

Agenda 2020

Council Meeting

Thursday, 16 April 2020

Time: 11.00am

Venue: Virtual - due to COVID-19 lockdown



Council

Membership

Chair Cr Jenny Hughey

Deputy Chair Cr Peter Scott

Membership

- Cr Tane Apanui
- Cr Phil Clearwater
- Cr Grant Edge
- Cr Megan Hands
- Cr Ian Mackenzie
- Cr Nicole Marshall
- Cr Claire McKay
- Cr Elizabeth McKenzie
- Cr Craig Pauling
- Cr Lan Pham
- Cr Vicky Southworth
- Cr John Sunckell

Council Meeting

Table of Contents

1. Karakia	7
2. Apologies.....	7
3. Conflicts of Interest	7
4. Public Forum, Deputations and Petitions.....	7
5. Minutes	8
6. Matters Arising.....	24
7. Committee Reports.....	25
7.1. Standing Committees.....	25
7.1.1. Regulation Hearing Committee	25
7.1.2. Canterbury Water Management Strategy Regional Committee	30
8. Matters for Council Decision	38
8.1. Chair's Report – COVID-19 Recovery	38
8.2. Rangitata Flood Recovery update	45
8.3. Appointment of Independent Commissioner for CRPS Change Peer Review.....	49
8.4. Delegation of submission approvals: Draft Government Policy Statement on Land Transport and Draft New Zealand Rail Plan	56
8.5. Working Groups of Council	59
9. Exclusion of the Public from Part of the Council Meeting.....	60
10. Other Business	61

11. Notices of Motion61

12. Questions61

13. Next Meeting.....61

14. Closing Karakia61

- 1. Karakia**
- 2. Apologies**
- 3. Conflicts of Interest**
- 4. Public Forum, Deputations and Petitions**

5. Minutes

Minutes of 509th meeting of the Canterbury Regional Council held in the Council Chamber, 200 Tuam Street, Christchurch on Thursday, 12 March 2020 at 11.00 am

Contents

1. Welcome, Mihi Whakatau and Karakia
2. Apologies
3. Conflicts of Interest
4. Deputations and Petitions
5. Minutes
6. Matters Arising
7. Committee Reports
 - 7.1 Standing Committees
 - 7.1.1 Performance, Audit and Risk Committee
 - 7.1.2 Regulation Hearing Committee
 - 7.2 Statutory Committees
 - 7.2.1 Canterbury Regional Transport Committee
 - 7.3 Joint Committees
 - 7.2.1 Canterbury Civil Defence and Emergency Management Group Joint Committee
8. Matters for Council Decision
 - 8.1 Plan Change 1 to the Hurunui and Waiau River Regional Plan
 - 8.2 Fees and Charges
 - 8.3 Delegation of Submission Approval: National Environmental Standard of the Outdoor Storage of Tyres
 - 8.4 Submission on Proposed National Policy Statement for Indigenous Biodiversity
 - 8.5 Biosecurity Advisory Councillor Working Group
 - 8.6 Zone Committee Annual Reports – Banks Peninsula, Christchurch West Melton and Selwyn Waihora
9. Other Business
10. Notices of Motion
11. Questions
12. Next Meeting
13. Closing Karakia

Present

Chair Jenny Hughey, Deputy Chair Peter Scott, Councillors Tane Apanui, Phil Clearwater, Grant Edge, Megan Hands, Ian Mackenzie, Nicole Marshall, Claire McKay, Elizabeth McKenzie, Craig Pauling, Lan Pham, Vicky Southworth and John Sunckell.

Management and officers present

Bill Bayfield (Chief Executive), Tafflyn Bradford-James (Director Communications and Engagement), Nadeine Dommissie (Chief Operating Officer), Miles McConway (Director Finance and Corporate Services), Stefanie Rixecker (Director Science), Katherine Trought (Director Strategy and Planning), Catherine Schache (General Counsel), and Louise McDonald (Senior Committee Advisor).

Report writers and other staff were also present.

1. **Welcome, Mihi Whakatau and Karakia**

Chair Hughey opened the meeting. Cr Pauling welcomed everyone with a mihi whakatau and Cr Scott provided a karakia. Tribute was paid to the late Jeanette Fitzsimons for her contribution to democracy and to the environment.

2. **Apologies**

There were no apologies.

3. **Conflicts of interest**

There were no conflicts of interest declared.

4. **Deputations and Petitions**

There were no deputations or petitions.

5. **Minutes**

Refer pages 10 to 17 of the agenda

Resolved

That the Council:

1. **confirms and adopts as a true and correct record the minutes of the meeting held on 20 February 2020.**

Cr Mackenzie/Cr Clearwater
CARRIED

6. **Matters Arising**

The Chief Executive confirmed that responses had been sent to the those who presented deputation/petitions to the 20 February meeting.

7. Committee Reports

7.1 Standing Committees

7.1.1 Performance, Audit and Risk Committee

Refer pages 19 to 28 of the agenda

Cr Sunckell presented this item.

Resolved

That the Council:

- 1. receives and confirms as a correct record the minutes of the Performance, Audit and Risk Committee meeting held 27 February 2020.**
- 2. receives the summary of the financial reports for the period ending 31 January 2020.**
- 3. notes the resolutions made by the Committee under delegated authority**

Cr McKay/Cr Edge
CARRIED

7.1.2 Regulation Hearing Committee

Refer pages 29 to 32 of the agenda

Cr McKay presented this item and advised that the minutes from the meetings held on 13 February 2020 had been confirmed at the committee meeting held on 12 March 2020.

Resolved

That the Council:

- 1. receives the confirmed minutes of the Regulation Hearing Committee meeting held on 13 February 2020.**

Cr McKay/Cr Edge
CARRIED

7.2 Statutory Committees

7.2.1 Canterbury Regional Transport Committee

Refer pages 33 to 41 of the agenda

Cr Scott presented this item.

Resolved

That the Council:

- 1. receives the unconfirmed minutes of the Canterbury Regional Transport Committee meeting held on 27 February 2020.**
- 2. agrees to vary the Regional Land Transport Plan by adding the proposed activities to Appendix 1 'Regional programme details'**
 - 2.1 Evans Pass Road and Reserve Terrace Remedial safety works project**

Cr Scott/Cr Southworth

7.2.2 Canterbury Civil Defence and Emergency Management

Refer pages 35 to 42 of the agenda.

Cr Sunckell presented this item.

Resolved

That the Council:

- 1. receives the unconfirmed minutes of the Canterbury Civil Defence and Emergency Management Group Joint Committee meeting held on 28 February 2020.**

Cr Sunckell/Cr Marshall
CARRIED

8. Matters for Council Decision

8.1 Plan Change 1 to the Hurunui and Waiau River Regional Plan

Refer to pages 49 to 135 of the agenda

Cr McKay introduced this item and thanked the Hearing Panel, the Zone Committee, Lisa Jenkins, Andrew Parrish, Ned Norton, Josh Brown, Ian Whitehouse and all the staff involved in Plan Change 1 to the Hurunui and Waiau River Regional Plan.

The contribution and good will shown by Amuiri Irrigation Company Ltd and the community was also acknowledged.

Cr McKay explained that this Plan Change sought to correct an unintended consequence of provisions in the Hurunui and Waiau River Regional Plan that could affect dryland farming.

Councillors supported the panel's recommendations, but there was some concern expressed that the Plan Change did not do more to address water quality issues.

Resolved

That the Council:

- 1. receives the Report and Recommendations of the independent hearing commissioners on the provisions of and submissions on proposed Plan Change 1 to the Hurunui and Waiau River Regional Plan, comprising the following parts:**
 - Report and Recommendations of the Hearing Commissioners.**
 - Appendix 1: Recommended Plan Change 1 provisions**
 - Appendix 3: Summary of the Recommendations of the Hearing Commissioners**
- 2. adopts the Report and Recommendations of the hearing commissioners (Attachment 1), as the Council's decision on the provisions of and submissions on proposed Plan Change 1 to the Hurunui and Waiau River**

Regional Plan in accordance with Clauses 10(1), 10(2), and 10(4)(aaa) of Schedule 1 to the Resource Management Act 1991.

3. **resolves to publicly notify the Council's decision on the provisions of and submissions on proposed Plan Change 1 to the Hurunui and Waiau River Regional Plan on 21 March 2020.**

Cr McKay/Cr Sunckell
CARRIED
Cr Clearwater abstained

8.2 **Fees and Charges**

Refer pages 136 to 141 of the agenda

The Chief Executive presented this item.

The proposal was supported on the basis that staff will continue to look for efficiencies and that applicants will get value for money.

Resolved

That the Council:

1. **approves a proposal to increase in the charge-out rates for consent planning officers to recover actual and reasonable costs**
2. **approves initiation of a special consultative procedure, as set out in the Local Government Act 2002, section 83, to consult the community on increasing consent planning officer charge out rates.**

Cr Pham/Cr Pauling
CARRIED

8.3 **Delegation of Submission Approval: National Environmental Standard for the Outdoor Storage of Tyres**

Refer pages 142 to 144 of the agenda.

Cr Hands introduced this item.

Resolved

That the Council:

1. **delegates to the Chair approval of the Environment Canterbury submission on the Ministry for the Environment's consultation on changes to the proposed National Environmental Standard for the Outdoor Storage of tyres.**

Cr Hands/Cr McKenzie
CARRIED

8.4 **Submission on Proposed National Policy Statement for Indigenous Biodiversity**

Refer pages 145 to 156 of the agenda

Cr Pham presented this item and confirmed that the submissions close on 14 March 2020.

