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This submission was submitted via Environment Canterbury’s online submission portal. The Submissions portal generates pdf files of submissions (as attached). However, some of the information that appears in the pdf files is not consistent with information the submitter entered into the portal, specifically, where submitters have ticked:

- “I wish to be heard in support of my submission” ; and
- “If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing”.

Additionally, the submissions portal has generated submitter and submission point numbers that are not consistent with the numbering applied in the Summary of Decisions Requested. Submission points in the Summary of Decisions Requested (SODR) are numbered using the following format:

   PC7 –Submitter ID #.Submission point #

The correct submitter identification number and submitter information is specified above. This will be the number referred to in the SODR.
Proposed Plan Change 7 to the Land and Water Regional Plan

Form 5 Submission on publically notified proposal for policy statement or plan, change or variation

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To Environment Canterbury - Tavisha Fernando
Date received 11/09/2019 1:30:56 PM
Submission #68

Address for service:
Alsop Jeremy / 68
30 Edward Street Prebbleton
Mobile: 0292005500
Email: jeremy.alsop@outlook.com
Wishes to be heard? No
Is willing to present a joint case? No

Proposed Plan Change 7 has been developed to respond to emerging resource management issues, to give effect to relevant national direction, to implement recommendations from the Hinds Drains' Working Party, and to implement recommendations in the Waimakariri and Orari-Temuka-Opipi-Pareora (OTOP) Zone Implementation Programme Addenda (ZIPA).

- Could you gain an advantage in trade competition in making this submission?
  - No
- Are you directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that
  (a) adversely affects the environment; and
  (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition
  - Yes

Submission points

Point 68.1

Submission

The plan does not go far enough to remedy nitrate pollution and over allocation of water resource.

Further, it does not address the need for a fairer and more equitable allocation of water. Currently resource is allocated on a "first in" consent basis. Given water is a finite resource, a process towards fairer redistribution of a reduced resource needs to be included in the plan.

Transfer of consent needs to be better addressed.

Relief sought

Further reduce the allowable nitrate discharges. The plan does not go far enough

Reduce the allowable water allocation. The plan does not go far enough
Add a fair process to redistribute water allocation, rather than first in consent holder.

Add a process to buy-back/or reduce consent allocation within the consent term.

Remove the ability to transfer consents for he approved consent use to other uses

Add the ability to modify consent allocations and other conditions on sale or transfer or the consented property and/or business
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