

CON520: SUBMISSION ON RESOURCE CONSENT APPLICATION

(SECTION 96 RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991)

TO: Consents Hearings
Environment Canterbury
P O Box 345
CHRISTCHURCH 8140

Email: hearings@ecan.govt.nz
Ph: (03) 353 9007 Fax: (03) 365 3194

OR: submit by completing an on-line form at:

<https://www.ecan.govt.nz/do-it-online/resource-consents/notifications-and-submissions/notified-consents/>

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

FILE: CRC192408, CRC192409, CRC192410,
CRC192411, CRC192412, CRC192413,
CRC192414, RC185627

Closing Date: 5.00pm Thursday 6 June 2019

Person(s) /Group /Organisation Making the Submission

Full Name of Submitter(s): Phillip James Carson, Gail Anne Carson

Postal Address For Service: [REDACTED]

Contact Phone No(s): [REDACTED]

Fax/Email: [REDACTED]

Please tick this box if you do not want to receive any communication via email

Contact Person: Phillip Carson

Name of applicant: Fulton Hogan Limited

Applications to Environment Canterbury

All of the applications as listed below OR only those as ticked.

- CRC192408 Land use to excavate material
- CRC182409 Land use to deposit cleanfill
- CRC192410 Discharge contaminants into air
- CRC192411 Discharge contaminants into water from industrial processes
- CRC192412 Discharge stormwater into land
- CRC192413 Discharge contaminants into land associated with deposition of cleanfill
- CRC192414 Water permit

I / We support the application

I / We oppose the application

I / We are neutral to the application
(neither support or oppose)

I / We do wish to be heard in support of my/our submission*

(Note: this means you wish to speak in support of your submission at the hearing)

*If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing Yes No

OR

I / We do not wish to be heard in support of my/our submission

(Note: this means that you cannot speak at the hearing, however you will retain your right to appeal any decision to the Environment Court on any decision made by the Council.)

Application to Selwyn District Council

✓ RC185627 Land use for gravel extraction and processes

I / We support the application

✓ I / We oppose the application

I / We are neutral to the application
(neither support or oppose)

I / We **do** wish to be heard in support of my/our submission*

(Note: this means you wish to speak in support of your submission at the hearing)

*If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing Yes No

OR

✓ I / We **do not** wish to be heard in support of my/our submission

(Note: this means that you cannot speak at the hearing, however you will retain your right to appeal any decision to the Environment Court on any decision made by the Council.)

2. The reasons for making my submission are: *(state in summary the nature of your submission, giving reasons)*

We have lived in Templeton for over 25 years and we oppose the proposed Fulton Hogan Ltd - proposed Roydon Quarry based on the following reasons.

The proposed quarry site would have the following adverse effects on neighbouring properties and the township of Templeton and potential property devaluation in the surrounding affected areas.

CRC192408: The excavation of material from this site would result in the contamination of the surrounding area from airborne discharges, groundwater contamination, noise pollution and the traffic issues associated with a large commercial operation proposing to be operating for extended hours day and night.

Despite aggregate companies declared intentions to limit their amount of traffic through the Templeton township they continue to do so with increasing regularity and at monitored excessive speed. This causes damage to roading and creates dangerous traffic, noise and air pollution. If the proposed quarry was to be permitted it should be under the enforceable conditions that aggregate transportation was restricted from passing through Templeton via Jones Rd, Railway Terrace, Waterloo Rd and Kirk Rd.

With the development of the South Express Cycleway and now the proposal of a Cemetery and Sports facility on land directly across the road from the proposed quarry site the questions of compatibility based on traffic and pollution, be it dust, odour or noise, must be considered.

The siting of a quarry so close to a residential area with the above detrimental effects, when there are sites with existing quarry resource consents in place and areas where the effects of quarrying would have minimal adverse impact available, would appear to be short sighted and could only be seen to be being requested based on financial reasons.

For this quarry to be allowed to be permitted the cost will be to high on the local community.

Please attach additional pages if required

3. I wish the consent authority to make the following decision: *(give details, including the general nature of any conditions sought)*

CRC192409: We have concerns that the quality of any cleanfill could adversely affect the groundwater.

CRC192410: The fact that the applicant has applied for a permit to discharge contaminants into the air can be taken as evidence that the applicant will do so whether intentionally or not.

CRC192411: The fact that the applicant has applied for a discharge permit to discharge contaminants into land where it may enter water from an industrial or trade process within the Selwyn-Te Waihora sub-region can be taken as evidence that the applicant will do so whether intentionally or not.

CRC192412: The fact that the applicant has applied for a discharge permit to discharge stormwater into land where contaminants may enter groundwater can be taken as evidence that the applicant will do so whether intentionally or not.

CRC192413: The fact that the applicant has applied for a discharge permit to discharge contaminants into land where contaminants may enter groundwater associated with the deposition of cleanfill for site rehabilitation can be taken as evidence that the applicant will do so whether intentionally or not.

CRC192414: If the proposed quarry was to be permitted the applicant should not be permitted to take water in excess of the permitted existing resource consent. If this is proven to be insufficient for the required aggregate washing and dust suppression and a new water use consent is requested it would need to satisfy all existing council or legal requirements to confirm that there would be no adverse effects on any other existing wells and groundwater supplies. Any water use would require Ecan or Council monitoring to ensure that any resource consent for water use is being complied with.

Please attach additional pages if required

4. I/We **am** a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991; and **am / am not** directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

P J Carson _____
Signature of submitter or duly authorised agent on behalf of submitter

Date 06 / 06 /2019

Notes to the submitter:

1. *The person making this submission must send a copy to the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after serving Environment Canterbury*
2. *A list of all submissions received will be provided to the applicant.*
3. *Please be aware that third parties may request a copy of submissions received and that request is subject to the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987.*

The address for service of the applicant is:

Fulton Hogan Limited
c/- Golder Associates
PO Box 2281
Christchurch 8041
Attn: Kevin Bligh / Geoff England

Email: submissions@golder.co.nz