



SUMMARY OF DECISIONS REQUESTED

Plan Change 1 to the Hurunui and Waiau River Regional Plan

Submitter details

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Address Line 1	Address Line 2	Address Line 3	Town / City	Email address
9	Amuri Irrigation Company Ltd - Andrew Barton	Amuri Irrigation Company Ltd	C/o Enspire Consulting Limited	PO Box 13009	Tauranga 3143	gavin@enspire.co.nz
17	Aotearoa New Zealand Fine Wine Estates - Lynda Murchison	c/- Murchison Planning	380 Waipara Flat Rd	RD3	Amberley 7483	murchisonplanning@outlook.com
24	Beef + Lamb New Zealand - Lauren Phillips	PO Box 39085	Harwood	Christchurch 8545		lauren.phillips@beeflambnz.com
27	Emu Plains Irrigation Incorporated - Warren Keith Armstrong	Brian Ellwood	Low Environmental Impact	PO Box 29288	Christchurch	brian@lei.co.nz
25	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited - Richard Allen	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	P.O. Box 9045	Hamilton 3204		richard.allen2@fonterra.com
15	Forest & Bird - Nicky Snoyink	PO Box 2516	Christchurch 8014			n.snoyink@forestandbird.org.nz
3	Hurunui District Council - Monique Eade	PO Box 13	Amberley 7441			monique.eade@hurunui.govt.nz
26	Hurunui Landcare Group Inc (HDLG) - Joshua Brown	60 Wilsons Road South	St Martins 8022	Christchurch		Josh@hurunuilandcaregroup.co.nz
23	North Canterbury Fish and Game - Scott Pearson		North Canterbury Fish and Game Council	PO Box 50	Woodend 7641	spearson@fishandgame.org.nz
16	North Canterbury Province, Federated Farmers - Dr Lionel Hume	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Box 414	Ashburton		lhume@fedfarm.org.nz
20	Planetary Healing Foundation - Peter Clarke	544 Hurunui Bluff Road	RD1 Hawarden			
11	Ravensdown Limited - Carmen Taylor	Planz Consultants Limited (Planz)	PO Box 1845	Christchurch 8140		carmen@planzconsultants.co.nz
7	Rural Advocacy Network - Jamie McFadden	24 Mina Road	RD2	Cheviot 7382		info@ruraladvocacynetwork.nz
14	Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu - Lisa Mackenzie	15 Show Place	Addington	Christchurch 8042		lisa.mackenzie@ngaitahu.iwi.nz

General submissions

Submission reference number	Submitter	Plan Provision	Support / Oppose	Summary of relief sought
7.1	Rural Advocacy Network - Jamie McFadden	General	Support	Support intent of PC1 - no specific relief sought
7.2	Rural Advocacy Network - Jamie McFadden	General	Oppose	Remove the change of land use 10% [provisions] in their entirety
7.4	Rural Advocacy Network - Jamie McFadden	General	Oppose	Establish Freshwater Management Units (FMUs) and values and attributes for those so that issues can be properly quantified
7.9	Rural Advocacy Network - Jamie McFadden	General	Oppose	Clarify in the s32 assessment that lifestyle blocks under 30ha will also be captured by Rule 10.1A
7.10	Rural Advocacy Network - Jamie McFadden	General	Oppose	oppose s32 analysis as insufficient, particularly in relation to Rule 10.1A - no specific relief sought
7.12	Rural Advocacy Network - Jamie McFadden	General	Support in part	Make any additional change necessary to give effect to the relief sought in submission points 7.1 to 7.11

