-----------|----------------------------------|----------------|---|--|
| | | | | managed to avoid damage to the bed, <u>banks</u> and margins of the waterbody, and to avoid the direct input of nutrients, sediment, and microbial pathogens. | |
| 16.07 | PC7-88.84 | Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust | Oppose in part | Amend Target 2 under 5E Management Area; Waterbodies as follows: <u>Vegetated riparian margins of sufficient width are maintained to prevent and minimise nutrient, sediment and microbial pathogen losses to waterbodies.</u> | Submission point on a target within Schedule 7 not altered by PC7. |
| 16.07 | PC7-88.85 | Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust | Oppose in part | Amend Target 3 under 5E Management Area: Waterbodies as follows: <u>Farm tracks, gateways, water troughs, self-feeding areas, stock camp wallows and other farming activities that are potential sources of sediment, nutrient and microbial loss are located and drained so as to minimise the risks to surface water quality and ecological health of waterways.</u> | Submission point on a target within Schedule 7 not altered by PC7. |
| 16.07 | PC7-88.86 | Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust | Oppose in part | Amend Schedule 7 to insert a new target under 5E Management Area; Waterbodies as follows: <u>Habitats of indigenous freshwater species are protected.</u> | Submission point on a topic that is not addressed by amendments to Schedule 7. |
| 16.07 | PC7-88.87 | Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust | Oppose in part | Amend Schedule 7 to insert a new target under 5E Management Area; Waterbodies as follows: <u>Spawning sites for sports fisheries are protected.</u> | Submission point on a topic that is not addressed by amendments to Schedule 7. |
| 16.07 | PC7-88.88 | Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust | Oppose in part | Amend clause 1, Section 7 Selwyn Te Waihora - Additional Requirements of Schedule 7 as follows: <u>Include a map(s) or aerial photograph at a scale that clearly shows the location of any known mahinga kai, wahi tapu or Wahi taonga habitats of indigenous freshwater species and spawning sites for sports fisheries within a property or farming enterprise located in the Cultural Landscapes/Values Management Area.</u> | Submission point on a section within Schedule 7 not altered by PC7. |
| 16.07 | PC7-88.89 | Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust | Oppose in part | Amend Schedule 7 to insert a new clause under Section 7 Selwyn Te Waihora - Additional Requirements as follows: <u>Identifying opportunities to undertake additional plantings of indigenous vegetation, and carrying out and managing any additional plantings in accordance with regional council guidelines for riparian planting;</u> | Submission point on a section within Schedule 7 not altered by PC7. |
| 16.07 | PC7-88.90 | Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust | Oppose in part | Amend the nutrient management actions under Section 7 Selwyn Te Waihora - Additional requirements to include the following: <u>Soils management: To maintain or improve the physical and biological condition of soils in order to minimise the movement of sediment, phosphorus and other contaminants to waterways.</u> | Submission point on a section within Schedule 7 not altered by PC7. |
| 16.07 | PC7-88.91 | Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust | Oppose in part | Amend the nutrient management actions under Section 7 Selwyn Te Waihora - Additional requirements to include the following: <u>Minimise and control the overland flow of suspended sediments in stormwater.</u> | Submission point on a section within Schedule 7 not altered by PC7. |
| 16.07 | PC7-88.92 | Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust | Oppose in part | Amend Schedule 7 to insert new requirements under Section 7 Selwyn Te Waihora - Additional requirements to include the following: <u>In-stream biodiversity: To protect and enhance in-stream biodiversity values by:</u> | Submission point on a section within Schedule 7 not altered by PC7. |

| Plan Provision | Point No | Submitter | Support/Oppose | Summary of Decision Requested | S42A Comment |
|-------------------|------------|----------------------------------|----------------|---|--|
| | | | | <p><u>1. On the map or aerial photograph of waterbodies required under Part A of this Schedule, specify the location of any spring heads, wetlands and spring-fed streams on the property or within the farming enterprise to recognise their high instream biodiversity values.</u></p> <p><u>2. Prioritise achievement of the targets for Management Area: Waterbody Management for any spring heads, wetlands and spring-fed streams so as to protect and enhance the instream biodiversity values.</u></p> | |
| 16.07 | PC7-88.93 | Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust | Oppose in part | <p>Amend Schedule 7 to insert new requirements under section 7 Selwyn Te Waihora - Additional requirements to include the following:<u>Wetlands and Riparian Margins: To protect and restore wetland and riparian margins by:</u></p> <p><u>1. Enable activities that maintain, restore or enhance mahinga kai, safe fish passage, indigenous vegetation, habitats of indigenous fauna and significant habitats of trout and salmon.</u></p> <p><u>2. Enable catchment restoration activities that focus on the protection of springs, the protection, establishment or enhancement of planted riparian margins, the creation, restoration or enhancement of wetlands, indigenous biodiversity in riparian margins, weed and pest control activities, and the targeted removal of fine sediment from waterbodies.</u></p> <p><u>3. The high ecological values associated with rivers and wetlands in the Selwyn/Waihora catchment, including Te Waihora, are recognised and provided for by:</u></p> <p><u>a. further reducing, relative to the region-wide permitted activity rules, the area of land used for winter grazing of cattle as a permitted activity; and</u></p> <p><u>b. extending the region-wide provisions for stock exclusion to include drains, artificial watercourses and springs (waipuna).</u></p> | Submission point on a section within Schedule 7 not altered by PC7. |
| 16.08.Groundwater | PC7-88.100 | Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust | Oppose in part | Amend the limit for nitrate nitrogen by halving the current limit until an evidenced based process can reset the limits to ensure public safety. | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| 16.08.Rivers | PC7-88.95 | Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust | Oppose in part | Amend the limit for ammonia nitrogen to raise it by 30%. | Submission point may not be in scope due to being on provisions that are not altered by PC7 or are altered, but not in the manner sought by the submitter. However, an assessment of the merits of the point is provided within the s42A report. |
| General | PC7-88.44 | Water and Wildlife Habitat Trust | Oppose in part | Require effective compliance monitoring and enforcement that is programmed and well resourced. | Submission point on a topic that is not addressed by PC7. |
| General | PC7-475.1 | Yurjevich, M | Oppose in part | Amend Plan Change 7 to include provisions for penalties in instances on non-compliance. | Submission point on a topic that is not addressed by PC7. |