From: <u>Tracey Anstiss</u> on behalf of <u>Grant Edmundson</u>

To: Mailroom Mailbox

Subject: Plan Change 7 to the LWRP Submission - Richard Pearce on behalf of Koroa Group Limited

**Date:** Friday, 13 September 2019 9:24:59 AM

Attachments: <u>image001.png</u>

GKE-136318-33-135-1 Submission on Proposed Change 7 to the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan

Richard PearseKoroa.pdf

### Dear Sir/Madam

Please find attached a Submission on Proposed Plan Change 7 to the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan in respect of our client Richard Pearce on behalf of Koroa Group Limited..

# Regards

### **Grant Edmundson**

Partner

Email: grant@helmores-law.co.nz

Helmore Stewart Lawyers 9 Good Street, P O Box 44, Rangiora, North Canterbury, New Zealand, 7440 T +64 3 311 8008 | F +64 3 311 8011 www.helmores-law.co.nz



**CONFIDENTIALITY** The information contained in this communication is confidential and may be legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any use, dissemination, distribution or reproduction of this message is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error please notify us immediately and delete the original message and all attachments.



# Submission on Proposed Plan Change 7 to the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	
Submitter ID:	

Return your signed submission by 5.00pm Friday 13 September 2019 to:

Proposed Plan Change 7 to the Land and Water Regional Plan Environment Canterbury PO Box 345 Christchurch 8140

Full Name: RICHARD PEARSE	Phone (Hm): N/A
Organisation*: Koroa Group Limited  * the organisation that this submission is made on behalf of	Phone (Wk): N/A
Postal Address: 151 Two Chain Road, RD 6, Rangiora	Phone (Cell): 027 203 0350
	Postcode: 7476
Email: koroagroupltd@gmail.com	Fax: N/A
Contact name and postal address for service of person making submiss	ion (if different from above):
Trade Competition	-
Pursuant to Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, a persor through the submission may make a submission only if directly affected plant that:  a) adversely affects the environment; and b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade c	by an effect of the proposed policy statement or
Please tick the sentence that applies to you:	
I could not gain an advantage in trade completion through the	is submission; or
I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this so	ubmission
If you have ticked this box, please select one of the following	:
I <u>am</u> directly affected by an effect of the sub	ject matter of the submission
I am not directly affected by an effect of the	subject matter of the submission
Signature:	Date: 12.09.2019
(Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the su	
Please note:  (1) all information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including nan	nes and address for service, becomes public information.
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission; or	
I do wish to be heard in support of my submission; and if so,	
I would be prepared to consider presenting my submission in at any hearing	a joint case with other making a similar submission

# Schedule 1

# Submission in regards to Plan Change 7 to the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan

This submission has been prepared and is submitted by me, Richard Pearse on behalf of Koroa Group Limited (share milkers) in respect of the Plan Change 7 ("Plan") to the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan. I am the Director of the company and I am duly authorised to make this submission.

The Company has a vested economic and financial interest in the following enterprises and landholdings.

1. Address: 151 Two Chain Road, Eyrewell Forest, Rangiora, Waimakariri District, Canterbury

Land Size: 612 hectares

dild Size. Ozz nectare

Land Use: This is a combined enterprise (dairy platform and support block). 347 effective hectares

on the dairy platform and 208 effective hectares on the support block. The farm stocks 1,250 cows wintered and 1,200 peak milked. Additionally, there are 25% replacements

grown on the support block.

The farm is within Zone C, the "yellow zone" of the Nitrate Priority Areas.

Irrigation:

Claxby Irrigation.

# **Land and Community**

- 1. The farm will be in its 5<sup>th</sup> year of conversion. Over the past few years, we have redeveloped the support block. Putting in a new lane and re-fencing it, in addition to upgrading the irrigation system and installing pivot irrigation. We have begun an intensive native tree planting regime where we are trying to create corridors for native birds. On-farm, we have one of the most significant Kanuka stands left on the plains totalling 20 hectares in size. We have undertaken a project with the Kanuka stand to work towards restoring it to its original state. This includes removing the pines trees, gorse and broom from within the Kanuka stand. So far, we have spent around 2,500 voluntary man-hours removing non-native species.
- 2. We have voluntarily worked alongside DOC and ECan to come up with plans for plant and pest eradication from the area. On our irrigation pond, we have built a hub for the community to come and enjoy the pond. There is a container shed area with deck over the pond. This has power to it with fridges, barbecue, music, various water crafts and toys for the pond. There are approximately 7 families which we support and who receive income from the farming operation.
- 3. We worry that the wholesale introduction of the restrictions and limitations proposed in the Plan may:
  - 3.1. mean that it is no longer viable to employ 7 staff members; and
  - 3.2. the environmental initiatives undertaken above may no longer be sustained.

#### Submission

4. It is submitted:

# **Submission 1**

4.1. We support the Claxby Irrigation submission in regards to the Plan.

Initial: R.P

### Submission 2

4.2. Targeted stream augmentation encompassed in Policies 8.4.19 to 8.4.21 and Rules 8.5.18 to 8.5.20 is supported. Targeted stream augmentation is an essential part of ensuring our environmental targets are met.

### Submission 3

4.3. We further support the Policies and Rules in the table attached to this Schedule.

## Submission 4

4.4. We oppose the nitrate sub-areas and believe instead that catchment orientated Rules would more adequately suit the community rather than dividing the community. In order for the farm to get to GMP would require significant changes to management practice and force stocking rate reductions with no scientific evidence to support nitrate improvement.

Thank you for considering our submission.

Initial: R.P.

(1) The specific provisions of the Proposed Plan that my submission relates to are:		(2) My submission is that: (include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended and the reasons for your views)		(3) I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury: (Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you
Section & Page Number	Sub-section / Point	Oppose / Support (in part or full)	Reasons	can be, the easier it will be for the Council to understand your concerns)
Policies 8.4.19- 8.4.21 Rules 8.5.18- 8.5.20 (Targeted Stream Augmentation)		Support	Enabling Targeted Stream Augmentation is an essential part of ensuring environmental outcomes are met (and allowing farming to continue)	Retain
Policy 8.4.35 (Monitoring and Review)		Support	Future monitoring to inform more robust decision making processes in the future is essential.	Retain
Policy 8.4.27		Support	This will help manage investment and other issues associated with change.	Retain
Policy 8.4.35		Support	Will help ensure better monitoring regimes established for providing data for the science for future plan changes.	Retain
Rule 8.5.18		Support		Retain
Policy 4.100		Support	Allows for existing takes for irrigation to be used for MAR	Retain

Add further pages as required - please initial any additional pages