Submission reference number	Submitter	Plan Provision	Support / Oppose	Summary of relief sought
9.17	Amuri Irrigation Company Ltd - Andrew Barton	General	Support in part	Place PC1 on hold until the nutrient surrender agreement between Amuri Irrigation and the CRC is executed if that has not occurred prior to the closing date of submissions
9.18	Amuri Irrigation Company Ltd - Andrew Barton	General	Support in part	Amend PC1 to specifically acknowledge that the changes made via this plan change could only occur as a consequence of the voluntary surrender of nutrient allocation by Amuri Irrigation
9.19	Amuri Irrigation Company Ltd - Andrew Barton	General	Oppose	Withdraw PC1 in its entirety should the agreement between Amuri Irrigation and the CRC not be able to be executed or implemented for any reason
9.20	Amuri Irrigation Company Ltd - Andrew Barton	General	Support in part	In relation to the relief sought by Amuri Irrigation provide any similar relief with like effect and any consequential changes that arise.
11.1	Ravensdown Limited - Carmen Taylor	General	Support	Generally supports PC1 - no specific relief sought
14.1	Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu - Lisa Mackenzie	General	Support	Support PC1 in as far as it goes to maintain current water quality - no specific relief sought
14.8	Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu - Lisa Mackenzie	General	Support in part	Provide any consequential amendments necessary to give effect to the relief sought by submission points 14.1 to 14.7
15.1	Forest & Bird - Nicky Snoyink	General	Oppose	Clarify in the s32 that some stakeholders prefer an alternative method for estimating the Nitrogen Load of Dryland Farmers in the Hurunui catchment (and hence the N offset required to maintain or improve water quality).
15.2	Forest & Bird - Nicky Snoyink	General	Oppose	Apply a more precautionary estimated increase in in-river load in the Hurunui of 50t/N/year
15.3	Forest & Bird - Nicky Snoyink	General	Oppose	Apply a greater Nitrogen offset in the Hurunui River to maintain or improve water quality
15.4	Forest & Bird - Nicky Snoyink	General	Oppose	Apply a more precautionary estimated increase in in-river load in the Hurunui of 50t/N/year
15.5	Forest & Bird - Nicky Snoyink	General	Oppose	Clarify that winter grazing is not the only major variable affecting off-farm losses
15.8	Forest & Bird - Nicky Snoyink	General	Oppose	In the s32 analysis, provide a more detailed analysis of the long term (rolling) average P load trend
15.9	Forest & Bird - Nicky Snoyink	General	Oppose	Clarify the relationship / provide transparency regarding the nitrogen load offset [deed of understanding between Amuri Irrigation and CRC] and delays in implementation of minimum flows
15.10	Forest & Bird - Nicky Snoyink	General	Oppose	Clarify in the s32 analysis that Fish & Game withdrew from the collaborative process partway through the plan change development and that a application for declaration from the Environment Court was made in relation to the "Advice Note" for the 10% rule
15.11	Forest & Bird - Nicky Snoyink	General	Oppose	Support concept of a more equitable nutrient allocation but seek a more precautionary approach
16.19	North Canterbury Province, Federated Farmers - Dr Lionel Hume	General	Oppose	Amend PC1 to include an allowance for small areas of irrigation, similar to Land and Water Regional Plan rules for irrigation in Red Zones. These rules allow for existing irrigation up to 50ha, but for areas less than 50ha any increase in the irrigated area (assuming water is available) is limited to 10ha.
16.20	North Canterbury Province, Federated Farmers - Dr Lionel Hume	General	Oppose	If relief sought in submission point 16.19 is not granted due to increases in N load, amend the Plan Change to provide allowance for irrigation that was lawfully established prior to the Hurunui and Waiau River Regional Plan notification in 2012
16.21	North Canterbury Province, Federated Farmers - Dr Lionel Hume	General	Support in part	Provide any consequential amendments necessary to give effect to submission points 16.1 to 16.20

Submission reference number	Submitter	Plan Provision	Support / Oppose	Summary of relief sought
16.1	North Canterbury Province, Federated Farmers - Dr Lionel Hume	General	Support	Generally support Plan Change 1
17.1	Aotearoa New Zealand Fine Wine Estates - Lynda Murchison	General	Support in part	Retain the Plan Change as notified with the exception of relief sought in submission points 17.2 to 17.10
17.10	Aotearoa New Zealand Fine Wine Estates - Lynda Murchison	General	Support in part	Make any consequential amendments required to give effect to the relief sought in Points 17.1 to 17.9
23.22	North Canterbury Fish and Game - Scott Pearson	General	Oppose	In the s32 analysis, provide a more detailed analysis of the long term (rolling) average P load trend
23.23	North Canterbury Fish and Game - Scott Pearson	General	Oppose	Clarify the relationship / provide transparency regarding the nitrogen load offset [deed of understanding between Amuri Irrigation and CRC] and delays in implementation of minimum flows
23.24	North Canterbury Fish and Game - Scott Pearson	General	Oppose	Clarify in the s32 analysis that Fish & Game withdrew from the collaborative process partway through the plan change development and that an application for declaration from the Environment Court was made in relation to the "Advice Note" for the 10% rule
23.25	North Canterbury Fish and Game - Scott Pearson	General	Support in part	Support concept of a more equitable nutrient allocation but seek a more precautionary approach
25.6	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited - Richard Allen	General	Support in part	Provide such further or consequential or alternative relief as may be necessary to fully give effect to points 25.1 to 25.5
3.1	Hurunui District Council - Monique Eade	General	Support	Supports proposed Plan Change 1 - No decision requested
20.1	Planetary Healing Foundation - Peter Clarke	General	Oppose	Withdraw the Plan Change in its entirety
20.2	Planetary Healing Foundation - Peter Clarke	General	Oppose	Amend the Plan Change to stop all chemical fertiliser use immediately
20.3	Planetary Healing Foundation - Peter Clarke	General	Oppose	Ensure Queens Chain riparian setbacks are enforced on all flowing waterways
23.1	North Canterbury Fish and Game - Scott Pearson	General	Oppose	Clarify in the s32 that some stakeholders prefer an alternative method for estimating the Nitrogen Load of Dryland Farmers in the Hurunui catchment (and hence the N offset required to maintain or improve water quality).
23.3	North Canterbury Fish and Game - Scott Pearson	General	Oppose	Apply a more precautionary estimated increase in in-river load in the Hurunui of 50t/N/year
23.6	North Canterbury Fish and Game - Scott Pearson	General	Oppose	Apply a greater Nitrogen offset in the Hurunui River to maintain or improve water quality
23.8	North Canterbury Fish and Game - Scott Pearson	General	Oppose	Apply a more precautionary estimated increase in in-river load in the Hurunui of 50t/N/year

Submission reference number	Submitter	Plan Provision	Support / Oppose	Summary of relief sought
23.10	North Canterbury Fish and Game - Scott Pearson	General	Oppose	Clarify that winter grazing is not the only major variable affecting off-farm losses

