Submission on Proposed Plan
Change 7 to the Canterbury
Land and Water Regional Plan

Form 5: Submissions on a Publicly Notified Proposed Policy Statement or Regional Plan under Clause 5 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

Return your signed submission by 5.00pm Friday 13 September 2019 to:
Proposed Plan Change 7 to the Land and Water Regional Plan
Environment Canterbury
P O Box 345
Christchurch 8140

Full Name: DONALD HARDIE & CHRISTINE ANNE GIBSON
Phone (Hm): 03 615 85 95

Organisation*: GIBSON FAMILY TRUST
Phone (Wk): ____________
* the organisation that this submission is made on behalf of

Postal Address: P O BOX 345,
Phone (Cell) 0274 85 885
WINCHESTER
Postcode: 7958

Email: gibson@farmside.co.nz
Fax: ____________

Trade Competition

Pursuant to Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission may make a submission only if directly affected by an effect of the proposed policy statement or plan that:
   a) adversely affects the environment; and
   b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Please tick the sentence that applies to you:

☐ I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission; or
☐ I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

If you have ticked this box please select one of the following:

☐ I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission
☐ I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission

Signature: [Signature] Date: 10/09/2019

(Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the submission)

Please note:
(1) all information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information.

☐ I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission; or
☐ I do wish to be heard in support of my submission; and if so,
☐ I would be prepared to consider presenting my submission in a joint case with others making a similar submission at any hearing.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section &amp; Page Number</th>
<th>Sub-section/Point</th>
<th>Oppose/support (in part or full)</th>
<th>Reasons</th>
<th>(3) I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14, 131 14, 4, 3</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Oppose</strong></td>
<td>The kai is currently &quot;safely harvested + consumed&quot;</td>
<td>Retain the status quo of water allocation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 14, 132 14, 4, 6A    |                   | **Oppose in part**               | Your Plan change proposals will have serious financial implications and we oppose surrender allocation. | a) Delete from "groundwater permit"  
|                      |                   |                                 |                                                 | b) Delete entirely                     |
| 14, 132 14, 4, 6B    |                   | **Support in part**              | Temuka A+B water rights + K1L - Allow storage (C permit) along with A+B+K1L | |
| 14, 133 14, 4, 11    |                   | **Support in full**              |                                                   | |
| 14, 133 14, 4, 12    |                   |                                 |                                                   | |
| 14, 134 14, 4, 13    |                   | **Oppose in part**               | It may allow water to be used for a more valuable land use eg. better | Allow transfer where beneficial for the national economy (employment) |
| 14, 134 14, 4, 14    |                   | **Oppose**                       | If 100 l/s ec. new allowance | Allow new water, no restrictions  
| 14, 135 14, 4, 17    |                   | **Oppose in part or Full**       | 10 ha is too small to require a Management Plan. | a) Increase minimum to 20ha. |
| 14, 138 14, 4, 30    |                   | **Oppose in part**               | The T CWB agreed to 2040 The Waikato River went dry in 1950s. Before any irrigation West of Windoro | 2040 Not 2035 |
| 14, 139 14, 4, 32    |                   | **Oppose in part**               | ASl agreed in 14, 4, 13. |  |
| 14, 139 14, 4, 33    |                   | **Oppose**                       | Why allocate more water if concerned about minimum flows. | Delete |
| 14, 142 14, 4, 34    |                   | **Oppose in Full**               | Totally unless to have E permit at 2600. 15 - Status Quo - Irrigation system will be small to be used. Food production, national income will drop |

Add further pages as required – please initial any additional pages.

We need to be realistic not idealistic.