

CANTERBURY REGIONAL COUNCIL
Kaunihera Taiao ki Waitaha

AGENDA|2019

Council

Thursday 20 June 2019

.....

Time: 11.00am

Venue: Council Chamber,
200 Tuam Street, Christchurch



Council

Membership

Chair Steve Lowndes

Deputy Chair Peter Scott

Membership David Caygill, Iaeen Cranwell, Rod Cullinane, Elizabeth Cunningham, Tom Lambie, Claire McKay, Lan Pham, Dr Cynthia Roberts, Peter Skelton, John Sunckell

Council Meeting

Table of Contents

1. Karakia	6
2. Apologies.....	6
3. Conflicts of Interest	6
4. Deputations and Petitions.....	6
5. Minutes	6
6. Matters Arising.....	15
7. Matters for Council Decision	16
7.1. Adopt 2019/20 Annual Plan and changes to policies.....	16
7.2. Setting the Rates for 2019/20	21
7.3. Enforcement Policy	46
7.4. Canterbury Water Management Strategy - Fit for Future	57
8. Exclusion of the Public from Part of the Council Meeting	63
9. Other Business	64
10. Notices of Motion.....	64
11. Questions	64
12. Next Meeting.....	64
13. Closing Karakia.....	64

- 1. Karakia**
- 2. Apologies**
- 3. Conflicts of Interest**
- 4. Deputations and Petitions**
- 5. Minutes**

Minutes of 500th meeting of the Canterbury Regional Council held in the Council Chamber, 200 Tuam Street, Christchurch on Thursday, 6 June 2019 at 11.00 am

Contents

1. Opening Karakia
2. Apologies
3. Conflicts of Interest
4. Deputations and Petitions
5. Minutes
6. Matters Arising
7. Committee Reports
- 7.1 Standing Committees
 - 7.1.1 Regulation Hearing Committee
 - 7.1.2 Canterbury Regional Water Management Strategy Regional Committee
- 7.2 Statutory Committees
 - 7.2.1 Canterbury Regional Transport Committee
8. Matters for Council Decision
 - 8.1 Memorandum of Understanding for Implementation of Whaka-Ora Health Harbour (Whakaraupō/Lyttelton Harbour Catchment Management Plan)
 - 8.2 Pre-election Period
 - 8.3 Performance, Audit and Risk Committee
 - 8.4 Annual Report – Canterbury Regional Water Management Joint Committee
9. Other Business
10. Notices of Motion
11. Questions
12. Next Meeting
13. Closing Karakia

Present

Chair Steve Lowndes, Councillors David Caygill, Iaeen Cranwell Rod Cullinane, Elizabeth Cunningham, Tom Lambie, Claire McKay, Lan Pham, Dr Cynthia Roberts, Peter Skelton, and John Sunckell.

Management and officers present

Bill Bayfield (Chief Executive), Miles McConway (Director Finance and Corporate Services), Katherine Trought (Director Strategy and Planning), Nadeine Dommissie (Chief Operating Officer), Catherine Schache (General Counsel), Katherine Harbrow (Chief Financial Officer), Siân Daly (Team Leader Governance) and Louise McDonald (Senior Committee Advisor)

Report writers and other staff were also in attendance.

Welcome

Cr Lowndes welcomed everyone to the meeting.

1. **Welcome and opening karakia**

Cr laean Cranwell opened the meeting with a karakia.

Noting that this was the 500th meeting of the Canterbury Regional Council, Chair Lowndes reflected on some history of the Council since the first meeting held on 1 November 1989. The Council was created as part of the 1989 Local Government Act reorganisation replacing 32 authorities.

At that first meeting, held in the Kilmore Street offices, Richard Johnson was elected as chair. Malcolm Douglass was the Chief Executive.

Cr Skelton passed on Malcolm Douglass' greetings and congratulations to the Council.

Late Item

Chair Lowndes advised that there was a late item: Hurunui Waiiau Zone Committee Review and confirmed that all Councillors had received the report. This was considered at item 9.

2. **Apologies**

Cr Peter Scott
Cr David Caygill for lateness

3. **Conflicts of interest**

No conflicts of interest were declared.

4. **Deputations and Petitions**

There were two deputations.

Nichola Wilkie shared her concerns about the water quality in the Heathcote River / Ōpāwaho and that people were feeding eels. She explained that people needed to be educated that that eels and other wildlife should not be fed food like bread and cat food.