Submissions on Policy 5.3C

Submission reference number	Submitter	Plan Provision	Support / Oppose	Summary of relief sought
14.9	Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu - Lisa Mackenzie	Policy 5.3C	Support in part	Ensure Policy 5.3C is applied so that water quality in the Jed, Waiau Uwha and Hurunui is maintained
24.1	Beef + Lamb New Zealand - Lauren Phillips	Policy 5.3C	Support	Support Policy 5.3C - No specific relief sought
11.2	Ravensdown Limited - Carmen Taylor	Policy 5.3C	Support	Retain Policy 5.3C as notified
15.12	Forest & Bird - Nicky Snoyink	Policy 5.3C	Oppose	Amend Policy 5.3C as follows: <u>To protect existing values, uses and the mauri of the Hurunui and Waiau Uwha Rivers and their tributaries from nutrient and water overallocation, while recognising the comparatively small-lesser contribution of dryland farming to in-river nutrient concentrations by allowing for the continued operation of low intensity dryland farms without resource consent.</u>
16.2	North Canterbury Province, Federated Farmers - Dr Lionel Hume	Policy 5.3C	Support	Retain Policy 5.3C as proposed
17.2	Aotearoa New Zealand Fine Wine Estates - Lynda Murchison	Policy 5.3C	Oppose	Delete Policy 5.3C and replace with the following: <u>To maintain the mauri and in-stream values of the Hurunui and Waiau Uwha Rivers and their tributaries and enable people and communities to provide for their economic and social wellbeing, by enabling low intensity farming activities as permitted activities.</u>
23.26	North Canterbury Fish and Game - Scott Pearson	Policy 5.3C	Oppose	Amend Policy 5.3C as follows: <u>To protect existing values, uses and the mauri of the Hurunui and Waiau Uwha Rivers and their tributaries from nutrient and water overallocation, while recognising the comparatively small-lesser contribution of dryland farming to in-river nutrient concentrations by allowing for the continued operation of low intensity dryland farms without resource consent</u>
25.1	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited - Richard Allen	Policy 5.3C	Oppose	Amend Policy 5.3C as follows: <u>To protect existing values, uses and the mauri of the Hurunui and Waiau Uwha Rivers and their tributaries, while providing for a degree of flexibility for dryland farming provided that flexibility is limited to the extent necessary to ensure there will be no breach of the nutrient load limits set in schedule 1. recognising the comparatively small contribution of dryland farming to in-river nutrient concentrations by allowing for the continued operation of low intensity dryland farms without resource consent</u>

Submissions on Rules 10.1 – 11.1

Submission reference number	Submitter	Plan Provision	Support / Oppose	Summary of relief sought
27.2	Emu Plains Irrigation Incorporated - Warren Keith Armstrong	Rules - General	Oppose	Grant the Plan Change only if it is clarified that the combined cumulative effects of the increase in discharge of nutrients to the Waiau Uwha River by dryland farmers, consented discharges and discharges that have been applied for prior to notification of Plan Change 1, are acceptable
27.3	Emu Plains Irrigation Incorporated - Warren Keith Armstrong	Rules - General	Oppose	If the combined cumulative effects of the increase in discharge of nutrients to the Waiau Uwha River by dryland farmers [pursuant to Plan Change 1], consented discharges and discharges that have been applied for prior to notification of Plan Change 1 will result in an increased risk of periphyton growth then amend the Plan Change so the scale of increase in dryland discharge is reduced to maintain periphyton growth within acceptable limits
27.4	Emu Plains Irrigation Incorporated - Warren Keith Armstrong	Rules - General	Oppose	If the relief sought at point 27.3 is not granted, then withdraw the Plan Change in its entirety
27.1	Emu Plains Irrigation Incorporated - Warren Keith Armstrong	Rules - General	Oppose	Re-assess the cumulative effects of Plan Change 1 and known discharges to the Waiau Uwha River
24.2	Beef + Lamb New Zealand - Lauren Phillips	Rule 10.1	Support	Support Rule 10.1 - No specific relief sought
7.3	Rural Advocacy Network - Jamie McFadden	Rule 10.1	Support	Support the removal of OVERSEER requirements for dryland farmers - no specific relief sought
11.3	Ravensdown Limited - Carmen Taylor	Rule 10.1	Support	Retain Rule 10.1 as notified
15.13	Forest & Bird - Nicky Snoyink	Rule 10.1	Oppose	Insert a new Clause (e) to Rule 10.1 as follows: <u>e) That a precautionary equivalent in-river N load of 50 tonnes allocation has been legally transferred to offset the additional Nitrogen that may be discharged to water from increased low intensity dryland farming activities.</u>
16.3	North Canterbury Province, Federated Farmers - Dr Lionel Hume	Rule 10.1	Support	Retain Rule 10.1 as proposed
17.3	Aotearoa New Zealand Fine Wine Estates - Lynda Murchison	Rule 10.1	Oppose	Amend Rule 10.1 as follows: <u>Except for the use of land for Low Intensity Dryland Farming, ...</u>
23.27	North Canterbury Fish and Game - Scott Pearson	Rule 10.1	Oppose	Insert a new Clause (e) to Rule 10.1 as follows: <u>e) That a precautionary equivalent in-river N load of 50 tonnes allocation has been legally transferred to offset the additional Nitrogen that may be discharged to water from increased low intensity dryland farming activities.</u>
26.1	Hurunui Landcare Group Inc (HDLG) - Joshua Brown	Rule 10.1	Support in part	Amend Rule 10.1 to include provision for irrigation up to 50ha along the same lines as Plan Change 5 to the Land and Water Regional Plan
3.3	Hurunui District Council - Monique Eade	Rule 10.1A	Support	Retain dryland farmer collectives as a pathway to reporting winter grazing area

