

**From:** [Teena Lovelock](#)  
**To:** [Mailroom Mailbox](#)  
**Subject:** Plan Change to the LWTP Submission  
**Date:** Thursday, 12 September 2019 5:32:24 PM  
**Attachments:** [Form5forPC7totheLWRP part 1.pdf](#)

---

Hi there,  
Please accept our submission on behalf of Lovelock Livestock

Regards  
Glen & Teena Lovelock

Submitter ID:

File No:

## Submission on Proposed Plan Change 7 to the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan

**Form 5: Submissions on a Publicly Notified Proposed Policy Statement or Regional Plan under Clause 5 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991**

**Return your signed submission by 5.00pm Friday 13 September 2019 to:**

Proposed Plan Change 7 to the Land and Water Regional Plan  
Environment Canterbury  
P O Box 345  
Christchurch 8140

**Full Name:** Glen Michael Lovelock and Teena Cherie Lovelock

**Phone (Hm):** 0274482228

**Organisation\*:** Lovelock Livestock Ltd

**Phone (Wk):** 0274482228

\* the organisation that this submission is made on behalf of

**Postal Address:** 364 Depot Road, Rd1, Oxford, North Canterbury

**Phone (Cell):** \_\_\_\_\_

**Postcode:** 7495

**Email:** teena.glen@farmside.co.nz

**Fax:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Contact name and postal address for service of person making submission** (if different from above):  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

### Trade Competition

Pursuant to Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission may make a submission only if directly affected by an effect of the proposed policy statement or plan that:

- a) adversely affects the environment; and
- b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

**Please tick the sentence that applies to you:**

- ☒ I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission; or  
☐ I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

**If you have ticked this box please select one of the following:**

- ☒ I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission  
☐ I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission

**Signature:** Glen Lovelock Teena Lovelock

**Date:** 12/09/19

(Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the submission)

Please note:

(1) all information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information.

☐

I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission; or

☒

I do wish to be heard in support of my submission; and if so,

☐

I would be prepared to consider presenting my submission in a joint case with others making a similar submission at any hearing

(1) The specific provisions of the Proposed Plan that my submission relates to are:		(2) My submission is that:  (include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended and the reasons for your views.)		(3) I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury:  (Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand your concerns.)
Section & Page Number	Sub-section/ Point	Oppose/support (in part or full)	Reasons	
Nutrient Management Provisions Policy 8.4.25-8.4.29 Rules 8.5.21-8.5.29 Pages 66-68		Relief	The starting point for reductions is unclear. Ecan farm portal is not producing consistent results. Baseline GMP loss rate is an unknown number as the farm portal is a moving target	Relief on Set-firm GMPs and create achievable proxies to give farmers certainty with the numbers so they know where to start from.
Table 8-9 Page 95		Support	The reductions set out in Table 8-9 are un-achievable and will have severe financial implications for farming families in the zone and the whole community. The focus should be on continuing measuring and monitoring and making reduction decisions at that time based on the science rather than the model.	Support Table 8-9 and delete the requirement for reductions beyond 1 January 2040. Include a new policy that (consistent with PC1/PC2) anticipates the whole community working towards an overall groundwater nitrate-nitrogen concentration of 6.9mg/l.
Targeted Stream Augmentation Policies 4.99-4.100 Rules 8.5.18-8.5.20 Page 65		Support	Enabling targeted stream augmentation as a means of improving surface and groundwater quality.	Support
Managed Aquifer Recharge Policies 4.99-4.100 Rules 5.191-5.193 Page 65		Support	Enabling managed aquifer recharge is a key part of the solutions package and ensuring environmental targets are met and allowing farming to continue.	Support

Add further pages as required – please initial any additional pages.