

## **Submission on Plan Change 7 to the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan**

By **Gardiner, A**

Submitter Identification number: **PC7-504**

Wishes to be heard: **No**

Would consider making a joint submission at the hearing: **No**

Submitted on: **06/09/2019**

This submission was submitted via Environment Canterbury's online submission portal. The Submissions portal generates pdf files of submissions (as attached). However, some of the information that appears in the pdf files is not consistent with information the submitter entered into the portal, specifically, where submitters have ticked:

- "I wish to be heard in support of my submission" ; and
- "If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing".

Additionally, the submissions portal has generated submitter and submission point numbers that are not consistent with the numbering applied in the Summary of Decisions Requested. Submission points in the Summary of Decisions Requested (SODR) are numbered using the following format:

PC7 – Submitter ID #.Submission point #

**The correct submitter identification number and submitter information is specified above. This will be the number referred to in the SODR.**

# Proposed Plan Change 7 to the Land and Water Regional Plan

## Form 5 Submission on publically notified proposal for policy statement or plan, change or variation

*Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991*

To Environment Canterbury - Tavisha Fernando  
Date received 6/09/2019 9:57:39 PM  
Submission #22

### Address for service:

Gardiner Alister / 22  
34A Somme St St Albans Christchurch  
Mobile: 0279222242  
Email: Grannbigal@xtra.co.nz  
Wishes to be heard? No  
Is willing to present a joint case? No

**Proposed Plan Change 7 has been developed to respond to emerging resource management issues, to give effect to relevant national direction, to implement recommendations from the Hinds Drains' Working Party, and to implement recommendations in the Waimakariri and Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora (OTOP) Zone Implementation Programme Addenda (ZIPA).**

- Could you gain an advantage in trade competition in making this submission?
  - No
- Are you directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that
  - (a) adversely affects the environment; and
  - (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition
  - Yes

## Submission points

---

### Point 22.1

#### Submission

As a keen recreational fisherman on the canals, rivers and lakes of the McKenzie Country I oppose 4.102 in relation to apparent support of indigenous fish in these highly modified waterways, to the potential detriment of introduced sporting fish stocks. I oppose any policy which is detriment to the growth of healthy game fish stocks on waterways which are created or already heavily modified by human activity. Specifically any policy which would allow for

“new structures and the retrofitting of any existing structures to enable indigenous fish passage while avoiding/prohibiting the passage of species deemed to have adverse effects on native populations”.

However, I do not oppose policies which are intended to enhance high country habitats which are still pristine and largely unmodified for protection of remaining indigenous species. Since there appears to be some uncertainty or lack of clarity about applicability of the policy in this regard it needs to be clarified.

#### Relief sought

Delete provision. I am sure others will provide suitable alternative text.

**Section:** Section 4 Policies

**Sub-section:**