The submission was supported and it was noted that the Council needs to understand the implications for private landowners and the importance of working with them to achieve the outcomes being sought.

Resolved

That the Council:

- 1. approves the Environment Canterbury submission on the proposed National Policy Statement for Indigenous Biodiversity.**

Cr Scott/Cr Pauling
CARRIED

8.5 **Biosecurity Advisory Councillor Working Group**

Refer pages 157 to 158 of the agenda

Resolved

That the Council

- 1. appoints Councillors Grant Edge, Ian Mackenzie, Lan Pham and Elizabeth McKenzie to the Biosecurity Advisory Councillor Working Group.**

Cr Scott/Cr Pauling
CARRIED

8.6 **Zone Committee Annual Reports – Banks Peninsula, Christchurch West Melton and Selwyn Waihora**

Refer pages 159 to 172 of the agenda

Christchurch West Melton

Arapata Reuben was welcomed to the meeting to present the Annual Report from the Christchurch West Melton Zone Committee.

He highlighted the key achievements from 2019 including the attendance of more than 70 residents at the committee's field trips. A challenge for the Committee continues to be sedimentation from the Port Hills, despite work done to provide guidance to land developers.

Noting that Mr Reuben was standing down as chair of the committee, Councillors thanked him for his perserverence and leadership. He confirmed that he was staying as a member of the committee.

Banks Peninsula

Benita Wakefield was welcomed to the meeting to present the Annual Report from the Banks Peninsula Zone Committee.

She was pleased to note the interest and support from the community shown by their participation in the planting day. The work done to raise awareness about mahinga kai was also a highlight.

Ms Wakefield was thanked for her passion and enthusiasm.

Selwyn Waihora

Les Wanhalla was welcomed to the meeting to present the Annual Report from the Zone Committee. He thanked Environment Canterbury for the funding through the Immediate Steps Programme for biodiversity projects.

Before her election to Environment Canterbury Megan Hands had been co-chair of the Selwyn Waihora Zone Committee. Mr Wanhalla thanked her for contribution and noted how well the co-chairing had worked.

Highlights from 2019 included the committee joining Selwyn Enviroschools at their Te Ara Kākariki kids planting day and collaboration with other groups working on projects at Coes Ford.

Mr Wanhalla was thanked for his leadership and for initiating field trips with other zone committees.

Resolved

- 1. That the Council receives the Banks Peninsula Zone Committee Annual Report**
- 2. That the Council receives the Christchurch West Melton Zone Committee Annual Report**
- 3. That the Council receives the Selwyn Waihora Zone Committee Annual Report**

Cr Pauling/Cr McKay
CARRIED

9 Other Business

There was no other business.

10. Notices of motion

There were no notices of motion.

11. Questions

There were no questions.

12. **Next meeting**

The next meeting was scheduled for Thursday 16 April 2020.

13. **Closing karakia**

The Chair thanked everyone for their participation and invited Cr Pauling to lead the karakia to close the meeting at 12.30pm.

CONFIRMED

Date _____

_____ Chair

Minutes of 510th meeting of the Canterbury Regional Council held in the Council Chamber, 200 Tuam Street, Christchurch on Tuesday 24 March 2020 at 3.06pm

Contents

1. Welcome and Karakia
2. Apologies
3. Conflicts of Interest
4. Deputations and Petitions
5. Matters for Council Decision
 - 5.1 Emergency Committee
 - 5.2 Fees and Charges – Statement of Proposal
 - 5.3 Chief Executive Employment, Performance and Remuneration Committee – Terms of Reference
6. Other Business
 - 9.1 Late Item – Harbourmaster’s Powers – Navigation Safety
7. Exclusion of the Public from part of the meeting
8. Notices of Motion
9. Questions
10. Next Meeting
11. Closing Karakia

Present

Chair Jenny Hughey, Deputy Chair Peter Scott, Councillors Tane Apanui, Phil Clearwater, Grant Edge, Nicole Marshall, Claire McKay, Elizabeth McKenzie, Lan Pham, and John Sunckell.

By audio visual link: Councillors Megan Hands, Ian Mackenzie, Craig Pauling and Vicky Southworth

Management and officers present

Bill Bayfield (Chief Executive), Tafflyn Bradford-James (Director Communications and Engagement), Nadeine Dommissie (Chief Operating Officer), Miles McConway (Director Finance and Corporate Services), Stefanie Rixecker (Director Science), Catherine Schache (General Counsel), and Louise McDonald (Senior Committee Advisor).

Amanda Douglas – Wynn Williams

Report writers and other staff were also present.

1. Welcome and Karakia

Due to the COVID-19 situation and the Government announcement of moving to level 4 shutdown this Extraordinary Council meeting advertised for 26 March 2020 was brought forward to Tuesday 24 March (pursuant to Standing Orders 2.14).

Councillors Hughey, Pham, Apanui, Clearwater, Edge, Mackenzie, Marshall, McKay, Pham, Scott and Sunckell were in attendance and formed the quorum.

Crs Hands, McKenzie, Pauling and Southworth joined the meeting by audio visual link. Due to issues with technology, Crs Hands and Southworth missed item 5.2 and the late item (Harbourmaster – Navigation Safety).

Chair Hughey opened the meeting and Cr Scott provided a karakia.

The Chair confirmed that members had received the following tabled documents:

- Item 5.1 – Emergency Committee – revised report
- Item 6 – Other Business – Late Item – Harbourmaster’s Powers – Navigation Safety
- Item 7 – Public Excluded – Chief Executive Recruitment Process report and the unconfirmed minutes from the meeting of the Chief Executive Employment, Performance and Remuneration Committee

2. **Apologies**

There were no apologies.

3. **Conflicts of interest**

There were no conflicts of interest declared.

4. **Deputations and Petitions**

There were no deputations or petitions.

5. **Matters for Council Decision**

Item 5.2 was taken first

5.2 **Fees and Charges – Statement of Proposal**

Refer to pages 9 to 14 of the agenda

Councillors supported the recommendations but questioned the proposed timeframe in light of the COVID-19 situation.

The Chief Executive advised that the timeframe was not bound by this decision and staff will review the timeframe and come back to Councillors.

Resolved

That the Council:

1. adopts the Statement of Proposal
2. directs the staff to make this Statement of Proposal publicly available through a special consultative procedure, as set out the Local Government Act 2020, section 83.

Cr Scott//Cr Clearwater
CARRIED

Item 6 was then taken

6. Other Business – Late Item

Refer tabled report

Resolved

That the report be received and considered at the Extraordinary Council meeting on 24 March:

- Harbourmaster's Powers – Navigation Safety

Cr McKay /Cr Mackenzie
CARRIED

6.1 Harbourmaster's Powers – Navigation Safety

Refer to the tabled report

The Chief Executive presented this report.

Resolved

That the Council:

1. resolves that, for the purposes of the Maritime Transport Act 1994, the Council's Harbourmaster may exercise the powers and perform the duties conferred by the Act or any other enactment for the purpose of:

1.1 ensuring navigation safety; and

1.2 ensuring maritime safety, insofar as necessary as a result of the COVID-19 risk (including for example, around piloting of vessels), in relation to the ports, harbours, or waters for which he or she has been appointed as a Harbourmaster by the Council.

Cr McKay/Cr Mackenzie
CARRIED

Attendance: Crs Hands and Southworth joined the meeting by audio visual link at 3.20pm

5.1 Emergency Committee

Refer to the tabled report that replaced the report on page 7 of the agenda

Resolved

That the Council receive the updated report 'Emergency Committee'.

Cr Clearwater/Cr Sunckell
CARRIED

Catherine Schache presented this report.

Resolved

That the Council:

1. establishes an Emergency Committee with a membership of three, consisting of:
 - a. the Chair, Jenny Hughey as the Chair,
 - b. Cr Peter Scott, as the Deputy Chair of the Committee; and
 - c. Cr John Sunckell.
2. agrees that a quorum for the meeting shall be two members;
3. for the purposes of the COVID-19 emergency, delegates to the Chair, in conjunction with the Deputy Chair, the authority to activate the Emergency Committee and by resolution of the Emergency Committee, suspend all other core committees of the Council.
4. the Council delegates to the Emergency Committee all of the Council's powers, duties, and responsibilities that the Council can lawfully delegate to a committee; and
5. the Emergency Committee and the Chief Executive then report any decisions made by the Emergency Committee to the next Council meeting; and
6. the delegations to the Emergency Committee may be revoked by the Council at any time;
7. these delegations may be exercised only in circumstances where the Council is unable or unavailable to hold meetings that comply with the requirements of the Local Government Act 2002 and the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987.
8. notes that the Council considers it is prudent for Council to authorise the Chief Executive (while the Government's alert levels make meetings of Council impossible) to make urgent decisions if the Emergency Committee cannot meet;
9. makes delegations to the Chief Executive, which include appropriate conditions, to make urgent decisions during the COVID-19 pandemic if the Emergency Committee cannot meet (as set out in Attachment 1).