Submission reference number	Submitter	Plan Provision	Support / Oppose	Summary of relief sought
23.15	North Canterbury Fish and Game - Scott Pearson	Rule 10.1A	Support in part	Amend Rule 10.1A to ensure that any inclusion of the management plan provision affords Environment Canterbury the ability to carry out random checks of management plan accuracy and implementation on-farm, given the “at risk” state of the zone and fully allocated N load situation
23.21	North Canterbury Fish and Game - Scott Pearson	Rule 10.1A	Oppose	Ensure rule 10.1A is complied with
24.3	Beef + Lamb New Zealand - Lauren Phillips	Rule 10.1A	Support	Support Rule 10.A - No specific relief sought
7.5	Rural Advocacy Network - Jamie McFadden	Rule 10.1A	Oppose	Oppose requirements for dryland farmers to be in a collective or register in the Farm Portal for catchment accounting purposes - no specific relief sought
7.6	Rural Advocacy Network - Jamie McFadden	Rule 10.1A	Oppose	Clarify justification for mandatory farm plans for dryland farmers, including lifestyle blocks
7.7	Rural Advocacy Network - Jamie McFadden	Rule 10.1A	Oppose	Oppose mandatory farm management plans required by Rule 10.1A(b) for low intensity farms - no specific relief sought
7.11	Rural Advocacy Network - Jamie McFadden	Rule 10.1A	Oppose	Delete Rule 10.1A in its entirety
9.21	Amuri Irrigation Company Ltd - Andrew Barton	Rule 10.1A	Oppose	Amend Rule 10.1A(a)(i) so it clearly states what information about the farming activity and the property needs to be provided
9.22	Amuri Irrigation Company Ltd - Andrew Barton	Rule 10.1A	Support in part	Retain rule 10.1A(a) as proposed (with the exception of relief sought by submission point 9.21)
9.23	Amuri Irrigation Company Ltd - Andrew Barton	Rule 10.1A	Oppose	Amend Rule 10.1A(b) to clarify that one Management Plan is required per property. Submitter suggests: (b) a Management Plan <i>shall be prepared for the property</i> in accordance with ...
9.24	Amuri Irrigation Company Ltd - Andrew Barton	Rule 10.1A	Support in part	Retain rule 10.1A(b) as proposed (with the exception of relief sought by submission point 9.23)
11.4	Ravensdown Limited - Carmen Taylor	Rule 10.1A	Support	Retain Rule 10.1A as notified
14.2	Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu - Lisa Mackenzie	Rule 10.1A	Support in part	Support Rule 10.1A to the extent that water quality can be maintained - no specific relief sought
15.6	Forest & Bird - Nicky Snoyink	Rule 10.1A	Oppose	Amend Rule 10.1A to ensure that any inclusion of the management plan provision affords Environment Canterbury the ability to carry out random checks of management plan accuracy and implementation on-farm, given the “at risk” state of the zone and fully allocated N load situation
15.7	Forest & Bird - Nicky Snoyink	Rule 10.1A	Oppose	Ensure rule 10.1A is complied with
15.14	Forest & Bird - Nicky Snoyink	Rule 10.1A	Oppose	Amend Rule 10.1A (b) as follows: b) a Management Plan in accordance with Schedule 6 has been prepared and is implemented by [12 months after the plan change becomes operative in accordance with clause 20 of Schedule 1 of the RMA] and is supplied to the Canterbury Regional Council, on request, to be viewed <i>only and used for random compliance check purposes as required by Canterbury Regional Council</i> . The Canterbury Regional Council will not retain copies of the Management Plan <i>unless it is necessary for remedying non-compliance</i>.

