

Submission on Plan Change 7 to the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan

By **Deans, T**

Submitter Identification number: **PC7-497**

Wishes to be heard: **Yes**

Would consider making a joint submission at the hearing: **Yes**

Submitted on: **04/09/2019**

This submission was submitted via Environment Canterbury's online submission portal. The Submissions portal generates pdf files of submissions (as attached). However, some of the information that appears in the pdf files is not consistent with information the submitter entered into the portal, specifically, where submitters have ticked:

- "I wish to be heard in support of my submission" ; and
- "If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing".

Additionally, the submissions portal has generated submitter and submission point numbers that are not consistent with the numbering applied in the Summary of Decisions Requested. Submission points in the Summary of Decisions Requested (SODR) are numbered using the following format:

PC7 – Submitter ID #.Submission point #

The correct submitter identification number and submitter information is specified above. This will be the number referred to in the SODR.

Proposed Plan Change 7 to the Land and Water Regional Plan

Form 5 Submission on publically notified proposal for policy statement or plan, change or variation

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To Environment Canterbury - Tavisha Fernando
Date received 4/09/2019 10:58:46 AM
Submission #15

Address for service:

Deans Tim / 15
69 Rowallan Road 69 Rowallan Road Darfield
Phone: +643318633
Mobile: +64272211054
Email: timdeans52@gmail.com
Wishes to be heard? No
Is willing to present a joint case? No

Proposed Plan Change 7 has been developed to respond to emerging resource management issues, to give effect to relevant national direction, to implement recommendations from the Hinds Drains' Working Party, and to implement recommendations in the Waimakariri and Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora (OTOP) Zone Implementation Programme Addenda (ZIPA).

- Could you gain an advantage in trade competition in making this submission?
- No
- Are you directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that
(a) adversely affects the environment; and
(b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition
- Yes

Submission points

Point 15.1

Submission

1. There is widespread use of the term "spring fed plains stream" in the document.
2. It is quite common for the document to describe gullies that are not fed by springs as "spring fed streams"
3. Many of these only carry water in occasional flood events, "median" levels, if averaged out between years or within a year would be very close to zero.
4. In relation to the above it would seem that at this time looking at Plan Change 7, should enable corrections to be made to the many errors made above.
5. My submission is that the accuracy of the description of "spring fed streams" should be checked and the classification should be changed to more accurately reflect their true nature.

Relief sought

The Relief Sought

Incorrect classification of streams in the hill country as "spring fed streams" should be changed wherever it appears in the land and water regional plan, to reflect their true nature.

Section: Section 12 Central Canterbury Alpine Rivers

Sub-section: 12.1 Other Regional Plans that apply to the Centr

Provision

General