

Submission on Plan Change 7 to the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan

By **Hoskin, K**

Submitter Identification number: **PC7-562**

Wishes to be heard: **No**

Would consider making a joint submission at the hearing: **No**

Submitted on: **12/09/2019**

This submission was submitted via Environment Canterbury's online submission portal. The Submissions portal generates pdf files of submissions (as attached). However, some of the information that appears in the pdf files is not consistent with information the submitter entered into the portal, specifically, where submitters have ticked:

- "I wish to be heard in support of my submission" ; and
- "If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing".

Additionally, the submissions portal has generated submitter and submission point numbers that are not consistent with the numbering applied in the Summary of Decisions Requested. Submission points in the Summary of Decisions Requested (SODR) are numbered using the following format:

PC7 – Submitter ID #.Submission point #

The correct submitter identification number and submitter information is specified above. This will be the number referred to in the SODR.

Proposed Plan Change 7 to the Land and Water Regional Plan

Form 5 Submission on publically notified proposal for policy statement or plan, change or variation

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To Environment Canterbury - Tavisha Fernando
Date received 12/09/2019 4:31:02 PM
Submission #92

Address for service:

Hoskin Kristin / 92
43 Holliss Avenue
Email: kristin.hoskin@gmail.com
Wishes to be heard? No
Is willing to present a joint case? No

Proposed Plan Change 7 has been developed to respond to emerging resource management issues, to give effect to relevant national direction, to implement recommendations from the Hinds Drains' Working Party, and to implement recommendations in the Waimakariri and Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora (OTOP) Zone Implementation Programme Addenda (ZIPA).

- Could you gain an advantage in trade competition in making this submission?
 - No
- Are you directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that
 - (a) adversely affects the environment; and
 - (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition
 - Yes

Submission points

Point 92.1

Submission

Provisions to reduce and prevent runoff and contamination of our water ways do not go far enough. Any industry (including farming) must prevent their impact on the environment. Any commercial enterprise including farming must be run so that it is financially viable without causing detrimental impacts to others. The staged reduction timeframes in table 8.9 are unacceptably generous to polluters. Targeted reductions need to be much more ambitious. The state of Canterbury waterways is unacceptable and we collectively need to be working much harder to undo the impacts we have had on it as quickly as possible.

Relief sought

Change the target dates in te columns of table 8.9 to two year increments instead of 10 year increments

Section: Schedule 8 Region-wide Water Quality Limits

Sub-section: Schedule 8 Region-wide Water Quality Limits

Provision

General