From: David

To: Mailroom Mailbox

Subject: Plan Change 7 to the LWRP submission **Date:** Wednesday, 11 September 2019 9:20:37 PM

Please find attached my submission.

Regards

Dave Moody

Sent from Windows Mail



Submission on Proposed Plan Change 7 to the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan

Form 5: Submissions on a Publicly Notified Proposed Policy Statement or Regional Plan under Clause 5 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

Return your signed submission by 5.00pm Friday 13 September 2019 to:

Proposed Plan Change 7 to the Land and Water Regional Plan Environment Canterbury P O Box 345 Christchurch 8140

Full Name: David Clive Moody Organisation*: * the organisation that this submission is made on behalf of Postal Address: 10 Dunlops Road Southbrook Rang (ova 7400 Email: Moody S - trout 0 hotmail.com Contact name and postal address for service of person making submission.	Phone (Hm): 0277655733 Phone (Wk): 0220/50366 Phone (Cell): Postcode: Fax: mission (if different from above):				
Trade Competition					
Pursuant to Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, a personnection through the submission may make a submission only if directly statement or plan that: a) adversely affects the environment; and b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.	ectly affected by an effect of the proposed				
Please tick the sentence that applies to you:					
I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission; or					
I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.					
If you have ticked this box please select one of the following:					
 I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission 					
Wa My	Date: 11 9 19 omission)				
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission; or					
I do wish to be heard in support of my submission; and if so, I would be prepared to consider presenting my submission in a joint case with others making a similar					
submission at any hearing	in case with others making a similar				

(1) The specific provisions of the Proposed Plan that my submission relates to are:			s that: u support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have the reasons for your views.)	(3) I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury: (Please give precise details for each provision. The more
Section & Page Number	Sub-section/ Point	Oppose/support (in part or full)	Reasons	specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand your concerns.)
Policy 4.1	02	oppose	The implication of allowing new Structure	I No structures that could excelled
J '		1	or veholitting of any existing structure.	Solmonoides except: the very top
			to enable indigenous Ash passage while	of small streams where there is
			avoiding/probleming the passage of species	ample speauning available to trout
			deemed to have addese effects or native	and salmon below the structure.
			populations appears to allow for the	Consultation with fish o game with
			trout and Salmoniads - inhoduced trout and Salman. This is unacceptable	every proposal for a structure.
			tome and my unfe, we hish for trut	
			almost exclusively in Cantulary. Trout	
			populations would Plymonet and rapusal	
			spawning would cease in rivers and	
			Streams with exclusion shuchures. I can	
			see the small streams entering by example	2
			Lake Heron being easily blocked of to	
			sprawning. Hatchey fish would become the	
			norm. Inferior ocostly to rear. I object to	
			the cost of the structures coming from	
			my BCAN rates bill. I fallowed to	
			salmon fishing destroyed. No structure	4
			for purpose of excluding Salongides.	
			The purpose of excluding satisfication	

Add further pages as required – please initial any additional pages.