



Submission on Proposed Plan Change 7 to the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

FILE REF:		DOCUMENT No.	
13 SEP 2019		ACTION	INFO
Submitter ID:			
File No:			

Form 5: Submissions on a Publicly Notified Proposed Policy Statement or Regional Plan under Clause 5 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

Return your signed submission by 5.00pm Friday 13 September 2019 to:

Proposed Plan Change 7 to the Land and Water Regional Plan
Environment Canterbury
P O Box 345
Christchurch 8140

Full Name: DAVID JOHN MOORE

Phone (Hm): 036159384

Organisation*: RATUKEALE FARMING PARTNERSHIP

Phone (Wk): _____

* the organisation that this submission is made on behalf of

Postal Address: 205 YOUNG ROAD RD 25

Phone (Cell): 0274 624522

WATOHU TEMUKA

Postcode: 7985

Email: moore_farm@hotmail.com

Fax: _____

Contact name and postal address for service of person making submission (if different from above):

Trade Competition

Pursuant to Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission may make a submission only if directly affected by an effect of the proposed policy statement or plan that:

- a) adversely affects the environment; and
- b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Please tick the sentence that applies to you:

- ☐ I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission; or
- ☐ I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

If you have ticked this box please select one of the following:

- ☒ I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission
- ☐ I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission

Signature: David G. Moore

Date: 13 / SEPT / 2019.

(Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the submission)

Please note:

(1) all information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information.

- ☒ I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission; or
- ☒ I do wish to be heard in support of my submission; and if so,
- ☒ I would be prepared to consider presenting my submission in a joint case with others making a similar submission at any hearing WITH LOWER OPIHI STEERING COM. OR FED FARMERS, SEADOWN DRAIN.

(1) The specific provisions of the Proposed Plan that my submission relates to are:		(2) My submission is that: (include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended and the reasons for your views.)		(3) I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury: (Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand your concerns.)
Section & Page Number	Sub-section/ Point	Oppose/support (in part or full)	Reasons	
PART A	RULE 42CE	OPPOSE	WILL REDUCE OUR ABILITY	AMEND PC7 TO ENABLE
VEGETABLE			TO LEASE OUR BLOCKS TO GROWERS	INCREASES IN VEGETABLE
GROWING			EG: CARROTS THIS SEASON: "CLEAN"	PRODUCTION BEYOND BASE-
PAGE 31			GROUND REQUIRED FOR ROTATIONS	LINE.
			7-8 YEARS. ONIONS, CARROTS,	
			POTATOES IMPORTANT LOCAL INDUST-	
			-RIES	
PART B	PROVISIONS	OPPOSE	WE OPPOSE ISO STREAM	RETAIN 30 DAY PUMPING
STREAM			DEPLETION METHODOLOGY.	RULE.
DEPLETION			S. CANTERBURY UNIQUE WITH	
METHODOLOGY.			OPUHA DAM AUGMENTATION.	
			NOTE NEWLY "CONNECTED"	
			IRRIGATORS CLASSIFIED B.N.	
			NEARBY FARMERS ASSESSMENT	
			BASED ON DAN CLARKES (SCAN)	
			DATA WILL FACE RESTRICTIONS	
			98% OF SEASON - TOTALLY	
			UNSUSTAINABLE! BASED ON	
			PREVIOUS 5 YRS RECORDS.	
			VIRTUALLY IMPOSSIBLE TO BUY	
			OPUHA SHARES!	

Add further pages as required - please initial any additional pages.

Dym.

