

Submission on Plan Change 7 to the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan

By **Newbery, K**

Submitter Identification number: **PC7-532**

Wishes to be heard: **No**

Would consider making a joint submission at the hearing: **No**

Submitted on: **09/09/2019**

This submission was submitted via Environment Canterbury's online submission portal. The Submissions portal generates pdf files of submissions (as attached). However, some of the information that appears in the pdf files is not consistent with information the submitter entered into the portal, specifically, where submitters have ticked:

- “I wish to be heard in support of my submission” ; and
- “If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing”.

Additionally, the submissions portal has generated submitter and submission point numbers that are not consistent with the numbering applied in the Summary of Decisions Requested. Submission points in the Summary of Decisions Requested (SODR) are numbered using the following format:

PC7 – Submitter ID #.Submission point #

The correct submitter identification number and submitter information is specified above. This will be the number referred to in the SODR.

Proposed Plan Change 7 to the Land and Water Regional Plan

Form 5 Submission on publically notified proposal for policy statement or plan, change or variation

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To Environment Canterbury - Tavisha Fernando

Date received 9/09/2019 10:34:48 PM

Submission #55

Address for service:

Newbery Kathryn / 55
41 Peninsula View Sumner Christchurch
Phone: 0276766100
Mobile: 0276766100
Email: kanewbery@gmail.com
Wishes to be heard? No
Is willing to present a joint case? No

Proposed Plan Change 7 has been developed to respond to emerging resource management issues, to give effect to relevant national direction, to implement recommendations from the Hinds Drains' Working Party, and to implement recommendations in the Waimakariri and Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora (OTOP) Zone Implementation Programme Addenda (ZIPA).

- Could you gain an advantage in trade competition in making this submission?
- No
- Are you directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that
 - (a) adversely affects the environment; and
 - (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition
- No

Submission points

Point 55.1

Submission

I wholeheartedly oppose this plan. This aquifer is the water supply for the city. The proposed limits for nitrates could lead to the aquifers under the Canterbury plains being polluted by nitrates. There needs to be more data, analysis and evidence that categorically prove that these changes will **not** directly affect the health of all of Christchurch, now and in for future generations. Even small increases in nitrate levels can be potentially damaging as shown in research from Denmark showing links to increased levels of colorectal cancer. We cannot be complacent here and much more research needs to be carried out before going ahead with a plan that could be catastrophic for years to come. Get the independent health experts in to reassure us that increased nitrates will not be damaging to the health of our city. We need facts and solid evidence presented clearly to the public and all stakeholders should be engaged in a wholly transparent process. Please reconsider this plan now.

Relief sought

I wish ECAN to significantly reduce the proposed nitrate limits, both the maximums and the average.

Section: Section 16 Schedules

Sub-section: General

Provision

General