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This submission was submitted via Environment Canterbury’s online submission portal. The Submissions portal generates pdf files of submissions (as attached). However, some of the information that appears in the pdf files is not consistent with information the submitter entered into the portal, specifically, where submitters have ticked:

- “I wish to be heard in support of my submission”; and
- “If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing”.

Additionally, the submissions portal has generated submitter and submission point numbers that are not consistent with the numbering applied in the Summary of Decisions Requested. Submission points in the Summary of Decisions Requested (SODR) are numbered using the following format:

PC7 – Submitter ID #.Submission point #

The correct submitter identification number and submitter information is specified above. This will be the number referred to in the SODR.
Proposed Plan Change 7 to the Land and Water Regional Plan

Form 5 Submission on publically notified proposal for policy statement or plan, change or variation

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To Environment Canterbury - Tavisha Fernando
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Submission #31

Address for service:
Kennedy Graham / 31
4 Penbury Close Lake Hood, No 4RD Ashburton 7774
Phone: 0274336639
Mobile: 0274336639
Email: grahamkennedy@me.com
Wishes to be heard? No
Is willing to present a joint case? No

Proposed Plan Change 7 has been developed to respond to emerging resource management issues, to give effect to relevant national direction, to implement recommendations from the Hinds Drains’ Working Party, and to implement recommendations in the Waimakariri and Orari-Temuka-Opipi-Pareora (OTOP) Zone Implementation Programme Addenda (ZIPA).

• Could you gain an advantage in trade competition in making this submission?
  - No
• Are you directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that
  (a) adversely affects the environment; and
  (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition
  - No

Submission points

Point 31.1

Submission

Under the heading "habitat of Indigenous Freshwater Species" I strongly support the provision of safe passage for valued freshwater species that includes indigenous fish as well as trout and salmon...but reject absolutely any suggestion of excluding Trout and Salmon.

We have a significant section of society that rely on recreational fishing, particularly in retirement. The mental stress that would be faced by these people would be extreme and at a time when we have an increasing mental heath problem in NZ. It would be unthinkable for society to now reject all non indigenous people who have previously been permitted to live in NZ......so why do this to Trout and Salmon, which are now well established and compatibly thrive in NZ waters.

A totally divisive and badly conceived proposal!!

Relief sought
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