Attachment 1: Delegation to Chief Executive for Decision-making during COVID-19 pandemic

1. With immediate effect and until the Government reduces the alert level status, allowing meetings (including meetings of Council), the Council:
 - a. Delegates to the Chief Executive all of the Council's powers, duties and responsibilities, except for those powers, duties and responsibilities that the Council is not legally able to delegate.
 - b. Authorises the Chief Executive to approve expenditure, to approve the foregoing of revenue, or to take any other steps not provided for in the Long Term Plan or Annual Plan, that are necessary for Canterbury Regional Council.
2. The exercise of this delegation is subject to the following conditions:

- a **An urgent decision is required to:**
 - i **Enable the discharge of the Council's health and safety duties – this is of particular importance and relevance during the COVID-19 pandemic. Such decisions would include actions to protect employees and contractors;**
 - ii **Implement the range of measures (acting under the relevant COVID-19 alert level) directed or issued as guidance by the Government, relevant Ministers, or agencies. This ensures that any decisions are aligned with, and support, the official government position; or**
 - iii **Respond to any issues that arise as a result of the prevailing COVID-19 alert level or any other urgent matter.**
- b **Prior to exercising this delegation, the Chief Executive must obtain the endorsement to the proposed decision from two Chairs in the following order of precedence:**
 - i **Council Chair;**
 - ii **Council Deputy Chair;**
 - iii **Performance Audit & Risk Committee Chair;**
 - iv **Any Committee Chair;**
 - v **Any Councillor**
- c **The exercise of the delegation and the decision must be reported by the Chief Executive to Councillors as soon as practicable and reported to the first available Council meeting.**

Cr Mackenzie/Cr Clearwater
CARRIED

5.3 **Chief Executive Employment, Performance and Remuneration Committee – Terms of Reference**

Refer pages 15 to 19 of the agenda

It was confirmed that the amendments to the Committee's Terms of Reference were to correct the timeframe for this term of the Council; and to correctly reflect that the Council had appointed 4 members at the 14 November 2019 meeting.

Resolved
That the Council:

- 1. **notes that its meeting on 14 November 2019, the Council:**
 - a. **established the Chief Executive Employment, Performance and Remuneration Committee; and**
 - b. **adopted the Terms of Reference for the Chief Executive Employment, Performance and Remuneration Committee;**
- 2. **adopts the proposed amendment to the Terms of Reference of the Chief Executive Employment, Performance and Remuneration Committee.**

Cr Scott/Cr McKay
CARRIED

8.6 Exclusion of the Public from Part of the Council Meeting

Refer to page 20 of the agenda

That the public be excluded from the following part of the proceedings of this meeting, namely:

1. Report from the Chief Executive Employment, Performance and Remuneration Committee

1. The general subject of the matters to be considered while the public is excluded, the reason for passing this resolution and the specific grounds under section 48(1) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 for the passing of this resolution are as follows:

Item No.	Report	Reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter	Ground(s) under section 48(1) for the passing of this resolution
1.	Report from the Chief Executive Employment, Performance and Remuneration Committee	Good reason to withhold exists under section 7	Section 48(2)(i)

2. This resolution is made in reliance on section 48(1) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the particular interest or interests protected by section 6 or section 7 of that Act which would be prejudiced by the holding of the whole or relevant part of the proceeding of the meeting in public are as follows:

Item No.	
1	Enable the Council holding the information to carry on, without prejudice or disadvantage, negotiations (including commercial and industrial negotiations - Section 7(2)(i)

2. That appropriate officers remain to provide advice to the Committee.

Cr Clearwater/Cr Sunckell
CARRIED

The meeting went into public excluded session from 3.25 to 3.54pm

8. Notices of motion

There were no notices of motion.

9. Questions

There were no questions.

12. **Next meeting**

The next meeting was scheduled for Thursday 16 April 2020.

13. **Closing karakia**

The Chair thanked everyone for their participation and invited Cr Scott to lead the karakia to close the meeting at 3.56pm

CONFIRMED

Date _____

_____ Chair

6. Matters Arising

7. Committee Reports

7.1. Standing Committees

7.1.1. Regulation Hearing Committee

Council report

Date of meeting	16 April 2020
Author	Alison Cooper, Consents Hearing Officer

Purpose

1. For the Council to receive, for information, minutes from the Regulation Hearing Committee.

Recommendations

That the Council:

1. receive the confirmed minutes of the Regulation Hearing Committee meeting held on 12 March 2020.

Attachments

1. RHC Minutes 2020 -03 -12 [7.1.1.1 - 4 pages]

REGULATION HEARING COMMITTEE

Minutes of the meeting held in the
Council Chamber, 200 Tuam Street, Christchurch, on
Thursday, 12 March 2020 at 8.30am

CONTENTS

- 1.0 Apologies
- 2.0 Conflict of Interest
- 3.0 Minutes of Meeting – 13 February 2020
- 4.0 Matters Arising
- 5.0 Deputations and Petitions
- 6.0 Item for Discussion
 - 6.1 Appointment of Hearing Commissioners – Oceania Dairy Limited
 - 6.2 Appointment of Hearing Commissioner - NSK Farming Limited
 - 6.3 Appointment of Hearing Commissioner – Oakvale Farm Limited
 - 6.4 Appointment of Hearing Commissioner – Mr C G Morris
- 7.0 Extraordinary and Urgent Business
- 8.0 Other Business
- 9.0 Next Meeting
- 10.0 Closure

PRESENT

Councillors Claire McKay (Chair), Grant Edge, Nicole Marshall, Craig Pauling and Lan Pham

IN ATTENDANCE

Haleigh Brereton (Regional Leader Consents Delivery), Catherine Schache (General Counsel) and Alison Cooper (Consents Hearings Officer)

1. APOLOGIES

Councillor C Pauling (for lateness)

2. CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Councillor Pauling noted the objector in item 6.3 was a neighbour. It was noted and agreed that it was not a conflict of interest in this matter.

3. DEPUTATIONS AND PETITIONS

There were no deputations or petitions.

4. MINUTES OF MEETING – 13 FEBRUARY 2020

The Committee noted that Councillor Pauling was recorded as being both present and as an apology at the meeting and agreed that the minutes be amended to record he had presented an apology.

Resolved:

The Regulation Hearing Committee:

Confirms the amended minutes of the meeting held on 13 February 2020 as a true and correct record.

Cr McKay / Cr Marshall
CARRIED

5. MATTERS ARISING

There were no matters arising.

6. ITEMS FOR DISCUSSION

6.1 Appointment of Hearing Commissioners – Oceania Dairy Limited

Resolved:

That the Regulation Hearing Committee in regard to resource consent applications CRC201187, CRC201191, CRC201192, CRC201190 and CRC201194 made by Oceania Dairy Limited:

- 1. Appoints Paul Rogers as a Hearings Commissioner; and Chair of the Hearing Panel under s34A of the Resource Management Act 1991; and**
- 2. Appoints Emma Christmas as a Hearings Commissioner; and member of a Hearing Panel under s34A of the Resource Management Act 1991; and**
- 3. Appoints Hoani Langsbury as a Hearings Commissioner; and member of a Hearing Panel under s34A of the Resource Management Act 1991; and**
- 4. Delegates to Paul Rogers, Emma Christmas and Hoani Langsbury pursuant to s34A(1) Resource Management Act 1991, the function, powers and duties required to: deal with any preliminary matters; hear; and decide the resource consent applications.**

Cr McKay/ Cr Pham
CARRIED

6.2 Appointment of Hearing Commissioners – NSK Farming Limited

Resolved:

That the Regulation Hearing Committee in regard to resource consent application CRC145237 made by NSK Farming Limited:

- 1. Appoints Bianca Sullivan as a Hearings Commissioner under s34A of the Resource Management Act 1991; and**

2. Delegates to Bianca Sullivan pursuant to s34A(1) Resource Management Act 1991, the function, powers and duties required to: deal with any preliminary matters; hear; and decide the resource consent application.

Cr McKay / Cr Edge
CARRIED

6.3 Appointment of Hearing Commissioner - Oakvale Farms Limited

Resolved:

That the Regulation Hearing Committee in regard to an objection to decision on resource consents CRC103245 and CRC094177 held by Oakvale Farm Limited:

1. Appoints Cindy Robinson as a Hearings Commissioner under s34A of the Resource Management Act 1991; and
2. Delegates to Cindy Robinson pursuant to s34A(1) Resource Management Act 1991, the function, powers and duties required to: deal with any preliminary matters; hear; and decide the objection.

Cr McKay / Cr Pham
CARRIED

6.4 Appointment of Hearing Commissioner – Mr C G Morris

Resolved:

That the Regulation Hearing Committee in regard to an objection to costs on resource consent application CRC193477 held by Mr C Morris:

1. Appoints Ken Lawn as a Hearings Commissioner under s34A of the Resource Management Act 1991; and
2. Delegates to Ken Lawn pursuant to s34A(1) Resource Management Act 1991, the function, powers and duties required to: deal with any preliminary matters; hear; and decide the objection.

Cr McKay / Cr Marshall
CARRIED

7 EXTRAORDINARY AND URGENT BUSINESS

There was no extraordinary or urgent business.

8 OTHER BUSINESS

- 8.1 Catherine Schache introduced Haleigh Brereton, Regional Leaders Consents Delivery.
- 8.2 Councillor Pham asked about the Committee returning to making notified decisions where no submitters are to be heard. Catherine Schache advised it was the Councillors decision and could resume once more members have completed the 'Making Good Decisions' programme.

9 **NEXT MEETING** - To be advised

10 **CLOSURE** - The Chairperson declared the meeting closed at 8.44 am

CONFIRMED

Date:

19/3/2020

Chairperson:

B E M Ky

7.1.2. Canterbury Water Management Strategy Regional Committee

Council report

Date of meeting	16 April 2020
Author	Elaine Greaves, Committee Advisor

Purpose

1. To receive the unconfirmed minutes for the Canterbury Water Management Strategy Regional Committee meeting held on 11 February 2020.
2. There are no recommendations from the Committee to the Council
3. These minutes will be presented to the next meeting of the Committee for confirmation.

