Please find attached the submission to Proposed Plan Change 7, from Murray Bell

Regards
Julia Crossman
Submission on Proposed Plan
Change 7 to the Canterbury
Land and Water Regional Plan

Form 5: Submissions on a Publicly Notified Proposed Policy Statement or Regional Plan under Clause 5 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

Return your signed submission by 5.00pm Friday 13 September 2019 to:
Proposed Plan Change 7 to the Land and Water Regional Plan
Environment Canterbury
P O Box 345
Christchurch 8140

Full Name: Murray Bell
Organisation: Individual
Postal Address: 102 Opiki George Rd
Fairlie
Email: lambrook@maxnet.co.nz

Trade Competition

Pursuant to Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission may make a submission only if directly affected by an effect of the proposed policy statement or plan that:

a) adversely affects the environment; and
b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Please tick the sentence that applies to you:

☑ I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission; or
☐ I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

If you have ticked this box please select one of the following:

☐ I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission
☐ I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission

Signature: ___________________________ Date: 9/9/19

(Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the submission)

Please note:
(1) all information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information.

☐ I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission; or
☑ I do wish to be heard in support of my submission; and if so,
☐ I would be prepared to consider presenting my submission in a joint case with others making a similar submission at any hearing.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section &amp; Page Number</th>
<th>Sub-section/Point</th>
<th>Oppose/support</th>
<th>Reasons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14-4 078</td>
<td>Planning Maps</td>
<td>Oppose</td>
<td>This is a very broad brush approach for a large area, when it is a smaller area of Ashwick Flat that contributes to the higher nitrogen.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-4 078</td>
<td>Fairlie Basin</td>
<td>Oppose</td>
<td>This area needs to be redefined to where nitrogen is leaching from.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-4 078</td>
<td>High Nitrogen</td>
<td>Oppose</td>
<td>Adaptive Management Regime needs to have more flexibility to recognise the ability of OFTEG to make decisions on an ongoing basis not dictated by a 2 step process. In the past (2015) it has had the ability to realign the different needs of users &amp; use water for the benefit of users &amp; environment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-4 078</td>
<td>Concentration Area</td>
<td>Oppose</td>
<td>While this may be difficult for the group managing the situation on an ongoing basis once it reached a trigger point.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policies &amp; Rules on  PC7 relating to the Alternative Management Regime</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Add further pages as required – please initial any additional pages.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section &amp; Page Number</th>
<th>Sub-section/Point</th>
<th>Oppose/support (in part or full)</th>
<th>Reasons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14.62</td>
<td>14p</td>
<td>Support</td>
<td>As part of the FWAP we have put considerable work and expense coming up with what has now been adapted as Step 9, that all parties agreed with in consultation with TDC and F.G Ryder Consultancy, Environmental Consultancy. That was a win/win giving better reliability to both the environment and water users. The Water Science provided by Ryder Consultancy does support the flows in Step 3. Retain 14p as notified.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>OPPOSE</td>
<td>It doesn't reflect that water users &amp; shareholders in OWL help fund the environmental release of water down the main stem to offset the takes from the boundaries. Delete Step 2 in as part of the expected 10 yr review of the OTOF regional plan provisions, determine whether any increases beyond the flows &amp; Table 14p are required.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Add further pages as required – please initial any additional pages.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section &amp; Page Number</th>
<th>Sub-section/ Point</th>
<th>Oppose/support (in part or full)</th>
<th>Reasons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Definition of Pro Rata</td>
<td>Oppose in Part</td>
<td>AN consents are not part of the irrigation regime supplemented by OWL.</td>
<td>Amend the definition of Pro Rata Partial Restrictions to that of AA &amp; BA permits that are operating as part of a water user group that are subject to Pro Rata partial restrictions that commence when the flows in the Upper Opihi River equals the minimum flow plus the sum of AA &amp; BA permits being operated as part of the water user group.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Add further pages as required – please initial any additional pages.

mcb