As a matter of principle, she felt that the rates and taxes to be spent on restoring the Anglican Cathedral would be better spent on protecting our waterways.

It was requested that Ms. Wilke be invited to attend a meeting of the Christchurch West Melton Zone Committee to discuss these issues.

Attendance: Cr Caygill joined the meeting at 11.16am

Geoffrey King tabled information and explained his frustration at the lack of compliance and enforcement of the Living Earth consent to discharge to air (odour) from the site in Bromley, Christchurch. He referred to a 2015 report from Beca Ltd looking at odour reduction options. There was data available on the odour being discharged but he believed that Environment Canterbury was not using it.

He questioned the Christchurch City Council's role in convening the Community Liaison Committee, as a condition of the consent, and suggested that this should be done by Environment Canterbury.

Responding to a question regarding the location of the composting operation he explained that in the United States a composting site would be required to be 8 miles from a residential area.

Resolved

That the Council:

- 1. receives the deputation from Nichola Wilkie regarding the water quality in the Heathcote River/ Ōpāwaho and the illegal feeding of eels.**
- 2. requests that the Chief Executive arrange for a reply to be provided to Nichola Wilkie.**

Cr Cunningham / Cr Roberts
CARRIED

Resolved

That the Council:

- 1. receives the deputation from Geoffrey King regarding odour from the composting operation at Bromley; and**
- 2. requests that the Chief Executive arrange for a reply to be provided to Geoffrey King.**

Cr Cullinane / Cr Sunckell
CARRIED

5. Minutes

5.1 Council

5.1.1 Council Meeting held 16 May 2019

Refer pages 10 to 21 of the agenda.

Resolved

That the Council:

- 1. Confirms and adopts as a true and correct record the minutes of the meeting held on 16 May 2019.**

Cr Roberts / Cr McKay
CARRIED

Council Meeting held 16 May 2019 – public excluded

Refer page 22 of the agenda.

Resolved

That the Council:

- 2. Confirms and adopts as a true and correct record the minutes of part of the meeting held, with the public excluded, on 16 May 2019.**

Cr Lambie / Cr Skelton
CARRIED

6. Matters Arising

There were no matters arising from the minutes.

7. Committee Minutes

7.1 Standing Committees

7.1.2 Regulation Hearing Committee

Refer pages 23 to 28 of the agenda.

Cr Skelton presented this item and reported that at the 23 May 2019 meeting the Committee granted a consent to discharge contaminants to groundwater from a BP Oil NZ site in Manchester Street, Christchurch. This was a notified application that received no submissions. When the committee minutes are confirmed at the next meeting, clarification would be added to explain that contamination was historical and would be monitored.

Resolved

That the Council:

- 1. receives the confirmed minutes of the Regulation Hearing Committee meeting held on 16 May 2019.**
- 2. receives the unconfirmed minutes of the Regulation Hearing Committee meeting held on 23 May 2019.**

Cr Skelton / Cr Lambie
CARRIED

7.1.2 Canterbury Water Management Regional Committee

Refer pages 38 to 44 of the agenda

Cr McKay presented this item.

Resolved

That the Council:

- 1. receives the unconfirmed minutes of the Canterbury Water Management Strategy Regional Committee meeting held on 9 April 2019.**

Cr McKay / Cr Cranwell
CARRIED

7.2 Statutory Committees

7.2.1 Canterbury Regional Transport Committee

Refer pages 45 to 57 of the agenda

Chair Lowndes presented this item.

Resolved

That the Council:

1. **receives the unconfirmed minutes of the Canterbury Regional Transport Committee held on 23 May 2019.**
2. **notes and supports the decision made by the Canterbury Regional Transport Committee and also declines to endorse Ms Karen Dow's petition at present, pending the outcome of the discussion paper released by the Minister of Police and the Associate Minister of Transport.**
3. **approves the Geraldine-Winchester/Tiplady/Coach Roads intersection upgrade project as a variation to the Canterbury Regional Land Transport Plan.**

Chair Lowndes / Cr McKay
CARRIED

8. Matters for Council Decision

Item 8.3 was then taken

8.3 Canterbury Water Management Strategy Regional Committee – Annual Report 2018

Refer to pages 145 to 148 of the agenda.

Hugh Logan, Chair of the Canterbury Water Management Strategy (CWMS) Regional Committee, was welcomed to the meeting.

