

Submission on Plan Change 7 to the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan

By **Hendriks, A**

Submitter Identification number: **PC7-495**

Wishes to be heard: **No**

Would consider making a joint submission at the hearing: **No**

Submitted on: **01/09/2019**

This submission was submitted via Environment Canterbury's online submission portal. The Submissions portal generates pdf files of submissions (as attached). However, some of the information that appears in the pdf files is not consistent with information the submitter entered into the portal, specifically, where submitters have ticked:

- "I wish to be heard in support of my submission" ; and
- "If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing".

Additionally, the submissions portal has generated submitter and submission point numbers that are not consistent with the numbering applied in the Summary of Decisions Requested. Submission points in the Summary of Decisions Requested (SODR) are numbered using the following format:

PC7 – Submitter ID #.Submission point #

The correct submitter identification number and submitter information is specified above. This will be the number referred to in the SODR.

Proposed Plan Change 7 to the Land and Water Regional Plan

Form 5 Submission on publically notified proposal for policy statement or plan, change or variation

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To Environment Canterbury - Tavisha Fernando
Date received 1/09/2019 12:59:22 PM
Submission #13

Address for service:

Hendriks Ad / 13
540 Orari station road Geraldine
Phone: 0274713306
Mobile: 0274713306
Email: ahendriks@xtra.co.nz
Wishes to be heard? No
Is willing to present a joint case? No

Proposed Plan Change 7 has been developed to respond to emerging resource management issues, to give effect to relevant national direction, to implement recommendations from the Hinds Drains' Working Party, and to implement recommendations in the Waimakariri and Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora (OTOP) Zone Implementation Programme Addenda (ZIPA).

- Could you gain an advantage in trade competition in making this submission?
- No
- Are you directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that
(a) adversely affects the environment; and
(b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition
- Yes

Submission points

Point 13.1

Submission

Part of this whole process was to consult the public. We did this through the catchment groups that then presented to the OTOP. One of the strong points where most people agreed was that the new rules and restriction would keep the economic impact for the district to a minimum. If I read through the Plan change 7 I see not once a reference to the minimisation of the economic impact. Should I assume now that we only look at an environment without people? Without attention from ecan and its law makers to the economic impacts of these decisions soon enough there might be no funding to improve our environment or sustain ecan.

I agree we need to make changes but setting goals and restrictions this far in the future without cross checking, of if we are making progress and how much, would be reckless. We should set our goals around outcomes not restriction levels. If we reach our targets after the first round of restrictions we should not implement the next rounds as they **are** going to be impacting our economic resilience.

Relief sought

14.4.1 Management of freshwater in the Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora sub-region is achieved through the establishment of six Freshwater Management Units, and improvements in freshwater attained through the setting of, and managing to, water quality and quantity limits and targets for each area while acknowledging and limiting economic impacts. When targets are met further anticipated reductions should not be implemented.

Section: Section 14 Orari-Opihi-Pareora

Sub-section: