

Submission on Plan Change 7 to the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan

By **Bray, A**

Submitter Identification number: **PC7-548**

Wishes to be heard: **No**

Would consider making a joint submission at the hearing: **No**

Submitted on: **11/09/2019**

This submission was submitted via Environment Canterbury's online submission portal. The Submissions portal generates pdf files of submissions (as attached). However, some of the information that appears in the pdf files is not consistent with information the submitter entered into the portal, specifically, where submitters have ticked:

- "I wish to be heard in support of my submission" ; and
- "If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing".

Additionally, the submissions portal has generated submitter and submission point numbers that are not consistent with the numbering applied in the Summary of Decisions Requested. Submission points in the Summary of Decisions Requested (SODR) are numbered using the following format:

PC7 – Submitter ID #.Submission point #

The correct submitter identification number and submitter information is specified above. This will be the number referred to in the SODR.

Proposed Plan Change 7 to the Land and Water Regional Plan

Form 5 Submission on publically notified proposal for policy statement or plan, change or variation

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To Environment Canterbury - Tavisha Fernando
Date received 11/09/2019 9:30:37 PM
Submission #76

Address for service:

Bray Andy / 76
26A Strowan Road Strowan Christchurch
Email: andybray88@yahoo.co.nz
Wishes to be heard? No
Is willing to present a joint case? No

Proposed Plan Change 7 has been developed to respond to emerging resource management issues, to give effect to relevant national direction, to implement recommendations from the Hinds Drains' Working Party, and to implement recommendations in the Waimakariri and Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora (OTOP) Zone Implementation Programme Addenda (ZIPA).

- Could you gain an advantage in trade competition in making this submission?
 - No
- Are you directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that
 - (a) adversely affects the environment; and
 - (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition
 - Yes

Submission points

Point 76.1

Submission

Stronger and wider action with more immediate effect is needed to minimise adverse effects of human health and recreation, and the environment. The issues have been known for 50 years and those like nitrate in drinking water won't be solved for at least another 50.

There is enough information and concern for stronger immediate action, for Ecan to change its history of delaying action and to apply its principles for the good of the whole Canterbury community and wider society. Polluters already have 50 years of benefits to invest in repair work without Ecan allowing them 50 more years to cause further damage and pass costs to wider society and future generations. We cannot expect others to pay for our greed.

Relief sought

Councillors to treat water quality with the seriousness it deserves. Stronger action is needed on

- * a wider list of water quality parameters including insecticides
- * limits determined by the long term common good not short term ease and business profits
- * penalties that deter breaches of limits

Section: Section 5 Region-wide Rules

Sub-section: General

Provision

General