

From: [Mary Sparrow](#)
To: [Mailroom Mailbox](#)
Subject: Re: Plan Change 7 LWRP Further Submission
Date: Friday, 6 December 2019 1:45:02 PM

Hello

Please find the attached further submission on PC7 from Pineleigh Farm Ltd. and D. Ashby

Regards

Mary Sparrow

**FURTHER SUBMISSION ON PLAN CHANGE 7 TO THE CANTERBURY LAND & WATER
REGIONAL PLAN**

Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To Canterbury Regional Council

mailroom@ecan.govt.nz (subject: "Plan Change 7 LWRP Further Submission")

c/- Customer Services
Environment Canterbury
P O Box 345
Christchurch 8140

Name of person making further submission: ***Pineleigh Farm Limited and D Ashby***

- 1 Pineleigh Farm Ltd. and D. Ashby have an interest greater than the general public as the owner of a dairy farm and operating a dairy support farm, with interests in surface and ground water irrigation takes.
- 2 If others make a similar submission, Pineleigh Farm Ltd. and D. Ashby will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.
- 3 Pineleigh Farm Ltd's. and D. Ashby's further submissions are set out in **Annexure 1**.

Signed

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be a stylized 'N' or similar character, followed by a horizontal line.

Pineleigh Farm Ltd. and D. Ashby

C/o 256 Hiclands Road

Kaiapoi RD 1, 7691

Annexure 1 – Pineleigh Farm Ltd. and D. Ashby Further submission

| Reference | Submitter | Support/Oppose | The reason for the support/opposition |
|--|--|----------------|--|
| 02.09 Definition of Vegetation Clearance PC7-160.29 | Department of Conservation | Oppose | Pineleigh Farm Ltd. and D. Ashby oppose the removal of “drains, ponds, and dam” from (d) in the list exclusions to the definition of Vegetation Clearance sought by the Department of Conservation. |
| Nitrate-Reductions PC7-337.142 | Christchurch City Council | Oppose | Pineleigh Farm Ltd. and D. Ashby do not support proposed reduction targets being increased and brought forward. |
| 08.07.03 Table 8-5 PC-337.163 | Christchurch City Council | Oppose | Pineleigh Farm Ltd. and D. Ashby do not support the proposal to reduce the nitrate limits for the northern Waimakariri tributaries as requested by the submitter. |
| 08.04.28C PC7-95.21 | North Canterbury Fish and Game Council | Oppose | The proposal for the immediate replacement of an Equivalent Good Management Practice Loss Rate when the Farm Portal is able to generate a Baseline or GMP Loss Rate is inappropriate and unworkable in terms of the actual implications of that replacement. |
| 08.04.36 PC7-95.28 | North Canterbury Fish and Game Council | Oppose | The changes to the schedule for the expiry of consents proposed is impractical. |
| 08.07.03 Table 8-9 PC7-95.102 | North Canterbury Fish and Game Council | Oppose | Pineleigh Farm Ltd. and D. Ashby do not support proposed reduction targets being increased and brought forward. As notified the timetable was supported by the Waimakariri Water Zone Committee as achieving the appropriate balance of being “ <i>challenging but achievable</i> ”. |

| Reference | Submitter | Support/Oppose | The reason for the support/opposition |
|--|---|-----------------|---|
| 08.04.12 PC7-356.48 | Horticulture New Zealand | Support in part | Pineleigh Farm Ltd. and D. Ashby support in part the amendment sought by Horticulture New Zealand to provide exemptions in circumstances where the removal of access to irrigation water during the implementation of pre-rata partial restrictions would result in the total loss of a crop about to reach maturity and harvesting is imminent. |
| 08.04.New Policy PC7-425.3 PC7-425.16 | Waimakariri Next Generation Farmers Trust | Support in part | Pineleigh Farm Ltd. and D. Ashby support in principle the proposal for a policy and method requiring Canterbury Regional Council to work with farmers, primary sector groups and other stakeholders, in the design and implementation of a water quality monitoring programme, however seeks that the proposed policy is amended to provide greater certainty and clarity around how that engagement would take place and how the outcomes will be implemented. |
| 08.07.Table 8-4 PC7-425.29 | Waimakariri Next Generation Farmers Trust | Support in part | Pineleigh Farm Ltd. and D. Ashby support the need to carefully consider groundwater limits and technical consideration needs to be given to ensuring existing limits (i.e. those applying prior to PC7) are only departed from where it is demonstrated actual allocation issues arise. |
| 08.07.Table 8-9 PC7-337.107 08.07.Table 8-9 PC7-337.168 | Christchurch City Council | Oppose | Pineleigh Farm Ltd. and D. Ashby opposes further changes to Table 8-9 (that would see reductions applying earlier and to a greater extent). |
| 08.05.09 PC7-84.13 | Bowden Environmental | Support | Pineleigh Farm Ltd. and D. Ashby support the amendment of Rule 08.05.09 proposed by the submitter. |
| 08.05.10 PC7-3.19 | Waimakariri District Council | Support | Pineleigh Farm Ltd. and D. Ashby support the proposed change in the activity status of applications that do not meet Rule 08.05.09 from non-complying to discretionary. |

| Reference | Submitter | Support/Oppose | The reason for the support/opposition |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--|
| 08.05.14 PC7-84.17 and PC784.18 | Bowden Environmental | Support | Pineleigh Farm Ltd. and D. Ashby support the amendment of Rule 08.05.14 proposed by the submitter. |

draft