

From: [Alizon Paterson](#)
To: [Meg Buddle](#)
Subject: FW: Follow up on Interested Parties Letter - CRC194083, Discharge Permit (s15) - Waste Management NZ Limited - Lodgement of Resource Consent Application
Date: Monday, 27 May 2019 2:01:58 PM
Attachments: [image001.jpg](#)

Hi Meg

Here are our comment regarding this application.

The CDHB did not originally make comment on this limited notified consent but do have concerns regarding the proximity of a significant residential community to the proposed operation. It is surprising that the AEE does not specifically acknowledge the Prebbleton Community. Given this settlement has had historical issues with a previous operator generating odour, they are likely to be predisposed to being a sensitive receptor. This application could be rather controversial given the residential proximity and could generate a high level of public interest. The CDHB therefore suggests that there should be community consultation to discuss and allay potential residential concerns.

We note that the AEE includes the following details which if managed as outlined should mitigate community concerns:

- That the main odour generating activity will be the organic waste processing plant. This activity will be undertaken within an enclosed part of the main process building, with odorous air extracted from the segregated process area to a biofilter. Direct source extraction will also be applied to key parts of the process to further contain odour from the process.
- That there have been an absence of any air quality related complaints for the existing Bromley site and former Wigram site. Although these sites are/were located within less sensitive industrial areas, the absence of complaints provides an indication that activities can be managed so as to avoid off-site odour effects and avoid upset conditions.
- The proposal provides improvements over the existing operation at Bromley with regard to site layout and design, streamlined operations and improved control of activities and emissions, the AEE states that even at times of upset conditions and failure of treatment systems, are anticipated to be less than minor.
- The Canterbury Air Regional Plan Rule 7.62 specifies that the discharge does not cause an offensive or objectionable effect beyond the boundary of the property of origin and that if there is a discharge of odour beyond the boundary or the property of origin an odour management plan should be prepared.

Regards
Alizon

Alizon Paterson | Health Protection Officer | HSNO Officer

Community and Public Health | Canterbury District Health Board
P: 03 378 6725 | F: 03 379 6484 | E: alizon.paterson@cdhb.health.nz
310 Manchester Street | PO Box 1475 | Christchurch
www.cph.co.nz | www.cdhb.health.nz

ART152_5W_EmailSigs_7

From: Meg Buddle [<mailto:Meg.Buddle@ecan.govt.nz>]

Sent: Thursday, 9 May 2019 11:16 a.m.

To: CPHHealthProtection <CPHHealthProtection@cdhb.health.nz>

Subject: Follow up on Interested Parties Letter - CRC194083, Discharge Permit (s15) - Waste Management NZ Limited - Lodgement of Resource Consent Application

To Whom it May Concern,

On the 25 March 2019 you were sent the email I've attached – notifying you about the Waste Management application to discharge to air of certain contaminants related to their proposed new facility in Hornby. I'm the consent planner currently processing this consent application.

We haven't received any communication from you to date, but I'd like to **confirm whether you have any concerns with this proposal, from a human health perspective?** I realise that the date for reply (1 April) has passed, but we would still have regard to your concerns regarding the application, should you have any.

Kind regards,
Meg

Meg Buddle
Consents Planner I
Environment Canterbury

+64 3 367 7408

Meg.Buddle@ecan.govt.nz



PO Box 345, Christchurch 8140
Customer Services: 0800 324 636
24 Hours: 0800 76 55 88



Facilitating sustainable development in the Canterbury region

ecan.govt.nz