

Submission on Plan Change 7 to the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan

By McKenzie, E

Submitter Identification number: PC7-528

Wishes to be heard: No

Would consider making a joint submission at the hearing: No

Submitted on: 09/09/2019

This submission was submitted via Environment Canterbury's online submission portal. The Submissions portal generates pdf files of submissions (as attached). However, some of the information that appears in the pdf files is not consistent with information the submitter entered into the portal, specifically, where submitters have ticked:

- "I wish to be heard in support of my submission"; and
- "If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing".

Additionally, the submissions portal has generated submitter and submission point numbers that are not consistent with the numbering applied in the Summary of Decisions Requested. Submission points in the Summary of Decisions Requested (SODR) are numbered using the following format:

PC7 – Submitter ID #.Submission point #

The correct submitter identification number and submitter information is specified above. This will be the number referred to in the SODR.

Proposed Plan Change 7 to the Land and Water Regional Plan

Form 5 Submission on publically notified proposal for policy statement or plan, change or variation

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To Environment Canterbury - Tavisha Fernando Date received 9/09/2019 5:48:28 PM Submission #51

Address for service:

McKenzie Eric / 51 575Trents Road Prebbleton Mobile: 0273482047 Email: paps4me@gmail.com Wishes to be heard? No Is willing to present a joint case? No

Proposed Plan Change 7 has been developed to respond to emerging resource management issues, to give effect to relevant national direction, to implement recommendations from the Hinds Drains' Working Party, and to implement recommendations in the Waimakariri and Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora (OTOP) Zone Implementation Programme Addenda (ZIPA).

- Could you gain an advantage in trade competition in making this submission?
 - No
- Are you directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that
 - (a) adversely affects the environment; and
 - (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition
 - Yes

Submission points

Point 51.1

Submission

Policy 4.102 - Habitat of Indigenous Freshwater Species

I am opposed to the proposed plan to implement structures that enable

the passage of indigenous fish but prevent the passage of so-called invasive, pest or nuisance fish. Your proposal to "design, construct, install and maintain new in-stream structures and modify, deconstruct/reconstruct or remove existing in-stream structures is opposed. I am opposed to anything that would inhibit the potential habitats of Trout and Salmon and inhibit the rights of all New Zealanders to enjoy a hobby such as fishing for Trout and Salmon.

As a fisherman I am concerned that this policy would result in a 'screening' or 'drafting' system that would block the passage of trout and salmon. Secondly I am concerned that the policy will ultimately apply to any waterway throughout the Canterbury District.

I am less than satisfied that the proposed policy gives sufficient regard to section 7 of the Resource Management Act pertaining to the habitat of trout and salmon. It is also a concern to me that the proposed changes to the policy interferes with Fish and Game's functions under the Conservation Act (Section 26Q), that it interferes with the Department of Conservation's functions under the Conservation Act (Section 6(ab)), that it is contrary to Water Conservation Orders where outstanding values are recognised and waters are protected for spawning and angling, and finally, that it is contrary to the Canterbury Regional Policy Statement where values such as fishing are recognised.

I fish in that area and I am strongly opposed to anything that would impact on sports fishing in these areas. To even suggest this in the Plan is in my mind very shortsighted and goes against what being a kiwi freshwater fisherman is all about and the Acts stated above.

I intend to to take my grandson fishing in these lakes for salmon and trout and am strongly opposed to the change in the plans and the impact that it would have on my ability to do so.

Relief sought

Delete Provision

Section: Section 4 Policies **Sub-section:** Section 4 Policies

Provision <u>4.102</u>

Structures enable the safe passage of indigenous fish, while avoiding as far as practicable, the passage of any invasive, pest or nuisance fish species by:

- a. the appropriate design, construction, installation and maintenance of new in-stream structures; and
- b. the modification, reconstruction or removed of existing in-stream structures.