Recommendations

That the Council:

1. **receives the unconfirmed minutes from the meeting of the Canterbury Water Management Strategy Regional Committee held on 11 February 2020.**

Attachments

1. Minutes of the CWMS Regional Committee meeting held on 11 February 2020.

**MINUTES OF THE CANERBURY WATER MANAGEMENT
STRATEGY REGIONAL COMMITTEE
HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER
ENVIRONMENT CANTERBURY
200 TUAM STREET, CHRISTCHURCH
ON TUESDAY, 11 FEBRUARY 2020 AT 1.05 PM**

Contents

Opening Karakia

1. Apologies
2. Conflicts of Interest
3. Minutes of previous meeting held 10 December 2019
4. Matters Arising

Items for Discussion:

5. 2019 CWMS Regional Committee Annual Report
6. 2020 Challenges and Opportunities
7. Watershed 2020 (guidance sought)

Items for Information:

8. Updates for information (Fit for Future/Territorial Authorities; Central Government initiatives and timeframes; RMA amendments – issues and options; and Environment Canterbury's submission)
9. Zone Committee, Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu and Central Government Updates
10. Facilitator's Update
11. Any other business
12. Next meeting

Closing Karakia

Present

Chair:	Hugh Logan
Community Representatives:	Hugh Canard Jane Demeter Ross Millichamp
Zone Representatives:	
Carolyne Latham	Waimakariri
Les Wanhalla	Christchurch West-Melton
Benita Wakefield	Selwyn-Waihora
John Preece	Hurunui/Waiau
Barney Hoskins	Lower Waitaki
Ted Howard	Kaikoura
Rima Herber	Banks Peninsula
Phil Driver	Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora
Christchurch City Council	Cr Pauline Cotter
District Council Representatives:	
Peter McIlraith	South Canterbury
Cr Angus McKay	Mid Canterbury
Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu	Trudy Heath
Ngā Rūnanga	Nukuroa Tirikatene-Nash (North Canterbury)
Environment Canterbury:	Cr Claire McKay Cr Craig Pauling
Canterbury District Health Board	Dr Alistair Humphrey

Absent

Nick Vincent	Ministry for the Environment
Upper Waitaki representative	

In attendance

Environment Canterbury: Lesley Woudberg (Team Leader Zone Facilitator), Caroline Hart (CWMS), Stephen Bragg, (CWMS Tangata Whenua Facilitator), Frances Schmechel (Principal Biodiversity Advisor) and Shaun Burkett (Regional Lead, Biodiversity); and Elaine Greaves (Committee Advisor).

Opening Karakia

Cr Craig Pauling opened the meeting by acknowledging those who had travelled from within the region to attend today's meeting and staff in attendance; and provided a karakia.

Welcome

Chair, Hugh Logan, welcomed everyone to the first meeting of 2020 – a year of change.

The Facilitator checked sufficient members were in attendance for a quorum, noting the Committee's membership was currently in a state of flux. Confirmation of a refresh of expired appointments and territorial authority appointments would be confirmed at the Mayoral Forum

to be held at the end of February 2020. Although the process of refreshing membership would happen in the next few months, it should not impact on the operation of the Committee; and those present were thanked for their continuing contributions. The Committee would need to be mindful of changes/refresh over the next 2-3 months.

It was hoped that future membership would also include rūnanga representatives for north, mid and south Canterbury, as well as an observer from Land Information New Zealand (LINZ). In this regard it was noted that Nukuroa Tirikatene-Nash would be joining today's meeting, representing North Canterbury Rūnanga.

Discussion took place around the need to keep the momentum of the Committee's work going during the interregnum period; and the need for clarity for those attending meetings during this period.

The Canterbury Water Management Strategy Regional Committee agreed:

- 1. That for the purpose clarity and continuity, the Terms of Reference be amended to reflect that incumbents remain in appointed positions until such time that a decision is made on their replacement.**

Peter McIlraith/Phil Diver
CARRIED

1. Apologies

Apologies for absence were received and accepted from Stuart Wilson and Loretta Dobbs. Cr Pauline Cotter gave an apology for early departure.

2. Conflicts of interest

Refer to pages 1-8 of the agenda

A Register of Interests was circulated; and members were asked to amend accordingly, including any conflicts of interest in relation to current agenda items. It was noted that the information provided at today's meeting would be populated into an embedded Register to be amended/confirmed at all future meetings.

An explanatory report was provided for information. The rule of thumb was – if in doubt, record it. If necessary, advice would be sought regarding any concerns raised.

3. Minutes of the previous meeting 10 December 2019

Refer pages 9 to 16 of the agenda

The Canterbury Water Management Strategy Regional Committee:

- 1. Confirmed the minutes of its meeting held on 10 December 2019, subject to a minor amendment being made on page 14 (to change the word 'recess').**

Ross Millichamp/Barney Hoskins
CARRIED

4. **Matters arising**

Enquiries had been made with LINZ regarding a representative attending future meeting as an observer. However, LINZ have yet to appoint a specific person.

The forward work programme would be discussed under items 6 and 8.

Trudy Heath arrived at 1.21pm and was absent for items 1-3 and part of item 4.

Items for Discussion

5. **2019 CWMS Regional Committee Annual Report**

Refer to page 17 of the agenda

The Facilitator welcomed and noted comments from Committee members, advising the Chair would present the previously emailed document to Environment Canterbury Regional Council and discuss challenges going forward.

There was support for (in the future) international trends to be taken into account when considering challenges and opportunities and how they could impact (both positively and negatively) on Canterbury.

The Canterbury Water Management Strategy Regional Committee:

1. **Agreed by consensus, to receive and adopt its 2019 Annual Report.**

Item 8 was considered next in order to provide background to item 6 on a national level.

8. **Update on CWMS Fit for the Future Project and Key Central Government Initiatives**

Refer to page 25 of the agenda

Caroline Hart (Senior Strategy Manager) provided an overview of updates on the following key initiatives, noting all proposals were works in progress:

- CWMS Fit for the Future Project implementation
- Action Plan for Healthy Waterways (Essential Freshwater discussion document)
- Review of Three Waters and the Water Services Regulator
- Resource Management System: A comprehensive review (issues and options paper)
- Resource Management Act Amendment
- New Zealand Biodiversity Strategy (discussion document)
- National Policy Statement on Indigenous Biodiversity (discussion document).

The meeting paused momentarily at 1.35pm for Cr Pauling to welcome new Joint Committee member, Nukuroa Tirikatene-Nash (representing North Canterbury) to the meeting.

The Chair noted the process being outlined would achieve a coherent, supported and owned work programme. It was a shared commitment (recognised by the Mayoral Forum) that would then be up to territorial authorities to determine how to implement and budget for the work to be done.

Considerable discussion took place and it was noted many actions for the 2025 goals were already under way. The Regional Committee's role was to maintain strategic overview of the goals. Together with the Mayoral Forum, it had agreed the goals and directions; and the report outlined the process of reporting and monitoring that would need to be done.

The Canterbury Water Management Strategy Regional Committee:

1. Noted the contents of the report.

The meeting adjourned for afternoon tea at 2.34pm and resumed at 2.50pm.

6. 2020 Challenges and Opportunities

Refer to pages 19-20 of the agenda

The Facilitator led discussion on what the Committee would report as its key achievement in its 2020 Annual Report. Members were asked to write down what they considered to be priorities for 2020 and considerable discussion ensued. The Chair explained the Committee's role was to advise Environment Canterbury how to manage water. Zone committees undertake the work and this Committee works with staff and meets with regional colleagues to ensure implementation. The Terms of Reference clearly stated the Committee's role was to monitor progress of the Canterbury Water Management Strategy across the region and provide information on regional issues to the Regional Committee. The Committee was also able to seek advice from this forum and act in an advisory capacity to Environment Canterbury.

The Facilitator noted comprehensive feedback given by each Committee member on what had been achieved. The information would be collated and the Facilitator undertook to send out a doodle poll on what members considered could be achieved. It was important to focus on:

1. Action and reporting
2. Programmes
3. Advice to Environment Canterbury on key strategic issues.

7. Watershed Event 2020

Refer to pages 21-24 of the agenda

Initial planning for the event had begun, including dates identified (8-9 June 2020); and a draft run-sheet prepared.

Feedback and guidance was sought on the initial draft Watershed 2020 objectives, strap lines and programme; and members were asked to email their comments to the Facilitator.

Carolyn Latham, Benita Wakefield, Rima Herber and Councillor Cotter retired from the meeting at 3.34pm and were absent for items 9 and 10.

9. **Zone Updates**

Refer to page 27 of the agenda

Kaikoura - a new wetland had been discovered and the farmer was keen to protect it. The Committee was just getting back into action.

Hurunui-Waiiau – achieved getting wetlands back on the agenda as a focus. The Committee was pursuing a clearer understanding of water quality issues and how it could implement actions to improve it.

Christchurch-West Melton – had held one workshop and was still concentrating on heavy metals in relation to urban water.

Selwyn-Waihora – had only held one workshop since November 2019 and had lost the Committee's co-chair. The Committee had identified actions that would achieve some outcomes and it intended to meet in March 2020.

Cr Cotter and Alistair Humphries had achieved some wins in getting the Ministry of Health to look at nitrates in water and making a connection to cancer. Christchurch-West Melton, CDHB, Christchurch City Council and Environment Canterbury had lobbied the Ministry of Health to come to a different outcome. Alistair Humphreys advised the Ministry of Health supported his proposal that the Danish study needed to be corroborated or refuted. International data was required, and New Zealand was in a good position to assist. Increasing rates of nitrates varied throughout the country so the study would provide a unique opportunity to consider whether relatively low levels of nitrates could be connected to colon-rectal cancer. It was agreed a New Zealand study should be able to provide some good data.

