From: Kingsbury, Peter
To: Mailroom Mailbox

Subject: Plan Change 7 LWRP Further Submission

Date: Friday, 6 December 2019 3:21:54 PM

Your message is ready to be sent with the following file or link attachments:

kingsburypccc.pdf

Note: To protect against computer viruses, e-mail programs may prevent sending or receiving certain types of file attachments. Check your e-mail security settings to determine how attachments are handled.

\*

This electronic email and any files transmitted with it are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed.

The views expressed in this message are those of the individual sender and may not necessarily reflect the views of the Christchurch City Council. If you are not the correct recipient of this email please advise the sender and delete.

Christchurch City Council http://www.ccc.govt.nz



## Further Submission on Plan Change 7 to the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY
Submitter ID:
File No:

Form 6: Further Submissions in support of, or in opposition to, submission on a Publicly Notified Proposed Policy Statement or Regional Plan under Clause 8 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

Return your signed submission to:

Customer Services Environment Canterbury P O Box 345 Christchurch 8140 by email to <a href="mailroom@ecan.govt.nz">mailroom@ecan.govt.nz</a> with "Plan Change 7 LWRP Further Submission" in the subject line

Full Name: Peter Kingsbury	Phone (Hm): na
Organisation*: Christchurch City Council * the organisation that this submission is made on behalf of	Phone (Wk): 03 941 8487
Postal Address: P O Box 73012, Christchurch, 8154	Phone (Cell): 027 599 4615
Email: peter.kingsbury@ccc.govt.nz	

Only contain would con walls forth and his in the				
Only certain people can make further submissions. Ple	ase tick the option that applies to you:			
I am a person representing a relevant aspect of the pu	olic interest; or			
I am a person who has an interest in the proposal that	at is greater than the interest the general public has (for			
example, I am affected by the content of a submission				
I am the local authority for the relevant area.				
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission	on; or			
$\sqrt{\frac{1}{60}}$ l do wish to be heard in support of my submission; a	and if so,			
√ I would be prepared to consider presenting your submission at any hearing	submission in a joint case with others making a similar			

## Service of your further submission:

Please note: any person making a further submission must serve a copy of that submission on the original submitter no later than five working days after the submission has been provided to Environment Canterbury. If you have made a further submission on a number of original submissions, then copies of your further submission will need to be served with each original submitter.

Signature:	Pate	//Uh	7	Date:	6 Vecember	2019
(Signature of person ma	aking submission or per	son authorised to sign o	on behalf of person ma	aking the submission)		

(1) all information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information.

(1) I support or oppose the submission of:	(2) The particular parts of the submission I support or oppose are:	(3) The reasons for my support or opposition are:	(3) I seek that the whole or part [as per column 2] of the submission be allowed or disallowed:
Name & postal address of original submission	Submission point reference number i.e. 4.23	Provide reason for support or opposition	Allow or disallow
Federated Farmers of New Zealand Box 414, Ashburton, New Zealand Ihume@fedfarm.org.nz	PC7-430.69  Federated Farmers Seeks that Outcome 9 be amended as follows:  Outcome 9 was established in response to science investigations which concluded that a proportion of recharge to Christchurch's deep aquifer system beneath Belfast and North Christchurch is likely to be derived from an area within the Waimakariri Sub-region.  Any effect on the aquifers below the main part of Christchurch is much less certain. It should also be noted that, currently, there is no evidence of elevated nitrate concentrations in Christchurch's aquifers, that any effect would be long term (timeframe of 50 - 100 years) and, even over that timeframe (assuming a worst case scenario), any increases in nitrate concentration would be below the Maximum Acceptable Value for safe drinking water.	CCC consider that the proposed additional words undermine the intent of outcome 9 as decided by the Zone Committee and the science that has established that there is a relationship between the Waimakariri Sub Zone and the Christchurch aquifers, which is not limited to Belfast and North Christchurch. The words contain matters which may be factually incorrect particularly in respect to evidence of elevated nitrates in Christchurch's aquifers.  The additional requested words are considered unnecessary and incorrect.  It is considered that ECan should provide more information on its modelling to clarify aspects such as particle tracking and residence time.	Disallow

Add further pages as required - please initial any additional pages.

hun