CON520: SUBMISSION ON
RESOURCE CONSENT APPLICATION
(SECTION 96 RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991)

TO: Consents Hearings
Environment Canterbury
P O Box 345
CHRISTCHURCH 8140

Email: hearings@ecan.govt.nz
Ph: (03) 353 9007    Fax: (03) 365 3194

OR: submit by completing an on-line form at:

Closing Date: 5.00pm Thursday 6 June 2019

Person(s) /Group /Organisation Making the Submission
Full Name of Submitter(s): Robyn Frances Holmes

Postal Address:

Contact Phone:

Fax/Email: 

☐ Please tick this box if you do not want to receive any communication via email

Contact Person: Robyn Holmes

Name of applicant: Fulton Hogan Limited

Applications to Environment Canterbury

☐ All of the applications as listed below OR only those as ticked.

☐ CRC192408 Land use to excavate material
☐ CRC182409 Land use to deposit cleanfill
☐ CRC192410 Discharge contaminants into air
☐ CRC192411 Discharge contaminants into water from industrial processes
☐ CRC192412 Discharge stormwater into land
☐ CRC192413 Discharge contaminants into land associated with deposition of cleanfill
☐ CRC192414 Water permit

☐ 1 / We support the application ☑ 1 / We oppose the application ☐ 1 / We are neutral to the application
(neither support or oppose)

☐ I / We do wish to be heard in support of my/our submission*
(Note: this means you wish to speak in support of your submission at the hearing)

*If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing ☑ Yes ☐ No

OR

☐ I / We do not wish to be heard in support of my/our submission
(Note: this means that you cannot speak at the hearing, however you will retain your right to appeal any decision to the
Environment Court on any decision made by the Council.)
Application to Selwyn District Council

RC185627  Land use for gravel extraction and processes

☐  We support the application  ☐  We oppose the application  ☐  We are neutral to the application
(neither support or oppose)

☐  I / We do wish to be heard in support of my/our submission*
(Note: this means you wish to speak in support of your submission at the hearing)
*If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing ☐ Yes ☐ No

OR

☐  I / We do not wish to be heard in support of my/our submission
(Note: this means that you cannot speak at the hearing, however you will retain your right to appeal any decision to the Environment Court on any decision made by the Council.)

2. The reasons for making my submission are: (state in summary the nature of your submission, giving reasons)
I choose to live at [redacted] 23 years ago. 7 years prior to that I lived in Templeton. The rural life so close to the city has been a wonderful way for our family to live, farm, work, exercise, invest, enjoy and feel safe. I want this lifestyle to continue without the stress and major changes that a Quarry on my back doorstep will bring

I STRONGLY OPPOSE, OBJECT to the proposed quarry within the SDC bounded by Curraghs, Jones, Dawson and Maddisons Roads. Roydon Quarry My reasons are listed below.

ENVIRONMENT; Air quality, Dust, Contaminants, Soil, Prevailing winds,

WATER; Volumes, Efficiency, Effects, Contamination

TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT; Health and Safety, Movements, Roading, Noise

HEALTH; Quality of our daily life, Stress, Constant worrying, Mental health, Community unsettled and concerned

SETBACKS; Not far enough

HOURS OF WORK; Very excessive

AMENITY; Visual, Future development to our property

VIBRATIONS; Traffic, Crushing plant, Heavy Machinery

ANIMAL HEALTH AND WELFARE:

RMA; ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT that is more than minor. See attached.

Population Growth Christchurch City and SDC

Proximity of the Templeton Township.

THIS IS AN ENVIRONMENT WE LOVE AND SHOULD NOT BE TAKEN AWAY BY MONEY IT IS THE COST OF TRANSPORTING AGGREGATE

Please attach additional pages if required

3. I wish the consent authority to make the following decision: (give details, including the general nature of any conditions sought)
DECLINE APPLICATION I STRONGLY OPPOSE THIS APPLICATION

If this application is successful please consider these conditions. WATER USAGE Amounts

SETBACKS; 800 metres at least form boundary of property (Not law doesn’t mean it shouldn’t be)

ENTRY/EXIT Should only ever be from Jones Road,

HOURS OF WORK; Monday to Friday and no longer than 10 hour days, Public Holidays No work 4 Sat 4 Hours

Safety of both the Human and Animal Life

Traffic Movements; Population Growth; Visual impact so close to City Boundary

Compliance in the years ahead (35-40 years) Who will be responsible?

Remediation; Back to ground level, acceptable clean fill, Monitored
5. □ I/We am / am not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991. I / We am / am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Signature of submitter or duly authorised agent on behalf of submitter

Date 28/5/19

Notes to the submitter:
1. The person making this submission must send a copy to the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after serving Environment Canterbury
2. A list of all submissions received will be provided to the applicant.
3. Please be aware that third parties may request a copy of submissions received and that request is subject to the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987.

The address for service of the applicant is:
Fulton Hogan Limited
c/o Golder Associates
PO Box 2281
Christchurch 8041
Attn: Kevin Bligh / Geoff England

Email: submissions@golder.co.nz
Letters

ECan facts

Much has been said about Cloud Ocean, Environment Canterbury’s role in consenting water use, and protecting our water supply. ECan is very aware of those responsibilities, and takes them very seriously.

Whatever people’s views, ECan must work within the law, and some facts may be helpful. The Resource Management Act (RMA) allows consent unless an activity is specifically prohibited – water bottling is not. The key issue then is whether consent will create environmental impacts that are more than minor. Evidence shows, and an independent Commissioner, the city council, ECan and Cloud Ocean’s consultants agree, that Cloud Ocean’s water bottling will not affect the city water supply.

Cloud Ocean can use an existing bore. A new consent for a deeper bore does not mean it can take more, as consents are capped. Only the city council can apply to take more water. Currently, 75 per cent of the city’s allocation is being used. Of Canterbury’s water use, Cloud Ocean takes the equivalent of two teaspoons in a 10-litre bucket.

Anyone can apply for consent, and nationality, race, ethnicity, the end use or destination of the resource are not grounds for refusal. Nor is foreign ownership, though Cloud Ocean is a New Zealand-registered company. ECan recognises that a sustainable, healthy water supply is vital to our future, but must work within the law. The Government is considering changes to the RMA, and we encourage those with strong views on it to make their voices heard as part of that review.

Steve Lowndes
Chairman, Environment Canterbury