

From: [Samuel Rademaker](#)
To: [Mailroom Mailbox](#)
Subject: PC7 submission received
Date: Tuesday, 10 September 2019 8:32:38 AM

Hi team,

This came through the counter at Timaru. Can we please workflow?

Cheers

Samuel

Samuel Rademaker
Advisory Officer I
Business and Customer Services

+64 3 687 7806

EC - TIM	
FILE REF:	
DOCUMENT No.	
10 SEP 2019	
Submitter ID:	
File No:	
ACTION	INFO

Submission on Proposed Plan Change 7 to the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan

Form 5: Submissions on a Publicly Notified Proposed Policy Statement or Regional Plan under Clause 5 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

Return your signed submission by 5.00pm Friday 13 September 2019 to:

Proposed Plan Change 7 to the Land and Water Regional Plan
Environment Canterbury
P O Box 345
Christchurch 8140

Full Name: Alastair Midgley **Phone (Hm):** 036126671
Organisation*: _____ **Phone (Wk):** " "
* the organisation that this submission is made on behalf of
Postal Address: _____ **Phone (Cell):** 0274768036
Email: alastairbrr@gmail.com **Postcode:** 7972
Contact name and postal address for service of person making submission (if different from above):

Trade Competition

Pursuant to Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission may make a submission only if directly affected by an effect of the proposed policy statement or plan that:

- a) adversely affects the environment; and
- b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Please tick the sentence that applies to you:

- ☒ I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission; or
☐ I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

If you have ticked this box please select one of the following:

- ☒ I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission
☐ I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission

Signature: AR Midgley **Date:** 7/9/19
(Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the submission)

Please note:

(1) all information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information.

- ☐ I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission; or
☒ I do wish to be heard in support of my submission; and if so,
☐ I would be prepared to consider presenting my submission in a joint case with others making a similar submission at any hearing

some of

Submission Plan Change 7 E, Can

Submitter Alastair Midgley

Address Willowbrook

RD 2 Timaru 7972

Introduction. Our Family have had an irrigation consent since 1971 the first on the Pareora river. It should be noted that the river was dry in 1969 This is before any irrigation occurred.

Since 1971 when consents were required for irrigation The regional Councils ~~had~~ continued to grant consents in spite of existing users objecting on the basis of accumulative effect. These were overruled and ~~sets~~ lead to The present over allocation occurring.

That is the danger of overriding local knlge The experts & professionals employed by S.C.C.B. and E Can, were wrong.

The fairest way to adress this over allocation is on a % basis working back from the last consents granted.

(1) The specific provisions of the Proposed Plan that my submission relates to are:		(2) My submission is that: (include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended and the reasons for your views.)		(3) I seek the following decisions from Environment Canterbury: (Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand your concerns.)
Section & Page Number	Sub-section/ Point	Oppose/support (in part or full)	Reasons	
14 Page 128		Oppose Full	Not as advertised	Stick to original Pighunting Creek
14.4.8		oppose Full	past use is unfair unless at least 12yr considered	<u>Saltwater Creek</u> Lyalldale Creek
Page 133			need to define (past) use	<u>includes runoff from City</u>
14.4.12		oppose Full	Past use cant be based on	
14.4.13		oppose Full	5yrs. of reasonable rainfall	Over allocation, should be based on last on first off
				Pro Rate is unfair.
14.4.14		oppose in Part	Water from alpine region will be used to increase food production in next generation.	Ngāi Tahu have to realise they are not only individuals NZ's
14.5.7	Page 145	Support Full		I am 5 generations
14.5.9		Support Full		Every NZer has right to Mahinga Kai
14.5.34		Support Full	this caps extraction at present levels.	
Pareora Freshwater Mounit		Support	Status Quo ✓	
Indigenous Fish		Oppose	impossible to keep predator species out in flood flows.	Delete from Plan
Hi Phosphate Run off		oppose	No records of past levels map not accurate not science based	
(High Nitrogen Reductions)		Oppose	Does Not go far enough	Should phase out Hi applications

Add further pages as required - please initial any additional pages.

Stock Exclusion Oppose.

needs refining impossible to implement in present form

sooner.
This is the biggest cause of degraded water quality.