Lower Waitaki – Wainono work was very successful. Had good engagement with community around the swimming hole. Zone Committee was undertaking field trips with a view to establishing catchment groups. A number of challenges had been identified.

Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora – the Pareora River was currently in a poor condition and considered unswimmable, partly because of the dry weather and adjacent land uses.

10. **Facilitator's Report**

Refer to page 29 of the agenda

The report outlined:

- Dates for meetings and events
- Progress on issues raised at previous meetings
- Central Government initiatives
- Media
- Items for information.

Clarification was sought around the 10 March 2020 working groups meeting and the Facilitator advised once the Committee had a sense of its top priorities, the working groups date would be revisited. The Chair believed it would be worthwhile to dedicate part of a field trip to understanding the application of various types of infrastructure across the region and how they relate to the Committee's targets.

People were most interested in:

- Infrastructure
- Irrigation and green infrastructure projects
- Stormwater and wastewater
- Energy/hydro infrastructure.

It was noted there was a desire for a seminar to be held regarding the impacts of climate change in Canterbury. Councillors McKay and Pauling were asked to share information on Environment Canterbury's stance on climate change.

11. **Any other business**

There was no other business for discussion.

12. **Next meeting**

The next meeting was scheduled for 14 April 2020 – venue to be advised.

A closing karakia was provided by Nukuroa Tirikatene-Nash.

The meeting concluded at 3.58pm.

Chairperson

Date

8. Matters for Council Decision

8.1. Chair's Report – COVID-19 Recovery

1. Introduction

The world has slowed to a crawl in response to COVID-19.

It is a shock to find ourselves in a major global crisis.

Fortunately planning for responding to pandemics is well established in our Civil Defence plans. On the hazard Priority Plan for Canterbury, a human disease pandemic is ranked third after earthquakes and tsunamis.

Internationally the World Health Organisation (WHO) Director General warned in March 2019 that the “question is not if we will have another pandemic, but when”.¹

We have declared a state of national emergency as a nation in response to this major global crisis. Our teams here in Canterbury are well experienced in civil defence responding. We now need to operationalise the lessons we learnt particularly from the Canterbury Earthquakes about how to best deal with the recovery.

Our regional management plan offers guidance in terms of reduction, readiness, response and recovery. The plan utilizes the lessons learnt from the earthquake and most importantly tells us we must begin the process of planning for recovery immediately. We cannot wait until the crisis is over.

The plan tells us that recovery must:

- support the cultural, emotional and physical well-being of individuals and communities
- minimise the escalation of the consequences of the emergency
- take opportunities to regenerate and enhance communities in ways that will meet future needs (across social, economic, natural and built environments)
- reduce future exposure to hazards and their associated risks.

In addition to the regional Civil Defence & Emergency Management Group, the Canterbury Mayoral Forum also brings the region together and this is a great strength of our region for recovery.

On a part regional basis, we also have strength in the Greater Christchurch Partnership which is a partnership between ourselves Christchurch City Council, Selwyn and Waimakariri District Councils, Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu, the Canterbury District Health Board, the NZ Transport Agency, and the Department of Prime Minister and Cabinet.

These forums will provide us with the foundations for a strong collaborative approach to our response while we at the same time ensure our service delivery role continues.

¹ <https://news.un.org/en/story/2019/03/1034431>

As the Mayor of Christchurch City has pointed out “we need to remember now that the decisions we make now will impact on our recovery. There should be no silo thinking.”

There will be opportunities arising from the recovery to further the Council’s priorities. We need to find innovative ways of working in order to explore and accelerate opportunities to extend existing projects and develop new projects – not just “shovel ready” infrastructure projects – that will help to address important environmental and climate issues. This is particularly in the context of the climate emergency declared by Canterbury Regional Council last year. Now is the time for us to take the initiative and seize opportunities.

We must be prepared to collaborate with central government and across our region with existing forums and ways of operating to participate collectively in developing and contributing to a recovery plan. Our economic and wellbeing recovery will depend on every one of us working proactively to make this happen.

It was often stated during the earthquakes that the recovery process is not a sprint, it is a marathon. It is critical that we pace ourselves and look after each other with a collective wellbeing response.

This paper acknowledges the leadership initiative of the Mayor of Christchurch City Council, Lianne Dalziel, in producing the report this document is based for the City Council meeting on 2 April 2020.

The purpose of the paper is to assist in ensuring that Canterbury Regional Council establishes recovery and implementation planning immediately, collaborating with all the Councils in our region and our partners, building on our strengths, engaging with our communities to assist in setting Canterbury on a firm foundation for our recovery.

This means that we need to agree to review and adjust our strategic frameworks in light of the COVID-19 crisis to ensure they are fit for purpose to facilitate recovery.

Recommendations

That the Council:

- 1. receives the Chair’s report**
- 2. notes that recovery planning begins immediately and should include consideration of what key projects and initiatives should be prioritised to support the recovery and resilience of Canterbury, and to achieve important environmental and climate outcomes**
- 3. notes that decisions being made on the Annual Plan and planning for the Long-Term Plan must take recovery and resilience into account**
- 4. agrees to review and adjust the Council’s strategic direction in light of the COVID-19 crisis to ensure it is fit for purpose to facilitate recovery**
- 5. notes that Council has the foundations for a strong collaborative approach to recovery through strategic relationships with Ngāi Tahu Papatipu Rūnanga, and the Greater Christchurch Partnership and Canterbury Mayoral Forum**
- 6. supports the Canterbury Mayoral Forum as it provides strong leadership and coordination for recovery planning involving all the region’s local authorities, including connecting and empowering our communities across the region**

7. **supports the Greater Christchurch Partnership as it reassesses the work done on the Greater Christchurch Strategic Framework 2050 to position the work as the collective post COVID-19 recovery plan for Greater Christchurch.**

2. Background

New Zealand's emergency management system

On Wednesday 25 March, as our country was preparing to go into Alert Level 4 in response to COVID-19, the Government declared a nationwide state of national emergency.

In a state of national emergency, the National Emergency Management Agency (NEMA) is in charge. NEMA was established in December 2019, replacing the Ministry of Civil Defence & Emergency Management; while the regional structure sitting under NEMA remains the same with a Regional Group involving a Group Controller, Recovery Manager and a representative of each council in the region.

The Canterbury Civil Defence & Emergency Management (CDEM) Group is chaired by the Mayor of Christchurch City Council and deputy chaired by Councillor John Sunckell.

The Canterbury CDEM Group Plan was adopted in June 2014 and amended in June 2018 to incorporate Strategic Planning for Recovery.² The purpose of this strategic plan is to enable the community, local authorities and emergency response organisations to manage hazards and risk through:

- strengthening relationships between agencies involved in emergency management
- encouraging cooperative planning and actions between all emergency management agencies and the community
- seeking commitment to deliver more effective emergency management through risk reduction, readiness, response and recovery.

Notably, the plan identifies human disease pandemic as the third highest priority hazard for the region, behind only an earthquake and tsunami. This demonstrates our capacity to plan and prepare for the range of risks we are exposed to in Canterbury.

Principles and priorities for recovery

The New Zealand integrated approach to emergency management can be described by the four areas of activity known as the '4 Rs': reduction, readiness, response and recovery.

The key principles for recovery for the Canterbury CDEM Group are:

- Recovery requires effective and ongoing communication and engagement with communities, which recognises their diverse needs.
- Pre-event strategic planning for recovery is a critical component of a successful recovery operation.
- Response and recovery activities must be integrated and aligned.

² Canterbury Civil Defence & Emergency Management Group Plan:
<http://cdemcanterbury.govt.nz/media/37550/canterbury-cdem-group-plan-updated-june-2018.pdf>

- Recovery planning for emergencies needs to start as soon as possible after the response is underway and continues until the recovery objectives have been met.
- Effective recovery recognises, supports and builds on individual, community, and organisational knowledge, understanding, capacity and capability.
- Recovery involves collaboration with local Iwi to build resilience and ensure the protection for waahi tapu (sacred area), nga taonga tuku iho (treasures of the ancestors) and kaitiakitanga (guardianship) of the environment in the recovery phase.
- Recovery is a collective effort and requires joint collaborative planning between the community, local and central government, the commercial and not-for-profit sectors.
- Opportunities to reduce the risks and consequences of future events should be taken following an emergency.

While the priorities in the recovery phase are:

- Safety and well-being of individuals – people’s psychological, emotional and physical health and well-being in the months and years after the event.
- Social environment recovery – restoration and enhancement of the community’s material and social needs, including housing and education, together with social and cultural capital, community space, community well-being and resilience.
- Economic environment recovery – macro- and micro-economic policies to support economic viability as well as providing guidance and support to business owners and their staff.
- Natural environment recovery – restoration and enhancement of the natural environment, including strategies to remove or reduce the risk of future damage.
- Built environment recovery – repair of critical infrastructure, buildings (including historic buildings), road access and lifeline utilities.
- Recovery of people working in the recovery – responding agencies should put in place mechanisms to ensure that the mental health of their response/recovery staff is looked after.
- Linking recovery to risk reduction – recovery must be based on long term strategies adopting mitigation measures that prevent or reduce the likelihood and consequences of future emergencies.

3. Lessons learned from our past experiences

Over the last decade, our region has experienced and endured numerous disruptive events; whether it be the September 2010 or February 2011 earthquakes, the Kaikoura earthquake, the Port Hills fires, the mosque shootings, the Rangitata river flooding and now COVID-19.

Each event has tested our communities and our own ability to be resilient and recover. While this is an unprecedented situation for us all, we have the relevant experience to draw upon. We now have an opportunity to step up and provide leadership to the region and the country, hand in glove with our partners, demonstrating that we have learned the lessons of our past experiences in Canterbury.