Submission reference number	Submitter	Plan Provision	Support / Oppose	Summary of relief sought
16.4	North Canterbury Province, Federated Farmers - Dr Lionel Hume	Rule 10.1A	Support in part	Amend Rule 10.1A (b) as follows: <u>(b) a Management Plan in accordance with Schedule 6 has been prepared and is implemented by [12 months after the plan change becomes operative in accordance with clause 20 of Schedule 1 of the RMA] and is supplied to the Canterbury Regional Council, on request, to be viewed only. The Canterbury Regional Council will not retain copies of the Management Plan or any information from them which is identifiably linked with individual properties.</u>
16.5	North Canterbury Province, Federated Farmers - Dr Lionel Hume	Rule 10.1A	Support in part	Retain the part of Rule 10.1A(b) that states " <i>Management Plans will be viewed only</i> ".
16.6	North Canterbury Province, Federated Farmers - Dr Lionel Hume	Rule 10.1A	Support in part	Ensure that the CRC will provide support for registration in the Farm Portal and the preparation of Management Plans, at no cost, for those who find the process difficult
17.4	Aotearoa New Zealand Fine Wine Estates - Lynda Murchison	Rule 10.1A	Oppose	Amend Rule 10.1A as follows: <u>The use of land for Low Intensity Dryland Farming that results in a discharge of nitrogen ...</u>
23.28	North Canterbury Fish and Game - Scott Pearson	Rule 10.1A	Oppose	Amend Rule 10.1A (b) as follows: <u>b) a Management Plan in accordance with Schedule 6 has been prepared and is implemented by [12 months after the plan change becomes operative in accordance with clause 20 of Schedule 1 of the RMA] and is supplied to the Canterbury Regional Council, on request, to be viewed only and used for random compliance check purposes as required by Canterbury Regional Council. The Canterbury Regional Council will not retain copies of the Management Plan unless it is necessary for remedying non-compliance.</u>
26.2	Hurunui Landcare Group Inc (HDLG) - Joshua Brown	Rule 10.1A	Support	Supports the two compliance paths provided for in Rule 10.1A making joining a collective voluntary. No specific relief sought
26.3	Hurunui Landcare Group Inc (HDLG) - Joshua Brown	Rule 10.1A	Support	Supports the clarification that management plans are not to be held by Environment Canterbury and are to be viewed only
24.4	Beef + Lamb New Zealand - Lauren Phillips	Rule 10.2	Support	Support Rule 10.2 - No specific relief sought
9.25	Amuri Irrigation Company Ltd - Andrew Barton	Rule 10.2	Support	Retain Rule 10.2(a) as modified by PC1
11.5	Ravensdown Limited - Carmen Taylor	Rule 10.2	Support in part	Amend Rule 10.2(a) as follows: Any change in land use (refer Part 5 - Definitions), in the Nutrient Management Area shown on Map 4, is a permitted activity, provided that: a) <u>Either:</u> i. conditions (b), (c) and (d) of Rule 10.1 are met; <u>or</u> ii. <u>if land use is changing from Low Intensity Dryland Farming to another land use, conditions (c) and (d) of Rule 10.1 and conditions (a) and (b) of Rule 10.1A are met; and ...</u>
14.3	Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu - Lisa Mackenzie	Rule 10.2	Oppose	Delete Clause (a)(ii) from Rule 10.2

Submission reference number	Submitter	Plan Provision	Support / Oppose	Summary of relief sought
14.4	Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu - Lisa Mackenzie	Rule 10.2	Oppose	If relief sought in point 14.3 is not granted, amend Rule 10.2 so it is clear how every clause in the rules apply to each other, and how these limit which dryland farmers landuse changes can continue to use N. Check and a Schedule 6 Management Plan
16.7	North Canterbury Province, Federated Farmers - Dr Lionel Hume	Rule 10.2	Support	Retain Rule 10.2 as proposed
25.2	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited - Richard Allen	Rule 10.2	Support in part	If the relief sought at point 25.3 is not granted, amend Rule 10.2 so that a change in land use that a change in intensity of any low intensity dryland farming is permitted but only where it would not result in an exceedance or further exceedance of nutrient limits
26.4	Hurunui Landcare Group Inc (HDLG) - Joshua Brown	Rule 10.2	Support	Support Rule 10.2 as notified. No specific relief sought
9.26	Amuri Irrigation Company Ltd - Andrew Barton	Rule 11.1	Oppose	Amend Rule 11.1 as follows: Land use activities ... are a restricted discretionary activity.
9.27	Amuri Irrigation Company Ltd - Andrew Barton	Rule 11.1	Support	Retain Rule 11.1 as modified by PC1 (except for the relief sought by submission point 9.26)
11.6	Ravensdown Limited - Carmen Taylor	Rule 11.1	Support	Retain Rule 11.1 as notified
14.6	Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu - Lisa Mackenzie	Rule 11.1	Oppose	Include Ngāi Tahu values as a matter of discretion in Rule 11.1
16.8	North Canterbury Province, Federated Farmers - Dr Lionel Hume	Rule 11.1	Support in part	Amend Rule 11.1 as follows: ...of Rule 10.2 are a restricted discretionary activity
24.5	Beef + Lamb New Zealand - Lauren Phillips	Rule 11.1		Neutral - no specific relief sought

