

**From:** [Angela Blair](#)  
**To:** [Mailroom Mailbox](#)  
**Subject:** Plan Change 7 to the LWRP Submission  
**Date:** Thursday, 12 September 2019 1:07:12 PM

---

Please find attached our submission to PC7.

Kindest Regards,

Ange Blair  
On behalf  
Blair Farming Ltd

Submitter ID:

File No:

## Submission on Proposed Plan Change 7 to the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan

**Form 5: Submissions on a Publicly Notified Proposed Policy Statement or Regional Plan under Clause 5 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991**

**Return your signed submission by 5.00pm Friday 13 September 2019 to:**

Proposed Plan Change 7 to the Land and Water Regional Plan  
Environment Canterbury  
P O Box 345  
Christchurch 8140

**Full Name:** Angela Josephine Blair

**Phone (Hm):** 036922865

**Organisation\*:** Blair Farming Company Ltd

**Phone (Wk):** \_\_\_\_\_

\* the organisation that this submission is made on behalf of

**Postal Address:** 191 Burdon Road, RD21, Geraldine 7991

**Phone (Cell):** 0272671963

**Postcode:** 7991

**Email:** bfcdeer@gmail.com

**Fax:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Contact name and postal address for service of person making submission** (if different from above):

### Trade Competition

Pursuant to Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission may make a submission only if directly affected by an effect of the proposed policy statement or plan that:

- a) adversely affects the environment; and
- b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

**Please tick the sentence that applies to you:**

- ☒ I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission; or
- ☐ I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

**If you have ticked this box please select one of the following:**

- ☐ I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission
- ☐ I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission

**Signature:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Date:** 12/09/2019

(Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the submission)

Please note:

(1) all information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information.

☐

I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission; or

☒

I do wish to be heard in support of my submission; and if so,

☒

I would be prepared to consider presenting my submission in a joint case with others making a similar submission at any hearing

| (1) The specific provisions of the Proposed Plan that my submission relates to are: |                    | (2) My submission is that:<br><br>(include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended and the reasons for your views.) |  | (3) I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury:<br><br>(Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand your concerns.) |
|---|--------------------|--|--|--|
| Section & Page Number   | Sub-section/ Point | Oppose/support (in part or full)   | Reasons  |  |
| Section 14 pg 150   | 14.5.17            | oppose in full   | The wording "for any property greater than 20ha in area that has part of the property in the High Runoff Risk Phosphorus Zone, the area used to winter grazing of cattle or deer does not exceed 20ha" - would mean that no matter the portion of the farm in the HRRPZ, the farm totally becomes governed by this zone. | To introduce a specific level (we suggest 5-10%) of a farm to be in the HRRPZ for the restrictions of this zone to apply to the whole farm.  |
|   |                    |  | We have a 440ha farm, of which less than 2ha is in the HRRPZ. The rest of the farm is primarily alluvial soils, with only ephemeral (no constant running) waterways. We never winter feed on the zoned area, as it isn't suitable.   |  |
|   |                    |  | Since taking over the farm 18months ago, we have planted over 1200trees, and have fenced off a large proportion of the ephemeral waterways. Being forced into the consent process would mean that we would have less time and money to dedicate to environmental   |  |
|   |                    |  | improvements on our farm.  |  |

Add further pages as required – please initial any additional pages.