For instance, we learned from the Canterbury earthquakes in 2010 and 2011 that it is vital to commence recovery planning as soon as we can. This recovery planning is best considered in the context of existing relationships and strategic frameworks, rather than starting afresh.

The phrase 'we are in this together' must also apply equally to our recovery as it does to our response, and it must engage our whole community. Leaving communities outside recovery planning processes not only causes discontent, as was the case following the earthquakes, but it also leaves out the richest source of knowledge we have – our own communities.

This means we need to urgently reach out to relevant interest groups (industry, NGOs, etc.) and diverse communities to offer our support, to enable them to engage their own networks so they can provide input to the recovery. We also need to connect them to experts that can help with understanding the process of recovery.³

It is important that we engage our local Members of Parliament in this approach. They have been elected to represent their local communities in Parliament, as electorate and list MPs, and we need to bring them into the recovery process from the outset.

As we learned after the earthquakes, the recovery process is not a sprint, it is a marathon. It is critical that we don't allow anyone to run out of energy – we all need to pace ourselves – and the big advantage for us is that we have been here before.

4. The challenge and opportunity for Canterbury

The unprecedented nature of this situation means that we are operating with a much higher degree of uncertainty than we are usually accustomed. However, we can be certain that the necessary step taken by the Government to lockdown the country in response to COVID-19 will have major economic and social costs, and will require a whole-of-government approach to recovery.

While we are still very much in the response phase of this crisis, it is important that we begin the process of recovery planning immediately. We can't wait until the crisis is over to do so. We must also remember that the decisions we make now will impact on our recovery efforts, so there can be no silo thinking.

The Government is shouldering the lion's share of the cost of support for the businesses and workers experiencing significant hardship as a result of the lockdown. Our role is to be ready to help kickstart the economy once the lockdown ends, recognising that the impact will likely be felt for some time. This is especially true for particular industries, such as hospitality and tourism, and those businesses trading with countries hit hard by COVID-19.

The Government has already tasked the Infrastructure Industry Reference Group to seek out infrastructure projects that are ready to start as soon as the construction industry returns to normal, to reduce the impact of COVID-19 on the economy. The types of projects that would be considered for funding include water, transport, clean energy and buildings.

³ The Prime Minister's Chief Science Advisor, Sir Peter Gluckman, produced some useful advice after the February 2011 earthquake on the psychosocial consequences of the Canterbury earthquakes: <https://www.pmcasa.org.nz/wp-content/uploads/Christchurch-Earthquake-Briefing-Psychosocial-Effects-10May11.pdf>

It is crucial that our region fully participates in this process – with work already underway to do so – but also to engage with other requests coming from the Government as part of their efforts to stimulate recovery once the lockdown ends.

As a Council, we have the opportunity to provide strong strategic leadership in the region by facilitating a joined-up approach to recovery that takes account each of the well-beings.

We can do this most effectively by tapping into our prevailing partnership arrangements. This includes the Canterbury Mayoral Forum, which is a strength of our region; and the Greater Christchurch Partnership (GCP), which brings together representatives of local and regional councils, government agencies and iwi to provide leadership for our largest urban centre.

We must also look to take advantage of any opportunities arising from the recovery to further our own council's priorities and to achieve outcomes for our communities. This could include seeking out opportunities to unlock other key projects and initiatives – not just 'shovel-ready' infrastructure projects – that will help to address important environmental and climate issues. This is particularly in the context of the climate emergency declared by Canterbury Regional Council last year. Now is the time for our region to put our best foot forward and to seize such opportunities.

5. Our approach to recovery

We need to support the region to hit the ground running as soon as the lockdown is ended. I see there being three key avenues for us to contribute to this: our own programme of work, and our contribution to the work of the Canterbury Mayoral Forum and the GCP respectively.

While many details still need to be worked through, it is important for us to begin to consider how we as a Council might want to contribute to the recovery of our region and the country. I propose we consider this question within the context of the three avenues outlined below.

Our own programme of work

The immediate focus of our response has been to quickly transition the organisation to new ways of working, and to ensure the health and wellbeing of our staff as we have transitioned. We have also continued to maintain the essential services our region relies upon during this unprecedented situation. I'm very proud of how we have responded to this crisis so far.

While we remain firmly in the response phase, it is critical that we take the time now to consider what recovery might mean for our Council. I see this as falling into three broad areas:

1. How do we ensure a smooth transition for our organisation back to business as usual?
2. What does the impact of COVID-19 mean for our programme of work, including what key projects and initiatives could we prioritise to support the recovery of Canterbury?
3. How do we support a joined-up approach to recovery in Canterbury taking account all the well-beings, including through the Canterbury Mayoral Forum and the GCP?

The challenge for us is to consider any changes that need to be made to our programmes of work to reflect the impact of COVID-19, while the opportunity is for us to identify new ways to advance our priorities as part of the recovery effort. It will also be important that our recovery

work is aligned with that of the Canterbury Mayoral Forum and GCP (see below), in order to support a joined-up approach to recovery in Canterbury.

Working through the Canterbury Mayoral Forum

On 27 March, the Canterbury Mayoral Forum agreed to initiate work on five key issues that will contribute to economic recovery planning and inform the subsequent development of the Mayoral Forum's Plan for Canterbury 2020-2022. These areas of work include:

- Develop and agree a priority list of regional infrastructure projects to improve regional resilience, road safety and mode shift.
- Develop advice on measures that could ensure fair transition in the implementation of freshwater reforms, so we don't hobble the primary sector while still making progress on environmental objectives.
- Opportunities for structural change in the tourism sector (value, not volume), so we don't go back to business as usual.
- Future scenarios for international education and decreasing tertiary education organisations' dependence on international student fees for financial viability.
- Quantifying labour shortages in Canterbury and any opportunities for redeploying unemployed workers.

The Canterbury Mayoral Forum will continue to meet on a weekly basis to progress the key areas of work identified above. We will continue to be an active partner in these discussions.

Working through the Greater Christchurch Partnership

Given its role to foster and facilitate collaboration between partners to address key strategic opportunities and challenges for Greater Christchurch, the GCP should take a leading role in the collective approach to recovery planning for this large urban area.⁴

In my view, work already being progressed by the GCP – the Greater Christchurch Strategic Framework 2050 – provides the ideal basis for this recovery planning.

This project was originally established to position Greater Christchurch within New Zealand and internationally, and to 'reset' the dial on perceptions of Greater Christchurch. It has been all about articulating an aspirational future for Greater Christchurch to the Government, the community and the private sector, and agreeing how we can work together to achieve it.

The first phase of this work began in December 2019; focused on collectively identifying the key strengths, weaknesses and opportunities for Greater Christchurch, to then inform areas of focus for the GCP. This work now needs to be revisited in light of the crisis we are facing. We also need to support the GCP to ramp up the work on the Greater Christchurch Strategic Framework 2050 as the basis for a collective recovery plan for Greater Christchurch.

⁴ Greater Christchurch accounts for almost 80% of the population in Canterbury.

8.2. Rangitata Flood Recovery update

Council Report

Date of meeting	16 April 2020
Author	Leigh Griffiths, Manager River Engineering Katherine Harbrow, CFO
Endorsed by	Nadeine Dommissie, Chief Operating Officer Miles McConway, Director Finance & Corporate Services

Purpose

1. To seek Council approval to utilise general reserves to partially fund emergency works (Stage 1) required as a result of the nationally significant Rangitata River flood event in December 2019 and to bring forward funding to accelerate the scheme review.

Recommendations

That the Council:

1. **Approve \$150,000 transfer from general reserves to the Rangitata rating district in 2019/20 to reduce the debt held by the Rangitata scheme and to ensure we maintain excellent support as we continue to assess essential repairs.**
2. **Approve acceleration of the general rate funded Rangitata Scheme review by bringing it forward into the 2020/21 Annual Plan.**

Background

Emergency Works (Stage 1)

2. The major flood event of December 2019 broke out of the Rangitata River main channel in three key locations, causing a significant amount of damage to flood protection, roading, rail, farm, electricity supply and other assets. The event was nationally significant as it closed State Highway 1 and the upper Inland Route 72 bridges for three days. Combined with a flood event on the West Coast at the same time the South Island was cut in half. From 2-8 December 2019 870mm of rain was recorded at Mistake Flat in the headwaters of the Rangitata. This extreme amount of rain was the largest amount recorded at the site since records began 40 years ago.
3. The scheme had largely spent its annual maintenance budget (\$250,000) before the December 2019 event responding to a series of smaller flood events, erosion repairs and maintenance of assets.

4. The emergency response and subsequent works fully utilised the scheme reserves of \$204,000 and the scheme is now \$400,000 in debt with further repair work still to complete.
5. Work on the Rangitata is being undertaken in three stages. Stage 1 (now completed) covered essential emergency works. Stage 2 (currently in progress) will cover the work required to be undertaken to bring the scheme back to pre-flood levels. Stage 3 is the future scheme review discussed below.
6. For Stage 2 a technical report refining the scope of works to bring the scheme back to pre-flood levels is almost complete. This work scoped in this report is in the millions of dollars.

"In principal" agreement with key agencies for emergency works

7. To fund Stage 1 Environment Canterbury successfully negotiated a cost-share agreement with key infrastructure owners and local authorities to share the \$687,000 of emergency 'in river' works which primarily consisted of water diversions and the installation of gravel bunds.
8. The parties to the agreement were Environment Canterbury (Rangitata River Rating District), NZTA, Kiwi Rail, Rangitata South Irrigation Ltd, Transpower, Ashburton District Council and Timaru District Council. All have committed to their cost share except Timaru District Council who have cited their own significant costs for the event as a barrier. In order to maintain the integrity of the agreement with other parties (who have all been invoiced) and to support the South Canterbury community given the national significance of the event it is recommended that Environment Canterbury cover the Timaru District Council share for its portion of emergency works.
9. Environment Canterbury has organised and is leading a further meeting with key agencies on 14 April 2020 to discuss the further work needed for Stage 2 and to discuss another cost sharing arrangement for these future critical works.