(1) The specific provisions of the Proposed Plan that my submission relates to are:		(2) My submission is that: (include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended and the reasons for your views.)		(3) I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury: (Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand your concerns.)
Section & Page Number	Sub-section/ Point	Oppose/support (in part or full)	Reasons	
14.64	TABLE 14	PARTLY SUPP.	SENTIMENT COMMENDABLE BUT	AMEND BROAD BRUSH
HIGH N	ZC	ORT.	TARGETS MAY BE QUESTIONABLE	APPROACH TO N LOSS TARGETS.
CONCENTRAT			PROGRESS UNDERWAY WITH FARM	SUPPORT M.A.R. ON LEVELS
ION AREAS			ENVIRONMENT PLANS REPLACEMENT	PLAIN BY FILLING REDUND-
			OF BORDER STRIP IRRIGATION WITH	-ANT GRAVEL PITS VIA LEVELS
			CENTRE PIVOTS / LATERALS WHICH	PLAIN WATER RACE WITH
			CAN DELIVER - EG: 10 mm PER	HIGH FLOWS FROM OPIHI
			APPLICATION INSTEAD OF 100 + mm	RIVER. WOULD HELP TO DILUTE
			WITH BORDERS. MANAGEMENT	SOIL NITRATES - RELEASED DUR-
			MUCH SHARPER NOW DAYS G.P.S	-ING SUMMER - NB GRAVITY NO
			MAPPING LEAF TISSUE N TESTING.	PUMPING REQUIRED.
OPIHI FRESH		OPPOSE IN		
WATER MANAGE		PART.	OFRAGS. APPROACH TO OPIHI	ADOPT A.M.W.GS SUB-
MENT UNIT			RIVER MANAGEMENT HAS BEEN	MISSION ON PC7 (FRESHES)
FLOW	14.4.35		SUCCESSFUL FOR 20 YRS.	
P 140-141			OFRAG SHOULD BE INCLUDED	
			IN PLAN.	
	14.4.37	OPPOSE IN	MONTHLY ADJUSTMENTS	SUPPORT A.M.G.S SUB-
	14.4.38	PART.	TO LEVEL 1 TRIGGERS LACK	MISSION ON PC7.
			FLEXIBILITY NOT RESPONSIVE	
			ENOUGH TO RAPID CLIMATIC CHANGE.	

(1) The specific provisions of the Proposed Plan that my submission relates to are:		(2) My submission is that: (include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended and the reasons for your views.)		(3) I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury: (Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand your concerns.)
Section & Page Number	Sub-section/ Point	Oppose/support (in part or full)	Reasons	
14.5 RULES OF MAINSTEM OPIHI/OPUHA. 14.5.29 P 155		OPPOSE IN PART.	OEFRAG HAS PROVEN TRACK RECORD WITH <u>LOCAL KNOWLEDGE</u> ADVISORY ROLE ESSENTIAL. HAS CONFIDENCE OF LOCALS	ADOPT AMWGS SUBMISSION ON POLICY 14.5.29 INCLUDING OEFRAG CONSULTATION AT LEVEL 1 ~ LEVEL 2.
MINIMUM FLOW RESTRICTIONS IN O.F. MANAGEMENT UNIT AA-B.A. PERMITS 2030.	14.W	OPPOSE IN PART.	INSUFFICIENT CAPACITY IN LAKE OPUHA TO SUSTAIN HIGHER MINIMUM FLOWS.	INCREASED CULTURAL, ENVIRONMENT ^{FLOWS} MINIMUM, MAY BE ASPIRATIONAL AT PRESENT, BUT TEKAPO WATER COULD ECONOMICALLY (VIA GRAVITY) AUGMENT LAKE OPUHA. WHILST GENERATING ELECTRICITY (APPROXIMATELY 300 MTRS OF FALL). THE BENEFITS WOULD FLOW EASTWARD ALL THE WAY TO THE OPIHI LAGOON EG: INCREASED VOLUMES OF WATER FOR FLUSHING FLOWS WHICH WOULD ASSIST IN KEEPING THE RIVERMOUTH OPEN. MAYORAL FORUM AND CWMs VERY SUPPORTIVE.

Add further pages as required – please initial any additional pages.

Dgm,

Banking water

Drought highlights desperate need for water storage – call for government to step up



LAKE OPUNA



WOMEN IN WATER

WATER STORAGE

SMART IRRIGATION