



Submission on Proposed Plan Change 7 to the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

FILE REF:		ACTION	INFO
DOCUMENT No.:			
13 SEP 2019			
Submitter ID:			
File No:			

Form 5: Submissions on a Publicly Notified Proposed Policy Statement or Regional Plan under Clause 5 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

Return your signed submission by 5.00pm Friday 13 September 2019 to:

Proposed Plan Change 7 to the Land and Water Regional Plan
Environment Canterbury
P O Box 345
Christchurch 8140

Full Name: PETER DYBART TROLOVE Phone (Hm): 03 3242779
Organisation*: _____ Phone (Wk): _____
* the organisation that this submission is made on behalf of
Postal Address: 32 PACIFIC DRIVE Phone (Cell): _____
RD 3 LEESTON Postcode: 7683
Email: trolovep@gmail.com Fax: N/A
Contact name and postal address for service of person making submission (if different from above): _____

Trade Competition

Pursuant to Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission may make a submission only if directly affected by an effect of the proposed policy statement or plan that:

- a) adversely affects the environment; and
- b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Please tick the sentence that applies to you:

- ☒ I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission; or
☐ I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

If you have ticked this box please select one of the following:

- ☐ I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission
☐ I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission

Signature: [Signature] Date: 8/9/2019
(Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the submission)

Please note:

(1) all information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information.

- ☐ I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission; or
☒ I do wish to be heard in support of my submission; and if so,
☐ I would be prepared to consider presenting my submission in a joint case with others making a similar submission at any hearing

(1) The specific provisions of the Proposed Plan that my submission relates to are:		(2) My submission is that: (include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended and the reasons for your views.)		(3) I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury: (Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand your concerns.)
Section & Page Number	Sub-section/ Point	Oppose/support (in part or full)	Reasons	
Schedule 8, p 203	RIVERS: Spring fed plains	oppose.	The nitrate nitrogen water quality limits are not protective of water eggs and fry and probably life stages of native fish and aquatic invertebrates.	The NZFFA has been measuring nitrate levels in these streams and observe the changes including absence of trout from lowland rivers and streams. eg the Hurunui River
Schedule 8, p 203	Rivers	oppose	The dissolved oxygen figures should be an absolute min ^m of 5.0 mg/L ie measurements should be taken early am when oxygen levels are lowest.	Fish farms measure temperature & O ₂ levels continuously or fish will quickly die when O ₂ levels are below 5.0 mg/L. The Environmental Protection Act used by ECans are not appropriate for aquatic ecosystems

Add further pages as required – please initial any additional pages.

11/11/16

GMP reductions of 30% daily will achieve little when 80-90% nitrate losses is from ^{low} maintenance (of faeces)