Rangitata Scheme Review (Stage 3)

10. Accelerating the Scheme Review as part of Stage 3 in the 20/21 year is considered essential in order to reassess current scheme objectives, funding, infrastructure requirements and community expectations.
11. Scheme reviews are a critical tool to address future river resilience including the anticipated impacts of climate change. It is not considered fair or appropriate for other communities to wait longer for their much-needed scheme reviews if the 2020/21 budget is diverted to the Rangitata.
12. Given the significant resources the Rangitata scheme is already commanding it is also efficient to optimise resources now by being able to continue and enhance technical work. The scheme review process would be complimentary and well timed with the biodiversity braided river work both the Department of Conservation and Environment Canterbury have commissioned (braided river plans).

13. The scope for the Scheme Review has not yet been detailed and will be brought back to the council for consideration.

Cost, compliance and communication

Financial implications

14. Environment Canterbury has elected to “self-insure” through building up reserves for flood protection services due to the significant cost of insurance. This system has worked well in the past.
15. The Environment Canterbury Reserve Policy provides the specific financial level that should be held in reserves. This policy also allows reserves to be replenished over time to reduce the burden on rate payers and this can be undertaken over a three to five-year period
16. River scheme reviews are funded through general rates as there is a wider public benefit. The 8+4 forecast and proposed general reserve replenishment in the current year's budget is estimated to be in a position to cover this earlier than expected call on funds.
17. As part of the 2020/21 Annual Plan deliberations in May 2020, estimated future work costs should be available for discussion.

Significance and engagement

18. Key infrastructure agencies are being consulted on 14 April 2020 as part of agreeing a cost sharing arrangement for Stage 2 works.
19. A hui with Arowhenua Runanga has been held to ensure appropriate engagement and communication. A further joint hui with Arowhenua, the Department of Conservation and LINZ has been scheduled for mid-April 2020.

Consistency with council policy

20. This recommendation is in line with council policy to gain financial delegation for overspend.

Next steps

21. If the Council agrees:
 - a. Technical work will be accelerated to support the scheme review in 20/21.
 - b. A briefing will be provided to Timaru District Council so that they are informed as part of Stage 2 and Stage 3 discussions.
 - c. Environment Canterbury will continue to support the national conversation on flood protection co-investment with Central Government to seek a longer-term

solution for all flood schemes in Canterbury and New Zealand. Other potential options – such as the Provincial Growth Fund and “spade ready” infrastructure initiatives – are being pursued as appropriate.

Attachments

Nil

File reference	[SharePoint link for this paper]
Peer reviewers	Shaun McCracken, Regional Lead River Engineering

8.3. Appointment of Independent Commissioner for CRPS Change Peer Review

Council report

Date of meeting	16 April 2020
Author	Tammy Phillips, Principal Planner
Responsible Director	Katherine Trought, Director Strategy and Planning

Purpose

1. The Council is requested to appoint an independent commissioner to undertake a technical peer review of the Council's Recommendations Report on a proposed change to Chapter 6 (Recovery and Rebuilding of Greater Christchurch) of the Canterbury Regional Policy Statement.

Recommendations

That the Council:

1. **Appoints Lester Chisholm to undertake a technical peer review of the Council's 'Recommendations Report' on the Proposed Change to Chapter 6 of the Canterbury Regional Policy Statement, and to prepare a report for the Council setting out the findings of his review. The review will be carried out in accordance with the Direction issued by the Minister for the Environment.**

Key points

2. The Minister for the Environment (Minister) has advised the Council of his decision to issue a Direction requiring the Council to prepare a proposed change⁵ to the Canterbury Regional Policy Statement, and to progress that change using the streamlined planning process. At the time of writing, notice of the Direction had not yet been gazetted; we expect this to occur shortly.

⁵ The proposed change would identify future development areas in Rolleston, Rangiora and Kaiapoi, which are consistent with the long-term growth strategy set out in the UDS and CRPS, and insert associated policy provisions into Chapter 6 of the CRPS, to enable urban housing development. This is a targeted change that implements the National Policy Statement on Urban Development Capacity 2016 and the settlement pattern and outcomes of Our Space 2018-2048: Greater Christchurch Settlement Pattern Update, being the Future Development Strategy for Greater Christchurch adopted by Environment Canterbury, Christchurch City Council, Selwyn District Council and Waimakariri District Council.

3. As part of this process the Minister has directed the Council to engage an appropriately skilled independent commissioner to undertake a technical peer review of the Council's Recommendations Report⁶.
4. Staff recommend the appointment of former High Court Judge Lester Chisholm to undertake the peer review of the Council's Recommendations Report and produce the formal technical peer review report for the Council, as required by the Minister's Direction.

Background

5. On 11 March 2020, the Minister advised the Council of his decision to issue a Direction to use the streamlined planning process⁷ to progress a proposed change to Chapter 6 of the Canterbury Regional Policy Statement. The Minister's Direction is attached as Appendix 1.
6. Notice of the Direction has not yet been gazetted. The Ministry for the Environment has indicated that gazettal could occur within the next few weeks, but is unlikely to take effect until the COVID-19 Alert Level 4 restrictions have been lifted. At this stage, a delay of at least four weeks is anticipated.
7. The Minister's Direction sets out the process steps and timeframes the Council must follow when using the streamlined planning process to progress the proposed change. The Council must comply with all terms in the Minister's Direction and have regard to the statement of expectations when managing and carrying out the streamlined planning process. The Council has 85 working days after gazettal of the Direction to complete the process and submit a written report to the Minister. The final decision on the proposed change is made by the Minister.
8. The streamlined plan change process and timeframes set out the Minister's Direction are summarised in the table below.

	Step	Timeframe
1	Undertake further pre-notification consultation with Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu and Ngāi Tūāhuriri	To be completed no later than 15 working days after gazettal of the Direction ⁸
2	Publicly notify the proposed change for written submissions	To be completed no later than 20 working days after the completion of Step 1
3	Provide an opportunity for written submissions	Public submissions to be received no later than 20

⁶ The Recommendations Report sets out the Council's evaluation of submissions and recommended changes in response.

⁷ Provided for under sections 80B and 80C and Part 5 of Schedule 1 to the RMA.

⁸ Now likely to be 15 working days after gazettal of the Direction takes effect.

		working days after public notification (Step 2)
4	Provide a recommendations report, to be submitted for the Minister for the Environment's consideration, showing how submissions have been considered and the changes (if any) recommended to the proposed change. The Direction also requires the submission of the evaluation report under sections 32 and 32AA of the RMA, a report summarising how regard was had to the evaluation report, and other reports and documents required by clause 83(1) of Schedule 1 of the RMA.	To be submitted to the Minister no later than 30 working days after the close of submissions (Step 3)

9. The Minister has directed the Council to engage an appropriately skilled independent commissioner to undertake a technical peer review of the Recommendations Report referred to in Step 4 above and to prepare a report for the Council setting out the findings of his review. The Council is required to demonstrate how the independent commissioner's comments have been addressed or incorporated into its final Recommendations Report, which is submitted for the Minister's consideration.
10. After the Council has undertaken the steps set out in the Direction, it must submit the Proposed Change to the Minister for approval. The Minister may approve the proposed change, refer it back to the Council for reconsideration, or decline to approve it.

Appointment of independent commissioner

11. It is recommended that Lester Chisholm is appointed to carry out a technical peer review of the Council's Recommendations Report and to produce a report for the Council setting out the findings of his review (as required by the Minister's Direction).
12. Staff consider Lester Chisholm has the combination of skills and experience that will produce a high quality and technically correct report with robust findings.
13. Lester Chisholm is a respected former High Court Judge. Mr Chisholm's previous experience includes chairing the Ruataniwha Board of Inquiry which considered changes to the Hawkes Bay Regional Resource Management Plan and applications for consent to the Ruataniwha dam. He was also a member of the Independent Hearing Panel on the Christchurch Replacement District Plan.
14. In his capacity as High Court Judge Mr Chisholm heard a number of cases that related to the RMA and the Local Government Act (1974 or 2002). Of particular note is the *Independent Fisheries Limited v Minister for Canterbury Earthquake Recovery* case, which involved a Judicial Review of the Minister's decision to insert Chapters 12A and 22 (now Chapter 6) into the CRPS.

Cost, compliance and communication

Financial implications

15. Costs associated with the proposed change, including the appointment of the independent commissioner, are to be met by Environment Canterbury, Waimakariri District Council and Selwyn District Council. Provision has been made for Environment Canterbury's share of these costs within the Planning Section's current financial budget.

Risk assessment and legal compliance

16. The timeframes for the streamlined plan change process are very constrained. The COVID-19 pandemic may present additional challenges to delivery of key milestones within the timeframes required by the Minister, including the preparation and technical peer review of the Recommendations Report. Should delivery within the specified timeframes prove impracticable, the Council could, in accordance with clause 81 of Schedule 1 of the RMA, apply in writing to the Minister to request an extension of time.

Significance and engagement

17. Consultation on the draft proposed change has been carried out in accordance with clauses 1A-3C of Schedule 1 to the RMA, and further engagement with Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu under clause 4A of the RMA is ongoing. Further public participation by way of the formal submission process will occur upon notification of the Proposed Change.
18. The appointment recommended by staff recognises the need for an experienced person with a broad range of skills.

