

Submission on Plan Change 7 to the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan

By **Foate, J**

Submitter Identification number: **PC7-523**

Wishes to be heard: **No**

Would consider making a joint submission at the hearing: **No**

Submitted on: **08/09/2019**

This submission was submitted via Environment Canterbury's online submission portal. The Submissions portal generates pdf files of submissions (as attached). However, some of the information that appears in the pdf files is not consistent with information the submitter entered into the portal, specifically, where submitters have ticked:

- "I wish to be heard in support of my submission" ; and
- "If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing".

Additionally, the submissions portal has generated submitter and submission point numbers that are not consistent with the numbering applied in the Summary of Decisions Requested. Submission points in the Summary of Decisions Requested (SODR) are numbered using the following format:

PC7 – Submitter ID #.Submission point #

The correct submitter identification number and submitter information is specified above. This will be the number referred to in the SODR.

Proposed Plan Change 7 to the Land and Water Regional Plan

Form 5 Submission on publically notified proposal for policy statement or plan, change or variation

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To Environment Canterbury - Tavisha Fernando
Date received 8/09/2019 9:33:28 PM
Submission #44

Address for service:

Foate Jeremy / 44
46 Webb St St Albans Christchurch
Email: jeremyfoate@xtra.co.nz
Wishes to be heard? No
Is willing to present a joint case? No

Proposed Plan Change 7 has been developed to respond to emerging resource management issues, to give effect to relevant national direction, to implement recommendations from the Hinds Drains' Working Party, and to implement recommendations in the Waimakariri and Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora (OTOP) Zone Implementation Programme Addenda (ZIPA).

- Could you gain an advantage in trade competition in making this submission?
 - No
- Are you directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that
 - (a) adversely affects the environment; and
 - (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition
- Yes

Submission points

Point 44.1

Submission

I oppose this provision because it may cause the restriction of, or interference with the protection of sport fish species which I, along with many New Zealanders, highly value. I have particular attachment to the trout fisheries in the Opihi and also the Waiau and Clarence River areas.

Relief sought

4.102

Structures enable the safe passage of indigenous fish, trout and salmonids, while avoiding as far as practicable, the passage of any invasive, pest or nuisance fish species by:

1. the appropriate design, construction, installation and maintenance of new in-stream structures; and
2. the modification, reconstruction or removed of existing in-stream structures.

Section: Section 4 Policies

Sub-section: Section 4 Policies

Provision

4.102

Structures enable the safe passage of indigenous fish, while avoiding as far as practicable, the passage of any invasive, pest or nuisance fish species by:

- a. the appropriate design, construction, installation and maintenance of new in-stream structures; and
- b. the modification, reconstruction or removed of existing in-stream structures.