Submissions on Definitions

Submission reference number	Submitter	Plan Provision	Support / Oppose	Summary of relief sought
16.13	North Canterbury Province, Federated Farmers - Dr Lionel Hume	Definitions – Winter Grazing	Support in part	Amend the definition of Winter Grazing to align with the Land and Water Regional Plan definition <u>Winter Grazing means the grazing of cattle within the period of 1 May to 30 September where the cattle are contained for break-feeding of in-situ brassica and root vegetable forage crops.</u> <u>Winter Grazing means the grazing of cattle within the period of 1 May to 30 September, where the cattle are contained for break-feeding of in-situ brassica and root vegetable forage crops or for consuming supplementary feed that has been brought onto the property.</u>
9.30	Amuri Irrigation Company Ltd - Andrew Barton	Definitions – Winter Grazing	Support	Retain the definition of "Winter Grazing" as modified by PC1
11.11	Ravensdown Limited - Carmen Taylor	Definitions – Winter Grazing	Support	Retain the definition of "Winter Grazing" as notified
24.10	Beef + Lamb New Zealand - Lauren Phillips	Definitions – Winter Grazing	Support	Support - no specific relief sought
26.10	Hurunui Landcare Group Inc (HDLG) - Joshua Brown	Definitions – Winter Grazing	Support	Support the definition of "Winter Grazing". No specific relief sought
9.28	Amuri Irrigation Company Ltd - Andrew Barton	Definitions – Change of land use	Support	Retain the definition of "Change of land use" as modified by PC1
11.7	Ravensdown Limited - Carmen Taylor	Definitions – Change of land use	Support	Retain the definition of "Change of land use" as notified
16.9	North Canterbury Province, Federated Farmers - Dr Lionel Hume	Definitions – Change of land use	Support	Retain the definition of "Change of land use" as proposed
17.5	Aotearoa New Zealand Fine Wine Estates - Lynda Murchison	Definitions – Change of land use	Oppose	Amend the definition of "change of land use" as follows: <u>...(b) where a farming activity met the definition of Low Intensity Dryland Farming at [the date the plan becomes operative in accordance with clause 20 of Schedule 1 of the RMA], any change that results in the farming activity not meeting the definition of Low Intensity Dryland Farming.</u>
24.6	Beef + Lamb New Zealand - Lauren Phillips	Definitions – Change of land use	Support	Support - no specific relief sought
26.5	Hurunui Landcare Group Inc (HDLG) - Joshua Brown	Definitions – Change of land use	Support	Support the addition of clause (b) to the definition of "Change of land use". No specific relief sought
11.8	Ravensdown Limited - Carmen Taylor	Definitions – Dryland Farmer Collective Agreement	Support	Retain the definition of "Dryland Farmer Collective Agreement" as notified

Submission reference number	Submitter	Plan Provision	Support / Oppose	Summary of relief sought
16.10	North Canterbury Province, Federated Farmers - Dr Lionel Hume	Definitions – Dryland Farmer Collective Agreement	Support	Retain the definition of "Dryland Farmer Collective Agreement" as proposed
17.6	Aotearoa New Zealand Fine Wine Estates - Lynda Murchison	Definitions – Dryland Farmer Collective Agreement	Oppose	Amend the definition of "Dryland Farmer Collective Agreement" as follows: <u>Dryland Low Intensity</u> Farmer Collective Agreement: <u>A Dryland Low Intensity</u> Farmer Collective Agreement is an agreement that has been approved by Canterbury Regional Council as containing the matters identified in Schedule 2A, for members to collectively record compliance with Rule 10.1A.
24.7	Beef + Lamb New Zealand - Lauren Phillips	Definitions – Dryland Farmer Collective Agreement	Support	Support - no specific relief sought
25.4	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited - Richard Allen	Definitions – Dryland Farmer Collective Agreement	Oppose	Amend the definition of "Dryland Farmer Collective Agreement" to clarify that the information gathered by the collective will be shared with the Regional Council to allow credible compliance monitoring of Rule 10.1A
26.6	Hurunui Landcare Group Inc (HDLG) - Joshua Brown	Definitions – Dryland Farmer Collective Agreement	Support	Support the definition of "Dryland Farmer Collective Agreement" as notified. No specific relief sought
11.9	Ravensdown Limited - Carmen Taylor	Definitions – Farm Portal	Support	Retain the definition of "Farm Portal" as notified
16.11	North Canterbury Province, Federated Farmers - Dr Lionel Hume	Definitions – Farm Portal	Support in part	Amend the definition of "Farm Portal" as follows" <u>mMeans the nutrient management database</u>
24.8	Beef + Lamb New Zealand - Lauren Phillips	Definitions – Farm Portal		Neutral - no specific relief sought
26.7	Hurunui Landcare Group Inc (HDLG) - Joshua Brown	Definitions – Farm Portal	Support	Supports the definition of "Farm Portal" as notified, including the implication that OVERSEER nutrient budgets will not be required. No specific relief sought
9.29	Amuri Irrigation Company Ltd - Andrew Barton	Definitions – Low Intensity Dryland Farming	Support	Retain the definition of "Low Intensity Dryland Farming" as modified by PC1
11.10	Ravensdown Limited - Carmen Taylor	Definitions – Low Intensity Dryland Farming	Support in part	Amend the definition of "Low Intensity Dryland Farming as follows: <u>Low Intensity Dryland Farming means the use of land for a farming activity, where:</u> a. <u>no part of the property is irrigated; and</u> b. <u>the area of the property used for Winter Grazing is less than:</u> i. <u>10 hectares, for any property less than 100 hectares in area; or</u> ii. <u>10% of the area of the property, for any property between 100 hectares and 1000 hectares in area; or</u> iii. <u>100 hectares, for any property greater than 1000 hectares in area; and</u>

