

## **Submission on Plan Change 7 to the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan**

**By Overmars, A**

Submitter Identification number: **PC7-550**

Wishes to be heard: **No**

Would consider making a joint submission at the hearing: **No**

Submitted on: **12/09/2019**

This submission was submitted via Environment Canterbury's online submission portal. The Submissions portal generates pdf files of submissions (as attached). However, some of the information that appears in the pdf files is not consistent with information the submitter entered into the portal, specifically, where submitters have ticked:

- “I wish to be heard in support of my submission” ; and
- “If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing”.

Additionally, the submissions portal has generated submitter and submission point numbers that are not consistent with the numbering applied in the Summary of Decisions Requested. Submission points in the Summary of Decisions Requested (SODR) are numbered using the following format:

PC7 – Submitter ID #.Submission point #

**The correct submitter identification number and submitter information is specified above. This will be the number referred to in the SODR.**

# Proposed Plan Change 7 to the Land and Water Regional Plan

## Form 5 Submission on publically notified proposal for policy statement or plan, change or variation

*Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991*

**To** Environment Canterbury - Tavisha Fernando

Date received 12/09/2019 7:16:18 AM

Submission #78

### **Address for service:**

Overmars Ari / 78

49 Tuscan Lane Martinborough

Email: a.z.l.overmars@gmail.com

Wishes to be heard? No

Is willing to present a joint case? No

**Proposed Plan Change 7 has been developed to respond to emerging resource management issues, to give effect to relevant national direction, to implement recommendations from the Hinds Drains' Working Party, and to implement recommendations in the Waimakariri and Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora (OTOP) Zone Implementation Programme Addenda (ZIPA).**

- Could you gain an advantage in trade competition in making this submission?  
- No
- Are you directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that
  - (a) adversely affects the environment; and
  - (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition

- No

## Submission points

---

### **Point 78.1**

#### **Submission**

I oppose the provision under 4.102 which states "... While avoiding as far as possible the passage of any invasive pest or nuisance fish..." On the grounds that it does specify what are termed "invasive, left out nuisance fish". In its current form this could be understood to include trout and salmon.

The Freshwater Fisheries Regulations 1983 under Part 6 provides clear provisions for the passage of trout and salmon and I believe in its current form, provision 4.102 is in direct contradiction.

Changes to provision 4.102 will be required in order to match Part 6 of the Act.

#### **Relief sought**

**Section:** Section 4 Policies

**Sub-section:** Section 4 Policies

**Provision**

4.102

Structures enable the safe passage of indigenous fish, while avoiding as far as practicable, the passage of any invasive, pest or nuisance fish species by:

- a. the appropriate design, construction, installation and maintenance of new in-stream structures; and
- b. the modification, reconstruction or removal of existing in-stream structures.