From: lan and Gabby Brown <ian.gabby@xtra.co.nz>

Sent: Monday, July 22, 2019 7:24 PM

To: Mailroom Mailbox

Subject: Plan Change 7 to the LWRP Submission

Categories: Cathy

I am totally opposed to the possibility of increasing nitrate levels that could affect drinking water in the Christchurch city aquifers.

Can have a responsibility to ensure the ongoing management of our environment for the good of all people.

To allow for increased nitrate levels jeopardises the purity of our water and should not be allowed.

Please act in the interests of the affected majority rather than a few farmers who are over-intensifying their farming to the potential detriment of all others. As a business owner I work within safe and healthy operating units and the dairy sector is not doing so as they have too many units of stock for land and have to import palm kernels to provide adequate feed as the old days of grass fed cattle cannot be sustained with the intensification.

Short sighted decision making that will have ramifications for current and future generations need to be soundly based and this is not.

Please desist from making such appalling decisions and underhanded behaviour.

Minimise the nitrate levels for the good of all and do it quickly, not in a 20 year plan.

Ian Brown



Christchurch 8140

Submission on Proposed Plan Change 7 to the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan

TANK DESCRIPTION OF STREET	FOR OFFICE USE ONLY
	Submitter ID: File No:

Form 5: Submissions on a Publicly Notified Proposed Policy Statement or Regional Plan under Clause 5 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

Return your signed submission by 5.00pm Friday 13 September 2019 to:

Proposed Plan Change 7 to the Land and Water Regional Plan Environment Canterbury P O Box 345

Phone (Hm): 3888222 Full Name: Organisation*: Phone (Wk): * the organisation that this submission is made on behalf of Phone (Cell): 0278356248 Hurst Segger Lone Postal Address:_ Postcode: 8081 Fax: Contact name and postal address for service of person making submission (if different from above): **Trade Competition** Pursuant to Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission may make a submission only if directly affected by an effect of the proposed policy statement or plan that: a) adversely affects the environment; and b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition. Please tick the sentence that applies to you: I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission; or I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission. If you have ticked this box please select one of the following: I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission Signature: (Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the submission) Please note: (1) all information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information.

M	I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission; or
	I <u>do</u> wish to be heard in support of my submission; and if so,
	I would be prepared to consider presenting my submission in a joint case with others making a similar
	submission at any hearing

(1) The specific provisions of the Proposed Plan that my submission relates to are:		(2) My submission is that: (include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended and the reasons for your views.)		(3) I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury: (Please give precise details for each provision. The more
Section & Page Number	Sub-section/ Point	Oppose/support (in part or full)	Reasons	specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand your concerns.)
PC 7 Wamahain	section.	Оррозе	consider the replications of the notice leaching in the polarity are modelled to fearly in @ 3.8 mg? level poses inacceptable fish to the animy nation of over 4,00000 Chadchurch residents. I consider there should be immediate action to reduce the polarity and that practises change to make land use more sustainable as suggested by Kenth Hapifard (ine frees 31 August) with Composting Bodins. It is clear that some creas of the Earleburg plans are loss storey to sustain intensive daying and action must be taken to minimise the risks of this immediately. It is nappropriate for Earl to use the Environment Contibury (Transitional Governance Arrongenests) Act 2016 to face an ill concerted plan to the potential dathant of half the south toleral population during apply also awant have in wide. Governance population during apply also awant have in wide. Governance arrongenests	Allumy excessive Nitrate leaching. ignorthus 2, Pelay this process until a Culty representative Econ is appointed in less than a manth as this decisionaring model is alemacratically flawed
			also given these is wide Government action being considered. Notation much be reduced to sale livels for Ch Ch	
			driking qquels consistent with interchas lescotch incle	l Mg/1.

Add further pages as required - please initial any additional pages.

Evan must commide the implications of Chroiched

disting water

I support the CCC outsimes