

From: [Waipopo Farm Ltd](#)
To: [Mailroom Mailbox](#)
Subject: Emailing: CCF13092019_0001.pdf
Date: Friday, 13 September 2019 2:11:13 PM
Attachments: [CCF13092019_0001.pdf](#)

Your message is ready to be sent with the following file or link attachments:
CCF13092019_0001.pdf

Note: To protect against computer viruses, email programs may prevent you from sending or receiving certain types of file attachments. Check your email security settings to determine how attachments are handled.

**SUBMISSION ON PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 7 TO THE CANTERBURY LAND
AND WATER REGIONAL PLAN**

Clause 5 First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991

TO: Proposed Plan Change 7 to the Canterbury Land and Water Regional
Plan
Environment Canterbury
PO Box 345
Christchurch 8140
By email: mailroom@ecan.govt.nz

Name of submitter:

1 Russell Newbigging
Hides Rd

Seadown

Trade competition statement:

2 I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

Proposal this submission relates to is:

3 This submission is on proposed Plan Change 7 to the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan (PC7), specifically the Orari-Temuka-Opihi-Pareora (OTOP) sub-region component of PC7, comprising "Part B" (Proposal).

The specific provisions of PC7 that this submission relates to:

4 This submission is confined to matters in relation to the Levels and Seadown Plains Area in the Timaru Freshwater Management Unit (FMU).

Submission

- 5 I am located at Hides road Seadown and have 150 hectares which are currently leased to a mixed cropping and vegetable farmer. I have concerns for with the proposed minimum flows for my sub region and nutrient requirements with the accuracy of OVERSEER in assessing nutrient discharges for some crops. These restrictions may result in my land being unable to be leased in the future to the current lessee, and hard to find a new lessee in the future with the restrictions.
- 6 I support all farmers using good management practises and care of the land. I have fenced off waterways and have always worked alongside ECan for the care of our wetlands.

Decisions sought by the submitter:

- 7 I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury:
- 7.1 that the decisions sought in **Annexure A** to this submission be accepted; and/or

			<p>Drain could be an option. Therefore, the plan needs to allow for this.</p>	<p>provided the following conditions are met:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The activity does not take place on land that is listed as an archaeological site; and 2. The activity is not within a Community Drinking Water Protection Zone as defined in Schedule 1; and 3. The discharge is not within 100m of any abstraction point used for drinking water; and 4. A management plan is prepared and submitted with the application for resource consent; and 5. The discharge does not result in the erosion of the bed or banks of any receiving waterbody. <p>The exercise of discretion is restricted to the following matters:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The appropriateness of the location of the discharge points. 2. The content and quality of the management plan, and the methods
--	--	--	---	--

	Groundwater Limits		connected groundwater should be an option for this zone given that there will be many more users subject to a minimum flow than before.	allocation limit of 10 million cubic metres per year, while retaining the total allocation for the zone of 32.9 million cubic metres per year.
--	--------------------	--	---	--