Submission reference number	Submitter	Plan Provision	Support / Oppose	Summary of relief sought
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> c. <u>the farming activity does not include the farming of more than 25 weaned pigs or more than 6 sows, or the farming of poultry fowl at a stocking rate of more than 10 birds per hectare, up to a maximum of 1000 birds; and or</u> d. <u>the farming activity does not include a component where livestock are confined within a hard-stand area for the purpose of intensive controlled feeding with the purpose of encouraging high weight gain.</u>
14.5	Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu - Lisa Mackenzie	Definitions – Low Intensity Dryland Farming	Support in part	Clarify how the definitions and rules interrelate
16.12	North Canterbury Province, Federated Farmers - Dr Lionel Hume	Definitions – Low Intensity Dryland Farming	Oppose	<p>Amend the definition of "Low Intensity Dryland Farming" as follows:</p> <p><u>Low Intensity Dryland Farming means means the use of land for a farming activity, where:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. <u>no part of the property is irrigated; and</u> b. <u>the area of the property used for Winter Grazing is less than:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. <u>10 hectares, for any property less than 100 hectares; or</u> ii. <u>10% of the area of the property, for any property between 100 hectares and 1000 hectares in area; or</u> iii. <u>100 hectares, for any property greater than 1000 hectares in area; and or</u> c. <u>the farming activity does not include the farming of more than 25 weaned pigs or more than 6 sows, or the farming of poultry fowl at a stocking rate of more than 10 birds per hectare, up to a maximum of 1000 birds; and</u> d. <u>the farming activity does not include a component where livestock are confined within a hard-stand area for the purpose of intensive controlled feeding with the purpose of encouraging high weight gain.</u>
17.7	Aotearoa New Zealand Fine Wine Estates - Lynda Murchison	Definitions – Low Intensity Dryland Farming	Oppose	<p>Amend the definition of "Low intensity dryland farming" as follows:</p> <p><u>Low Intensity Dryland Farming means the use of land for a farming activity, where:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. <u>no part of the property is irrigated; and no more than 50ha if the property is irrigated ...</u>
17.8	Aotearoa New Zealand Fine Wine Estates - Lynda Murchison	Definitions – Low Intensity Dryland Farming	Oppose	<p>Should relief sought in point 17.7 not be granted, amend the definition of "Low intensity dryland farming" as follows:</p> <p><u>Low Intensity Dryland Farming means the use of land for a farming activity, where:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. <u>no part of the property is irrigated; and no more than 50ha if the property is irrigated and that land which is irrigated is not used for the purpose of dairy grazing or winter grazing ...</u>
24.9	Beef + Lamb New Zealand - Lauren Phillips	Definitions – Low Intensity Dryland Farming	Support	Support - no specific relief sought
25.3	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited - Richard Allen	Definitions – Low Intensity Dryland Farming	Oppose	<p>Amend the definition of "Low Intensity Dryland Farming" as follows:</p> <p>... (d) <u>the farming activity does not include a component where livestock are confined on an area without pasture or vegetative cover or within a hard-stand area for the purpose of intensive controlled feeding with the purpose of encouraging high weight gain.</u></p> <p><u>(e) no more than 20% of the animal feed consumed (Dry Matter consumed) is imported on to the property. (i.e. at least 80% of DM consumed is grown on the property).</u></p> <p>Note, the figure of 20% (in (e) above) is indicative only and may need further investigation before inclusion in this definition. 20% imported feed aligns with the upper threshold for system 3 dairy farms.</p>

Submission reference number	Submitter	Plan Provision	Support / Oppose	Summary of relief sought
26.8	Hurunui Landcare Group Inc (HDLG) - Joshua Brown	Definitions – Low Intensity Dryland Farming	Oppose	Amend the definition of "Low Intensity Dryland Farming" to include existing irrigated areas up to 50ha per farm
26.9	Hurunui Landcare Group Inc (HDLG) - Joshua Brown	Definitions – Low Intensity Dryland Farming	Support in part	<p>Amend the definition of "Low Intensity Dryland Farming" as follows:</p> <p><u>means the use of land for a farming activity, where:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. <u>no part of the property is irrigated; and</u> b. <u>the area of the property used for Winter Grazing is less than:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. <u>10 hectares, for any property less than 100 hectares; or</u> ii. <u>10% of the area of the property, for any property between 100 hectares and 1000 hectares in area; or</u> iii. <u>100 hectares, for any property greater than 1000 hectares in area; and</u> c. <u>the farming activity does not include the farming of more than 25 weaned pigs or more than 6 sows, or the farming of poultry fowl at a stocking rate of more than 10 birds per hectare, up to a maximum of 1000 birds; and</u> d. <u>the farming activity does not include a component where livestock are confined within a hard-stand area for the purpose of intensive controlled feeding with the purpose of encouraging high weight gain.</u>
27.5	Emu Plains Irrigation Incorporated - Warren Keith Armstrong	Definitions – Low Intensity Dryland Farming	Oppose	If the combined cumulative effects of the increase in discharge of nutrients to the Waiau Uwha River by dryland farmers [pursuant to Plan Change 1], consented discharges and discharges that have been applied for prior to notification of Plan Change 1 will result in an increased risk of periphyton growth then amend the definition of "low intensity dryland farming" so the scale of increase in dryland discharge is reduced to maintain periphyton growth within acceptable limits
27.6	Emu Plains Irrigation Incorporated - Warren Keith Armstrong	Definitions – Low Intensity Dryland Farming	Oppose	If the relief sought in point 27.5 is not granted, withdraw the Plan Change in its entirety

