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Wishes to be heard: No

Would consider making a joint submission at the hearing: No

Submitted on: 06/09/2019

This submission was submitted via Environment Canterbury’s online submission portal. The Submissions portal generates pdf files of submissions (as attached). However, some of the information that appears in the pdf files is not consistent with information the submitter entered into the portal, specifically, where submitters have ticked:

- “I wish to be heard in support of my submission” ; and
- “If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing”.

Additionally, the submissions portal has generated submitter and submission point numbers that are not consistent with the numbering applied in the Summary of Decisions Requested. Submission points in the Summary of Decisions Requested (SODR) are numbered using the following format:

    PC7 – Submitter ID #.Submission point #

The correct submitter identification number and submitter information is specified above. This will be the number referred to in the SODR.
Proposed Plan Change 7 to the Land and Water Regional Plan

Form 5 Submission on publically notified proposal for policy statement or plan, change or variation

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To Environment Canterbury - Tavisha Fernando
Date received 6/09/2019 5:52:50 AM
Submission #16

Address for service:
Collier Kevin / 16
91 Aston drive Waimairi Beach Christchurch
Phone: 0274959537
Mobile: 0274959537
Email: kevin.collier100@gmail.com
Wishes to be heard? No
Is willing to present a joint case? No

Proposed Plan Change 7 has been developed to respond to emerging resource management issues, to give effect to relevant national direction, to implement recommendations from the Hinds Drains’ Working Party, and to implement recommendations in the Waimakariri and Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora (OTOP) Zone Implementation Programme Addenda (ZIPA).

- Could you gain an advantage in trade competition in making this submission?
  - No
- Are you directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that
  (a) adversely affects the environment; and
  (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition
  - Yes

Submission points

Point 16.1

Submission

I oppose policy 4.102 as the policy and definitions are not sufficiently clear to enable the safe passage of Trout and Salmon nor is it clear which rivers in the area are excluded or included in the policy.

As it stands this proposed policy could negatively impact the ability for Anglers to pursue their past time on many Rivers in the Canterbury area

Sports fishing is an extremely popular and valuable Recreation for thousands of Canterbury residents and visitors. It provides important social, mental and physical benefits to individuals and contributes to personal wellbeing. It also contributes economic benefits to businesses and communities and provides a livelihood for many.

The policy also does not give regard to section 7 of the RMA (habitat of trout and salmon), interferes with Fish and Game’s functions under the Conservation Act (section 26Q), interferes with DOC’s functions under the Conservation Act (section 6(ab)), is contrary to Water Conservation Orders where outstanding values are recognised and waters are protected for spawning and angling, and is contrary to the Canterbury Regional Policy Statement where values such as fishing are recognised.

Finally, I would like to bring your attention to the difficulty I experienced in entering text into your online submission form via my mobile phone. It seemed there was a technical issue that meant the keyboard strokes I entered were not what came up in the form. I have had to cut and paste this from a separate application.

Relief sought
I oppose the inclusion of policy 4.102.

Section: Section 4 Policies  
Sub-section: Section 4 Policies  
Provision  
4.102

Structures enable the safe passage of indigenous fish, while avoiding as far as practicable, the passage of any invasive, pest or nuisance fish species by:

a. the appropriate design, construction, installation and maintenance of new in-stream structures; and
b. the modification, reconstruction or removal of existing in-stream structures.