

**From:** [Paul & Sue Goodwin](#)  
**To:** [Mailroom Mailbox](#)  
**Subject:** Submission to Plan Change 7  
**Date:** Thursday, 12 September 2019 7:58:47 PM

---

Please find attached our submission for Plan Change 7

Regards

Paul & Sue Goodwin

Springfield Partnership Ltd



FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

Submitter ID:

File No:

# Submission on Proposed Plan Change 7 to the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan

Form 5: Submissions on a Publicly Notified Proposed Policy Statement or Regional Plan under Clause 5 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

Return your signed submission by 5.00pm Friday 13 September 2019 to:

Proposed Plan Change 7 to the Land and Water Regional Plan  
Environment Canterbury  
P O Box 345  
Christchurch 8140

Full Name: Paul & Sue Goodwin

Phone (Hm): 03 6159324

Organisation\*: Springfield Partnership Ltd

Phone (Wk): \_\_\_\_\_

\* the organisation that this submission is made on behalf of

Postal Address: 17 Toner Rd

Phone (Cell): 027 2479394

R.O. 26 Temuka

Postcode: 7986

Email: goodwin.milford@farmside.co.nz

Fax: \_\_\_\_\_

Contact name and postal address for service of person making submission (if different from above):

### Trade Competition

Pursuant to Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission may make a submission only if directly affected by an effect of the proposed policy statement or plan that:

- a) adversely affects the environment; and
- b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Please tick the sentence that applies to you:

I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission; or

I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

**If you have ticked this box please select one of the following:**

I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission

I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission

Signature:  Date: 12.09.19

(Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the submission)

Please note:

(1) all information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information.

- I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission; or
- I do wish to be heard in support of my submission; and if so,
- I would be prepared to consider presenting my submission in a joint case with others making a similar submission at any hearing

(1) The specific provisions of the Proposed Plan that my submission relates to are:		(2) My submission is that: <i>(include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended and the reasons for your views.)</i>		(3) I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury:  <i>(Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand your concerns.)</i>
Section & Page Number	Sub-section/ Point	Oppose/support (in part or full)	Reasons	
14.5.4(3) Pg 147	14.8 Orakipaoa Creek	Oppose	We have a surface take from the Orakipaoa Creek, CRC992568, in this High Naturalness Water Body. We would have no other option to irrigate which would have a significant economic impact on our farming operation. We are limited in our use in low-flow times (by table 14t)	a) That all existing water permits can be continued and will be renewed in the future. b) Recognise the investment and economic importance when renewing the consent.
Rules 14.5 Pg 144	Take-Use surface water 14.5.4	Oppose	CRC 012897. This consent is located 1.1 km from the sea. Water from the drain cannot exit to the sea due to coastal erosion, therefore it drains into the Milford Lagoon via the Prattley Rd drain. The location of the take is 3.1 km from the Ophi Mouth. This drains flows into the High Naturalness Area which would make it (the consent) a non-compliant activity.	Amend Rule 3 by adding this phrase: "unless replacing a lawfully established take in accordance with 2 a."

Add further pages as required – please initial any additional pages.

(1) The specific provisions of the Proposed Plan that my submission relates to are:		(2) My submission is that: <i>(Include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended and the reasons for your views.)</i>		(3) I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury:  <i>(Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand your concerns.)</i>
Section & Page Number	Sub-section/ Point	Oppose/support (in part or full)	Reasons	
Pg 169	Table 14t	Support	Support this table showing minimum flow regime	Retain this table.
14.8 Pg 177		Oppose in part.	We suggest the High Naturalness Water Body stops at the confluence of the Burke Creek and Orakipapa Creek. Since the Taumatakahu Stream was diverted into the Temuka River and no longer follows this channel, the section between Orakipapa Is Rd and the confluence with Burke Creek is no longer a High Naturalness Water Body.	Change the location to: "from the mouth of the Lagoon to the confluence of the Orakipapa & Burke Creek"
5.71 Pg 35	Livestock Exclusion	Support	This policy should help to improve water quality	Retain this policy.
14.4.15 - 14.4.16 Pg 137	Waterbodies	Support		

Add further pages as required – please initial any additional pages.

(1) The specific provisions of the Proposed Plan that my submission relates to are:		(2) My submission is that: <i>(include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended and the reasons for your views.)</i>		(3) I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury: <i>(Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand your concerns.)</i>
Section & Page Number	Sub-section/ Point	Oppose/support (in part or full)	Reasons	
Section 14 Pg 135 Abstraction of Water			<p>This plan does not allow for surface water takes that are close to the coast, do not have any impact on rivers or streams and do not have any depletion effect.</p> <p>Our consent, CRC 012897, is 1.1 km from the sea and 3.1 km from the Opihi Mouth and 3.5 km from the Orari Mouth.</p> <p>Our closest named waterway to which we are linked would be the Prattley Rd drain.</p>	A section to cover coastal surface water takes.
14.4.20A	Nutrient Management	Oppose in Part	<p>We accept that a reduction in N levels is necessary but there should be a floor level of 15 kgN/ha losses, minimum.</p>	<p>No further reduction is required after you reach 15 kgN/ha loss.</p>

Add further pages as required – please initial any additional pages.