Submissions on Schedule 2A

Submission reference number	Submitter	Plan Provision	Support / Oppose	Summary of relief sought
11.12	Ravensdown Limited - Carmen Taylor	Schedule 2A	Support	Retain Schedule 2A: Matters to be addressed in any Dryland Farmer Collective Agreement in accordance with Rule 10.1A as notified
24.11	Beef + Lamb New Zealand - Lauren Phillips	Schedule 2A	Support	Support - no specific relief sought
25.5	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited - Richard Allen	Schedule 2A	Oppose	<p>Amend Clause 4 of Schedule 2A as follows:</p> <p>(4) <u>A statement of the actions that will be undertaken by the individual land managers (the "Members") who commit to the Collective, including as a minimum:</u></p> <p>(i) <u>the requirement for Members to report annually, to the Collective, on:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>individual property area, and</u> • <u>the area of each property used for Winter Grazing; and</u> • <u>the proportion of the feed budget that comprises feed brought onto the property.</u>
26.11	Hurunui Landcare Group Inc (HDLG) - Joshua Brown	Schedule 2A	Support	Supports minimum requirements for a "Dryland Farmer Collective Agreement" as notified. No specific relief sought

Submissions on Schedule 6

Submission reference number	Submitter	Plan Provision	Support / Oppose	Summary of relief sought
11.13	Ravensdown Limited - Carmen Taylor	Schedule 6 - general	Support	Retain "Schedule 6: Management Plan for Low Intensity Dryland Farming Activities" as notified
24.12	Beef + Lamb New Zealand - Lauren Phillips	Schedule 6 - general		Neutral - no specific relief sought
17.9	Aotearoa New Zealand Fine Wine Estates - Lynda Murchison	Schedule 6 - Part A	Oppose	<p>Amend Schedule 6, Part A as follows:</p> <p>... <u>A Management Plan can be either:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>A Plan prepared in accordance with the requirements of Part B below; or</u> 2. <u>A Plan prepared in accordance with an industry prepared Farm Environment Plan template that has been certified by the Chief Executive of Environment Canterbury as providing at least an equivalent amount of information and practice guidance contained in Part B below.</u> 3. <u>A plan that has been prepared in accordance with Demeter Biodynamic Accreditation. ...</u>
16.14	North Canterbury Province, Federated Farmers - Dr Lionel Hume	Schedule 6 - Part B	Oppose	Delete item 2(e) [The location on all waterways where stock access or crossing occurs] of Schedule 6 Part B

Submission reference number	Submitter	Plan Provision	Support / Oppose	Summary of relief sought
16.15	North Canterbury Province, Federated Farmers - Dr Lionel Hume	Schedule 6 - Part B	Oppose	Amend item 3 of Schedule 6, Part B as follows: <u>3. The location of any critical source areas for phosphorus loss</u>
26.12	Hurunui Landcare Group Inc (HDLG) - Joshua Brown	Schedule 6 - Part B	Support in part	Amend Part B of Schedule 6 as follows: ... (2) <u>A map(s) or aerial photograph at a scale that clearly shows:</u> (a)... <u>(e) The location on all waterways where stock access or crossing occurs.</u> (f)... (3) <u>The location of any critical source areas for phosphorus loss ...</u>
7.8	Rural Advocacy Network - Jamie McFadden	Schedule 6 – Part B Table	Oppose	Oppose incorporating mahinga kai requirement into farm plans - no specific relief sought
14.7	Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu - Lisa Mackenzie	Schedule 6 – Part B Table	Support in part	Amend the eighth farm practice listed in the table as follows: <u>Vegetated riparian margins of sufficient width are maintained to minimise nutrient, fertiliser, sediment and microbial pathogen losses to waterbodies</u>
16.16	North Canterbury Province, Federated Farmers - Dr Lionel Hume	Schedule 6 – Part B Table	Oppose	Delete the fourth "practice" box in the table located in Part B of Schedule 6: <u>Mahinga kai values are identified and protected. To seek assistance in identifying mahinga kai values and practices to protect those values, contact the Canterbury Regional Council or tangata whenua</u>
16.17	North Canterbury Province, Federated Farmers - Dr Lionel Hume	Schedule 6 – Part B Table	Oppose	Delete the eighth "practice" box in the table located in Part B of Schedule 6: <u>Vegetated riparian margins of sufficient width are maintained to minimise nutrient, sediment and microbial pathogen losses to waterbodies</u>
16.18	North Canterbury Province, Federated Farmers - Dr Lionel Hume	Schedule 6 – Part B Table	Support	Retain the ninth "practice" box, in the table located in Part B of Schedule 6, as proposed: <u>Critical phosphorus source areas are identified and appropriately managed to minimise sediment and phosphorus loss.</u>
26.13	Hurunui Landcare Group Inc (HDLG) - Joshua Brown	Schedule 6 – Part B Table	Oppose	Delete the "mahinga kai values" item from the Table of practices and on-farm actions: <u>Mahinga kai values are identified and protected. To seek assistance in identifying mahinga kai values and practices to protect those values, contact the Canterbury Regional Council or tangata whenua</u>