| From:        | Kirsty Martin   |  |
|--------------|---|--|
| То:          | Mailroom Mailbox  |  |
| Subject:     | ect: Plan Change 7 to the LWRP Submission                           |  |
| Date:        | Friday, 13 September 2019 12:14:42 PM                               |  |
| Attachments: | image009.png  |  |
|              | Form5forPC7tothel WRP (4) - Dairy Farm Management Services Ltd. pdf |  |

Kind regards,

#### **Kirsty Martin**

### Farm Environmental Consultant | Dairy Farm Management Services Ltd

M: 027 465 2803 | DDI: 03 366 5665 | O: 62 South Terrace, Darfield 7510 | P: PO Box 37, Darfield 7541 | www.dfms.co.nz | Your partner for business growth



This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify us immediately. This message contains confidential information and is intended only for the individual named. If you are not the named addressee you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this e-mail. Please notify the sender immediately by e-mail if you have received this e-mail from your system. If you are not the intended recipient you are notified that disclosing, copying, distributing or taking any action in reliance on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited.



# Submission on Proposed Plan Change 7 to the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan

Submitter ID: File No:

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

Form 5: Submissions on a Publicly Notified Proposed Policy Statement or Regional Plan under Clause 5 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

Return your signed submission by 5.00pm Friday 13 September 2019 to: Proposed Plan Change 7 to the Land and Water Regional Plan Environment Canterbury P O Box 345 Christchurch 8140

| Full Name: Kirsty Eve Martin   | Phone (Hm): 03 366 5665          |  |  |  |  |
|--|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Organisation*: Dairy Farm Management Services Ltd  | Phone (Wk): 027 465 2803         |  |  |  |  |
| * the organisation that this submission is made on behalf of<br>Postal Address: PO Box 37, Darfield 7541   |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| Postal Address: 1 O Dox 37; Dameid 7341  | Phone (Cell):<br>Postcode: 7541  |  |  |  |  |
| Kiroty@dfma.ao.nz  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| Email: Kirsty@dfms.co.nz   | Fax:                             |  |  |  |  |
| Contact name and postal address for service of person making submis  | ssion (if different from above): |  |  |  |  |
|  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| Trade Competition  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| Pursuant to Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, a per<br>competition through the submission may make a submission only if dis<br>policy statement or plan that:<br>a) adversely affects the environment; and |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competiti  | on.                              |  |  |  |  |
| Please tick the sentence that applies to you:  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submi  | ssion; or                        |  |  |  |  |
| I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| If you have ticked this box please select one of the following:  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| $\Box$ I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of  |                                  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{\nabla}$ I <u>am not</u> directly affected by an effect of the subject matter   | r of the submission              |  |  |  |  |
| Signature:   | Date: 13- 9- 19                  |  |  |  |  |
|  |                                  |  |  |  |  |

(Signature of person making supmission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the submission)

Please note:

(1) all information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information.

|              | I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission; or   |
|--------------|---|
|              | I <u>do</u> wish to be heard in support of my submission; and if so,                                  |
| $\checkmark$ | I would be prepared to consider presenting my submission in a joint case with others making a similar |
|              | submission at any hearing   |

# Schedule 1 – Submission on Proposed Plan Change 7 to the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan

## Introduction

Dairy Farm Management Services (DFMS) is a rural consultancy business based in Canterbury. Our consultants provide guidance and advice to the agricultural sector. Areas of expertise that our consultants offer include farm systems and management, day to day advice, financial planning, human resources, strategic advice, nutrient management and environmental options and succession planning.

Our goal is to assist farmers in incorporating strategies that will protect their business and to build flexibility which allows for sudden changes including environmental, social and economic.

"As consultants with skin in the game, we understand the effort and passion that goes into farm systems and the strategies that make them work."

### Position of interest to Plan Change 7 of the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan

As a consultancy company based in Canterbury, we have a profound understanding of the environmental changes and pressures faced by dairy farmers within our region. However, we are deeply concerned about the proposed challenged that farming families and the wider community will face if these new policies and rules come into effect.

Thank you for taking into consideration our submission.

| (1) The specific provisions of the<br>Proposed Plan that my<br>submission relates to are:   |                       | <ul><li>(2) My submission is that:</li><li>(include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended and the reasons for your views.)</li></ul> |  | <ul><li>(3) I seek the following decisions from Environment<br/>Canterbury:</li><li>(Please give precise details for each provision. The more</li></ul>   |
|---|-----------------------|---|--|---|
| Section &<br>Page Number  | Sub-section/<br>Point | Oppose/support<br>(in part or full)   | Reasons  | specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to<br>understand your concerns.)  |
| Policy 8.4.25 –<br>8.4.29<br>Pages 66-68.<br>Policy 14.4.17 –<br>14.4.28<br>Pages 136-139<br>Rule 14.5.14 –<br>14.5.23A<br>Page 149 - 153 |                       | Oppose  | The starting point for Baseline GMP reductions is unclear.<br>The Environment Canterbury Farm Portal is not producing<br>consistent results. The Baseline GMP loss rate for the<br>purpose of regulation should be a credible number which<br>remains consistent throughout the life of the plan.<br>It should not be a moving target. | Until the Environment Canterbury Farm Portal is able to<br>provide credible and reliable results, the plan should have<br>an alternative set of GMP guidelines for the calculation of<br>GMP which will provide consistency across all farms.<br>For the different zones (Waimakariri and OTOP), a<br>specific set of industry agreed GMP guidelines for farmers<br>to input into their Overseer files to generate their Baseline<br>GMP (2009-13) number is required. This will determine the<br>definite starting point for farmers from which they can<br>make the reductions required by the plan. This would be<br>more consistent with PC1. |
| Table 14 (zc)<br>Page 173   |                       | Oppose  | The reductions in table 14(zc) (High Nitrogen Concentration<br>Area Staged Reductions) will have serious financial impacts on<br>famers in this area and will affect the viability of their<br>businesses.   | Request the ongoing monitoring and review of the<br>surface water and ground water within the zone and<br>longer timeframes for farmers to achieve environmental<br>outcomes.   |
| Table 8-9<br>Page 95  |                       | Oppose  | The reductions set out in Table 8-9 are<br>unachievable and will have severe financial<br>implications for the farming families in the zone<br>and the whole community. The focus should be<br>on continuing measuring and monitoring and<br>making reduction decisions at that time based<br>on the science rather than the model.    | Request to delete the requirement for reductions beyond<br>1 January 2040. Include a new policy that (consistent with<br>PC1/PC2) anticipates the whole community working<br>towards an overall groundwater nitrate-nitrogen<br>concentration of 6.9mg/l.   |
|   |                       |   |  |   |
|   |                       |   |  |   |

Add further pages as required – please initial any additional pages.