

## **Submission on Plan Change 7 to the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan**

By **Sheerin, C**

Submitter Identification number: **PC7-530**

Wishes to be heard: **No**

Would consider making a joint submission at the hearing: **No**

Submitted on: **09/09/2019**

This submission was submitted via Environment Canterbury's online submission portal. The Submissions portal generates pdf files of submissions (as attached). However, some of the information that appears in the pdf files is not consistent with information the submitter entered into the portal, specifically, where submitters have ticked:

- "I wish to be heard in support of my submission" ; and
- "If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing".

Additionally, the submissions portal has generated submitter and submission point numbers that are not consistent with the numbering applied in the Summary of Decisions Requested. Submission points in the Summary of Decisions Requested (SODR) are numbered using the following format:

PC7 – Submitter ID #.Submission point #

**The correct submitter identification number and submitter information is specified above. This will be the number referred to in the SODR.**

# Proposed Plan Change 7 to the Land and Water Regional Plan

## Form 5 Submission on publically notified proposal for policy statement or plan, change or variation

*Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991*

To Environment Canterbury - Tavisha Fernando  
Date received 9/09/2019 6:57:14 PM  
Submission #53

### Address for service:

sheerin Celia / 53  
403 armagh street Linwood  
Phone: 273472408  
Email: celiasherin@gmail.com  
Wishes to be heard? No  
Is willing to present a joint case? No

**Proposed Plan Change 7 has been developed to respond to emerging resource management issues, to give effect to relevant national direction, to implement recommendations from the Hinds Drains' Working Party, and to implement recommendations in the Waimakariri and Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora (OTOP) Zone Implementation Programme Addenda (ZIPA).**

- Could you gain an advantage in trade competition in making this submission?  
- No
- Are you directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that  
(a) adversely affects the environment; and  
(b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition  
- No

## Submission points

---

### Point 53.1

#### Submission

I am totally opposed to any changes allowing for increased nitrate levels in our water. What this plan change does, in the guise of pretending to lower nitrate levels into the Christchurch aquifers is allow for decades of increases. The current average for the deeper wells from which we in Chch extract water is around 0.477mg/l and the proposed increase in the Christchurch area is a target of 3.8mg/l on average. That is a massive increase in nitrate levels. Worse, I find no penalties for going above this, so as I read it the effective allowable level could be in some areas at some times the drinking water maximum of 11.3 mg/l . There are very clear links between nitrate levels and negative health outcomes and the proposed changes to the plan put the health of hundreds and thousands of people at risk, not to mention future generations. Our water is a treasure and needs to be protected, not vandalised.

#### Relief sought

The plan should not allow for any increase in nitrate levels.

**Section:** General

**Sub-section:** General

**Provision**

General

## **Submission on Plan Change 2 to the Waimakariri River Regional Plan**

By **Sheerin, C**

Submitter Identification number: **PC2-31**

Wishes to be heard: **No**

Would consider making a joint submission at the hearing: **No**

Submitted on: **08/09/2019**

This submission was submitted via Environment Canterbury's online submission portal. The Submissions portal generates pdf files of submissions (as attached). However, some of the information that appears in the pdf files is not consistent with information the submitter entered into the portal, specifically, where submitters have ticked:

- "I wish to be heard in support of my submission" ; and
- "If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing".

Additionally, the submissions portal has generated submitter and submission point numbers that are not consistent with the numbering applied in the Summary of Decisions Requested. Submission points in the Summary of Decisions Requested (SODR) are numbered using the following format:

PC2 – Submitter ID #.Submission point #

**The correct submitter identification number and submitter information is specified above. This will be the number referred to in the SODR.**

# Proposed Plan Change 2 to the Waimakariri River Regional Plan

## Form 5 Submission on publically notified proposal for policy statement or plan, change or variation

*Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991*

To Environment Canterbury - Tavisha Fernando  
Date received 8/09/2019 7:46:11 PM  
Submission #5

### Address for service:

sheerin Celia / 5  
403 armagh street Linwood Christchurch  
Phone: 273472408  
Email: celiasherin@gmail.com  
Wishes to be heard? No  
Is willing to present a joint case? No

**Proposed Plan Change 2 proposes to remove from the Waimakariri River Regional Plan provisions that relate to the area covered by Section 8 (Waimakariri sub-region) of the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan (CLWRP). The WRRP continues to apply to the main stem of the Waimakariri River, the upper catchment including its headwaters, and an area of land south of the Waimakariri River.**

- Could you gain an advantage in trade competition in making this submission?  
- No
- Are you directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that  
(a) adversely affects the environment; and  
(b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition  
- No

## Submission points

---

### Point 5.1

#### Submission

I am totally opposed to any changes allowing for increased nitrate levels in our water. What this plan change does, in the guise of pretending to lower nitrate levels into the Christchurch aquifers is allow for decades of increases. The current average for the deeper wells from which we in Chch extract water is around 0.477mg/l and the proposed increase in the Christchurch area is a target of 3.8mg/l on average. That is a massive increase in nitrate levels. Worse, I find no penalties for going above this, so as I read it the effective allowable level could be in some areas at some times the drinking water maximum of 11.3 mg/l . There are **very** clear links between nitrate levels and negative health outcomes and the proposed changes to the plan put the health of hundreds and thousands of people at risk, not to mention future generations. Our water is a treasure and needs to be protected, not vandalised.

#### Relief sought

The plan should not allow for any increase in nitrate levels.

**Section:** General

**Sub-section:** General

**Provision**

General