

**PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 7
TO THE
CANTERBURY LAND AND WATER REGIONAL PLAN**

Summary of Decisions Requested Report

Second Addendum

Notified 18 January 2020

SUMMARY OF DECISIONS REQUESTED BY SUBMITTERS ON PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 7 TO THE CANTERBURY LAND AND WATER REGIONAL PLAN – SECOND ADDENDUM

On 16 November 2019 the Canterbury Regional Council publicly notified a Summary of Decisions Requested (SODR) on proposed Plan Change 7 to the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan and invited further submissions. Following notification the Council identified a number of submission points that had been omitted from the SODR, and publicly notified an Addendum (the 'First Addendum' to the SODR) on 4 December 2019.

The Council has now identified a further five submission points that were omitted from both the original SODR and the First Addendum. A 'Second Addendum' to the SODR (this document) has been prepared which sets out the additional decisions requested by submitters. For the purposes of clarity the Second Addendum contains only submission points that were omitted from the initial Summary of Decisions Requested report (publicly notified by Council on 16 November 2019) or the First Addendum (publicly notified on 4 December 2019).

Please note the Second Addendum is not a summary of the submissions in their entirety, nor does it include the reasons for a submitter's request, or supporting material included with the submission. Please refer to the original submission if you are seeking to understand the issues raised by a submitter, or reasons for a request. You can find copies of all submissions received on proposed Plan Change 7 at www.ecan.govt.nz/lwrppc7

How to Read the Summary of Decisions Requested (SoDR) Report – Second Addendum

The table below is an example of how the information in this Second Addendum has been laid out.

| Plan Provision / Topic | Point No | Submitter | Support / Oppose | Summary of Decision Requested |
|------------------------|------------|------------|------------------|-------------------------------|
| 05.091 | PC7-123.12 | Mr J Smith | Support | Retain Rule 5.91 as notified |

The Second Addendum to the SODR includes submission points that relate to the entirety of the Plan Change, and to specific parts of the Plan Change (i.e the Omnibus (Part A) and the Waimakariri sub-region (Part C)).

Within each Part of the SODR , submission points have been grouped under 'topic' or 'plan provision' headings. Submission points that are general, or not specific to a plan provision, are generally grouped under 'topic' headings. Submission points that relate to a specific provision (e.g. a policy or rule), or part of a provision are located under the relevant plan provision (e.g. Rule 5.91). Plan provisions are numbered and ordered according to their location in the proposed Plan Change (Section, Subsection, Provision). For example Rule 5.91 is displayed as "05.091" and Rule 8.5.3 is displayed as "08.05.03".

How to read the 'Support / Oppose / Oppose in Part' column

The Support / Oppose column is used to indicate the submitter's level of support or opposition to a matter or provision in the proposed plan change. To maintain a level of consistency in the way these statements are expressed the following protocols have been followed when summarising the submitter's position:

- Requests to retain provisions are indicated by the text 'Support'.
- Requests to delete a provision, or part of a provision, are indicated by the text 'Oppose'.
- Requests to amend a provision are indicated by the text 'Oppose in Part'.

How to interpret the 'Summary of Decisions Requested' column.

The 'Summary of Decision Requested' contains a brief summary of the relief (or outcome) the submitter seeks from the Council. In some cases it has been necessary for the Council to include additional text within the summary so as to clarify or provide context to the request. Where a summary includes text added by the Council this text is indicated in square brackets.

How amendments sought by submitters have been indicated in the 'Summary of Decisions Requested' column.

Where a submitter requests a change to the wording of Proposed Plan Change 7 (as notified for public submission) and has included the specific wording for the change, this has been included in the summary. Changes are indicated as follows:

- Text requested to be deleted by the submitter is shown in ~~strikethrough~~
- Text requested to be inserted by the submitter is shown in underline

List of Submitters

The table below contains the name and contact details for only those persons who lodged a primary submission on proposed Plan Change 7 to the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan and whose submission points are listed within this Second Addendum to the Summary of Decisions Requested Report.

| Submitter ID | Submitter Name | Address Line 1 | Address Line 2 | Address Line 3 | Address Line 4 | Post Code | Email |
|--------------|---|----------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|--------------------------------|
| 415 | Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL) | Ben Williams | Chapman Tripp | PO Box 2510 | Christchurch | 8041 | ben.williams@chapmantripp.com |
| 472 | Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc. | Nicky Snoyink | Attn: Nicky Snoyink | PO Box 2516 | Christchurch | 8140 | n.snoyink@forestandbird.org.nz |

| Plan Provision / Issue | Point No | Submitter | Support / Oppose | Summary of Decision Requested |
|-----------------------------|-------------|---|------------------|--|
| All of Plan Change | | | | |
| General (opposition) | PC7-472.220 | Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc. | Oppose | Withdraw Plan Change 7 in its entirety and redraft to ensure that Te Mana o Te Wai is given effect to before notifying a new plan change under provision of the Resource Management Act. |
| General (seeking amendment) | PC7-472.221 | Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc. | Oppose | Amend Plan Change 7 to ensure that the proposed Plan Change achieves the CLWRP objectives and strategic policies and has proper regard to the Canterbury Water Management Strategy first order priorities. |
| Omnibus | | | | |
| Managed Aquifer Recharge | PC7-472.222 | Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc. | Oppose in part | Amend the Managed Aquifer Recharge provisions to ensure that MAR is only used as a temporary and last resort, once other options have been tried, tested and failed. |
| Good Management Practice | PC7-472.223 | Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society Inc. | Oppose in part | Amend PC7 to ensure that the goal of Farm Environment Plans is to achieve best environmental practice rather than GMP. |
| Waimakariri | | | | |
| 08.07.03 Table 8-9 | PC7-415.67 | Dairy Holdings Limited (DHL) | Oppose in part | Amend Table 8-9 to ensure that the starting point for reductions takes into account the further reductions that might be required to even reach Baseline GMP Loss Rate. Alternatively, amend Table 8-9 so that the starting point directly references to the GMP Booklet rather than the Baseline GMP Loss Rate. |