

**From:** [Courtney Starbuck](#)  
**To:** [Mailroom Mailbox](#)  
**Subject:** Plan Change 7 to the LWRP Submission  
**Date:** Friday, 13 September 2019 4:12:57 PM  
**Attachments:** [Plan Change 7 Submission - CStarbuck.pdf](#)  
[Plan Change 7 Submission.rtf](#)

---

Please see attached.



# Submission on Proposed Plan Change 2 to the Waimakariri River Regional Plan

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

Submitter ID: \_\_\_\_\_

File No: \_\_\_\_\_

Form 5: Submissions on a Publicly Notified Proposed Policy Statement or Regional Plan under Clause 5 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

Return your signed submission by 5.00pm Friday 13 September 2019 to:

Proposed Plan Change 2 to the Waimakariri River Regional Plan  
Environment Canterbury  
P O Box 345  
Christchurch 8140

Full Name: Courtney Starbuck Phone (Hm): \_\_\_\_\_

Organisation\*: \_\_\_\_\_ Phone (Wk): \_\_\_\_\_  
\* the organisation that this submission is made on behalf of

Postal Address: 3000 Tram Road Phone (Cell): 0210700958  
West Eyreton Postcode: \_\_\_\_\_

Email: courtney.starbuck@gmail.com Fax: \_\_\_\_\_

Contact name and postal address for service of person making submission (if different from above):  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

### Trade Competition

Pursuant to Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission may make a submission only if directly affected by an effect of the proposed policy statement or plan that:

- a) adversely affects the environment; and
- b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Please tick the sentence that applies to you:

- I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission; or
- I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

**If you have ticked this box please select one of the following:**

- I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission
- I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission

Signature: Courtney Starbuck Date: 13/9/2019

(Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the submission)

Please note:

(1) all information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information.

- I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission; or
- I do wish to be heard in support of my submission; and if so,
- I would be prepared to consider presenting my submission in a joint case with others making a similar submission at any hearing

I am writing this as a submission on feedback for Plan Change 7 to the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan. Here are my thoughts:

1. Pursuant to Take and Use Groundwater 5.128, I oppose the uptake groundwater for irrigation purposes. There are many lifestyle properties in the Waimakariri whose wells run dry in the summer and during drought due to excessive water take by farmers. Domestic households should have priority for water use. The water table has dropped significantly at our own well since we moved in nine years ago, and this is concerning for us as we fear we may join the others with dry wells at some stage during the year. I am supportive of initiatives to encourage water conservation and subsidising rainwater collection systems, etc. to encourage their widespread uptake. Similarly, I oppose the uptake of groundwater by any private company for any commercial gain (i.e. water bottling companies).

2. In addition to nitrate and phosphorous run-off, ECAN is now in a position to move forward with the elimination of glyphosate use Canterbury. Recent court cases have proven that its highly carcinogenic and extremely toxic to people, animals, and the environment. In those court cases, we discovered decades of corruption, collusion, and outright lies by glyphosate-manufacturer Monsanto (now Bayer Corp.) to hide their knowledge of these facts. We cannot continue to use products containing glyphosate in light of this new information. I suggest an amendment to Submission of Water Quality Data 4.103 to include testing glyphosate levels

3. I propose changing the requirement for a Farm Environment Plan to those on properties over 20 hectares (increased from 10 hectares) who are using their land commercially. Most people with properties smaller than this would find the compliance costs, both in time and money, to be prohibitive.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,  
Courtney Starbuck  
3000 Tram Road  
West Eyreton