From:
To: Hearings

Cc: <u>submissions@golder.co.nz</u>

Subject: Notifications Consent Submission: Group 422

Date: Thursday, 6 June 2019 4:59:19 PM

Group ID: 422

Consent name: Fulton Hogan - Roydon Quarry

Consent number: CRC192408, CRC192409, CRC192410, CRC192411, CRC192412,

CRC192413, CRC192414, RC185627

Name: Wayne Tewnion

Care of:



Contact by email: Yes

Is a trade competitor: No

Directly affected: Yes

Consent support/hearing details

- CRC192408: oppose | WANT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- CRC192409: oppose | WANT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- CRC192410: oppose | WANT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- CRC192411: oppose | WANT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- CRC192412: oppose | WANT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- CRC192413: oppose | WANT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- CRC192414: oppose | WANT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- RC185627: oppose | WANT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing

Reasons comment:

Ouarry discharge to ground causes serious contamination. There are a number of wells on Pound Road adjacent to a quarry that are now having their drinking water supplied by that quarry as their wells are so contaminated. Due to Ecan's and the CDHB misrepresentation of effects, Fulton Hogan is now publicly categorising PM10 dust as only a nuisance. Ecan Planners and commissioners have failed to recognise or adhere to the RMA and Environment and High Court decisions. Zero duty of care shown by any council and the precautionary principle has been ignored. The water table in the Templeton area will rise considerably in the next few years due to the https://www.cpwl.co.nz/ Trucks transportation in the Templeton area will be problematic as it was seen on the Old West Coast Road. What is the calibre and qualifications of the applicants experts? In the past it has been seriously woeful to the extent one expert has admitted their lack of knowledge of Crystalline Silica they have supplied dust mitigation methods for, and un knowledgeable decision makers allowing a quarry 30 metres from a neighbouring property and home. Will the councils and its planners and decision makers be coming to the table with "clean hands" and showing any common sense, and will they finally be considering the RMA and recent court decisions. Recent dust monitoring results supplied by mote where taken just before quarries shut for the Christmas break and then proceeded over the wettest two months in a decade, and then the monitoring stopped. Recent personal monitoring by Chemsafe showed serious issues that Ecan and CDHB refuse to acknowledge. Ecan even cleaned a number of homes as they were 7 times higher in RCS that is considered safe.

Consent comment:

I wish to see the application declined in its entirety