Consistency with council policy

19. The proposal does not require or imply a departure from existing Council policy and is required by the Minister's Direction.

Next steps

20. In accordance with the Minister's Direction the next steps in this statutory process are:
 - Consultation with Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu and Ngāi Tūāhuriri
 - Public notification
 - Submissions
 - Council preparation of recommendations report
 - Peer review of recommendations report
 - Submission of recommendations report to Minister

- Minister's decision

21. The Ministry for the Environment has indicated that gazettal of the Minister's Direction could occur within the next few weeks, but is unlikely to take effect until the COVID-19 Alert Level 4 restrictions have been lifted. After the Minister has provided a formal decision in this regard the Council will be in a better position to consider implications for the timeframes for the streamlined planning process.
22. Staff will explore ways to undertake consultation with rūnanga, sensitive to the current pressures on rūnanga members due to the COVID-19 situation.

Attachments

1. E Can Direction to enter Streamlined Planning Process [8.3.1 - 2 pages]

File reference	[SharePoint link for this paper]
Legal review	Catherine Schache, General Counsel
Peer reviewers	Olivia Cook, Principal Planning Advisor Sam Leonard, Senior Planner

Direction to enter Streamlined Planning Process

Minister for the Environment's Direction on the application from Canterbury Regional Council (operating as Environment Canterbury) to use a Streamlined Planning Process for a proposed change to Chapter 6 of the Canterbury Regional Policy Statement

The Minister for the Environment received an application from Canterbury Regional Council on 30 September 2019, pursuant to Section 80C and clause 75 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA), to use a streamlined planning process to prepare a planning instrument, being a targeted change to Chapter 6 of the operative Canterbury Regional Policy Statement.

In accordance with clause 78 of Schedule 1 of the RMA, the Minister for the Environment directs that Canterbury Regional Council use the following streamlined planning process for the proposed change to Chapter 6 of the Canterbury Regional Policy Statement.

	Step	Timeframe
1	Undertake pre-notification consultation with Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu (the iwi authority) and Ngāi Tūāhuriri (being a hapū of Ngāi Tahu) in accordance with clause 4A of Schedule 1 of the RMA.	To be completed no later than 15 working days after gazettal of the Direction.
2	Publicly notify the proposed change to Chapter 6 of the Canterbury Regional Policy Statement for written submissions in accordance with clause 5 of Schedule 1 of the RMA. A period of 20 working days for submissions must be specified in the public notice.	To be publicly notified no later than 20 working days after completion of pre-notification consultation (Step 1).
3	Provide an opportunity for written submissions in accordance with clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the RMA.	Public submissions to be received no later than 20 working days after public notification (Step 2).
4	Provide for a written recommendations report to be submitted for the Minister for the Environment's consideration, showing how submissions have been considered and the changes (if any) recommended to the proposed planning instrument, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. The evaluation report under sections 32 and 32AA; and ii. A report summarising how the persons making the recommendation have had regard to the evaluation report; and iii. The reports and documents required by clause 83(1) of Schedule 1 for the Minister's consideration. 	To be submitted to the Minister no later than 30 working days after the close of submissions (Step 3).
The total time period within which the streamlined planning process for the proposed change to Chapter 6 of the CRPS must be completed. The process is considered to be complete when the documents referred to in Step 4 above are submitted to the Minister for the Environment.		Steps 1 – 4 to be completed no later than 85 working days after gazettal of the Direction.
In accordance with clause 78(5) of Schedule 1 of the RMA, the Minister directs that Canterbury Regional Council engages an appropriately skilled independent commissioner to undertake a		

	Step	Timeframe
	technical peer review of the recommendations report referred to in Step 4. The independent commissioner is required to produce a formal technical peer review report for Canterbury Regional Council. Canterbury Regional Council is required to demonstrate how the independent commissioner's comments have been addressed or incorporated into their final recommendations report.	

Statement of Expectations

The Minister for the Environment's expectations for Canterbury Regional Council, are that in undertaking the streamlined planning process as directed:

- a. include in the proposed change policy direction for the Future Development Areas to provide higher density living environments, including mixed use developments and a greater range of housing types, and enables the efficient provision and use of network infrastructure.
- b. place on a publicly accessible website, the dates and anticipated timeframes for the process steps (and updates as necessary).
- c. make available on a publicly accessible website, all submissions received no later than 5 working days after the submission period closes.

Reporting Requirements

The Canterbury Regional Council shall provide a written report to the Minister for the Environment within 10 working days of the completion of each of steps 1 (pre-notification consultation) and 3 (submissions) above. These reports shall demonstrate compliance with the preceding steps and identify any issues which may affect the Council's ability to comply with the Minister's Direction.

Dated at Wellington this ____ day of _____ 2020

Hon David Parker

Minister for the Environment

8.4. Delegation of submission approvals: Draft Government Policy Statement on Land Transport and Draft New Zealand Rail Plan

Council report

Date of meeting	16 April 2020
Author	Sam Bellamy, Senior Strategy Advisor
Responsible Director	Katherine Trought, Director Strategy & Planning

Purpose

1. This paper seeks agreement from the Council to delegate approval of the Environment Canterbury submissions on the Ministry of Transport's consultations on the draft Government Policy Statement on Land Transport 2021 (GPS) and the draft New Zealand Rail Plan to the Chair.
2. Making submissions to the Ministry of Transport provides Environment Canterbury the opportunity to provide feedback on the Government's strategic priorities for land transport in New Zealand and advocate for the region's main transport interests.
3. Delegated approval to the Chair is sought as the submission closing date for these consultations is 11 May 2020, before the next Council meeting on 14 May 2020.

Recommendations

That the Council:

1. **delegates to the Chair approval of the Environment Canterbury submissions on the Ministry of Transport's consultations on the draft Government Policy Statement on Land Transport and the draft New Zealand Rail Plan.**

Background

4. The Ministry of Transport released for consultation the draft Rail Plan on 19 December 2019 and the draft GPS on 19 March 2020, with feedback invited by 11 May 2020 for these parallel consultations (note this date was extended from the original date of 27 April 2020 given the impact of COVID-19).
5. The GPS helps guide investment in land transport in New Zealand by providing a long-term strategic view of the Government's priorities for investment in the land transport network. It does not determine funding for particular transport projects, but it does inform how Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (the Transport Agency) will invest from the National Land Transport Fund over the next three years.
6. The draft Rail Plan identifies the Government's long-term vision and priorities for rail in New Zealand, and the legislative changes required to the Land Transport Management Act 2003 to achieve these strategic outcomes. It recognises that past investment has

lacked a strategic view of rail's role in the transport system, and that a new approach to planning and funding for rail is needed.

7. The consultations provide the Council with an opportunity to advocate for key regional priorities and outcomes that should be reflected in the GPS and the Rail Plan.

Environment Canterbury submissions

8. The Ministry of Transport consultation timeframes (effectively from 19 March 2020 when the draft GPS was released to 11 May 2020) and the disruption caused by COVID-19 did not allow enough time for draft submissions to be prepared with Council approval at this meeting. The submissions need to be lodged before the next Council meeting on 14 May 2020, so staff propose that a draft submission is provided to Council for comment and then approved by the Chair.

Cost, compliance and communication

Financial implications

9. Making a submission has no financial implications for the Council. The GPS will inform how the Transport Agency will invest from the National Land Transport Fund over the next three years, which could have implications for the Council's planning and delivery of its public transport functions.

Significance and engagement

10. The Canterbury Regional Transport Committee will prepare its own submissions on the draft GPS and draft Rail Plan. These submissions will seek to align as far as possible with the Environment Canterbury submissions.

Communication

11. The Environment Canterbury submissions will be published on Environment Canterbury's website once completed.

Next steps

12. Draft submissions will be provided to Councillors via email for comment ahead of the delegated approval by the Chair. Final submissions will be lodged with the Ministry of Transport by 11 May 2020.

Attachments

Nil

File reference	[SharePoint link for this paper]
Legal review	Catherine Schache
Peer reviewers	Toshi Hodliffe, Team Leader Strategic Programmes Hamish Slack, Principal Strategy Advisor

8.5. Working Groups of Council

Council report

Date of meeting	16 April 2020
Author	Louise McDonald, Senior Committee Advisor

Purpose

1. A report will be circulated separately.

9. Exclusion of the Public from Part of the Council Meeting

Council paper

Meeting Date	16 April 2020
Author	Louise McDonald, Senior Committee Advisor

Recommendations

That the public be excluded from the following part of the proceedings of this meeting, namely:

- Minutes of part of the Council meeting, held with the public excluded, on 24 March 2020**

- The general subject of the matters to be considered while the public is excluded, the reason for passing this resolution and the specific grounds under section 48(1) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 for the passing of this resolution are as follows:

Item No.	Report	Reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter	Ground(s) under section 48(1) for the passing of this resolution
1.	Minutes of part of the Council meeting, held with the public excluded, on 24 March 2020	Good reason to withhold exists under section 7	Section 48(1)(a)

- This resolution is made in reliance on section 48(1) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the particular interest or interests protected by section 6 or section 7 of that Act which would be prejudiced by the holding of the whole or relevant part of the proceeding of the meeting in public are as follows:

Item No.	
1	Enable the Council holding the information to carry on, without prejudice or disadvantage, negotiations (including commercial and industrial negotiations. (Section 7(2)(i))

- That appropriate officers remain to provide advice to the Committee.**

10. Other Business

11. Notices of Motion

12. Questions

13. Next Meeting

14. Closing Karakia