Mr Logan presented the 2018 Annual Report from the CWMS Regional Committee. An important key achievement was the CWMS Fit for Future project that was requested by the Canterbury Mayoral Forum. He thanked Environment Canterbury staff who supported the project. They ran a very thorough process that included technical and expert input. An indicative work programme had been produced and the next challenge was to prioritise and focus the work programme.

Mr Logan was thanked for his work on the Fit for Future project and for chairing the committee.

Resolved

That the Council:

1. **Receives the Canterbury Water Management Strategy Regional Committee Annual Report 2018.**

Cr McKay / Cr Roberts
CARRIED

8.1 Memorandum of Understanding for implementation of Whaka-Ora Healthy Harbour (Whakaraupō/Lyttelton Harbour Catchment Management Plan)

Refer pages 58 to 71 of the agenda.

Cr Elizabeth Cunningham acknowledged Cr Skelton's work at the beginning of the process with the Lyttelton Recovery Plan. She thanked staff for their work on the Plan that had received a Best Practice Award from the NZ Planning Institute.

It was requested by Cr Cullinane that the GST position of funding contributions (clause 23) be made clear in the Memorandum of Understanding.

Resolved

That the Council:

- 1. approves the attached Memorandum of Understanding for the implementation of Whaka-Ora Healthy Harbour (Whakaraupō/Lyttelton Harbour Catchment Management Plan); and**
- 2. delegates to Cr Elizabeth Cunningham the signing of this Memorandum of Understanding on behalf of Environment Canterbury.**

Cr McKay / Cr Skelton
CARRIED

8.2 Pre-election Period

Refer pages 72 to 103 of the agenda.

Siân Daly presented this report.

Resolved

That the Council:

- 1. adopts the following as Council's pre-election communications policy:**

Mass communications (for example, relevant and normal media releases) continue during the pre-election period observing the following guidelines:

- no councillor photographs or contact details will be included in any publications or on the Council's website that could be viewed as for campaign purposes**
- the Chairman, Committee Chairs, and Councillor Portfolio Leads only will be quoted in response to external public and press comments made about Council business**
- the Chief Executive or his delegate will be used for all other mass communications.**

- 2. adopts a pre-election period, in respect of its pre-election communications policy, from 12 July 2019 to 12 October 2019.**

Chair Lowndes / Cr Cranwell
CARRIED

8.3 **Performance, Audit and Risk Committee**

Refer pages 104 to 144 of the agenda

Cr Sunckell introduced this report and explained that the Performance, Audit and Risk Committee meeting scheduled for 30 May was cancelled due to the lack of a quorum. The financial reports that would have presented to the Committee were presented to the Council.

Resolved

That the Council:

1. **receives the Operational Performance report for the period ended 30 April 2019.**
2. **receives the monthly Financial Health report for the period ended 30 April 2019.**

Cr Sunckell / Cr McKay
CARRIED

9. **Other Business - Late Item: Hurunui Waiau Zone Committee Review**

Refer page 1 of the report circulated separately.

Resolved

1. **That the report be received and considered at the Council meeting on 6 June 2019: Hurunui Waiau Zone Committee Review.**

Cr Skelton / Cr Cunningham
CARRIED

9.1 **Hurunui Waiau Zone Committee Review**

Refer page 2 of the report circulated separately.

Cr McKay presented this item.

Resolved

That the Council:

1. **approves that an independent review is undertaken of the Hurunui Zone Committee; and**
2. **notes that the independent reviewer will be appointed by the Chief Executives of Hurunui District Council and Environment Canterbury; and**
3. **notes that the draft Terms of Reference as appropriate for the review.**

Cr Roberts / Cr McKay
CARRIED

10. **Notices of motion**

There were no notices of motion.

11. **Questions**

There were no questions.

12. **Next meeting**

Thursday 20 June 2019

13. **Closing karakia**

The Chair thanked everyone for their participation and invited Cr Cranwell to close the meeting with a karakia at 12.38 pm.

Confirmed

Date _____

_____ **Chairperson**

6. Matters Arising

7. Matters for Council Decision

7.1. Adopt 2019/20 Annual Plan and changes to policies

Council report

Date of meeting	20 June 2019
Author	Katherine Harbrow, CFO
Responsible Director	Miles McConway

Purpose

1. The purpose of this report is to present the final version of the 2019/20 Annual Plan and the two revised policies (Fees and Charges Policy and Revenue and Financing Policy) for Council adoption.
2. The Annual Plan must be adopted by 30 June 2019 to become effective on 1 July 2019 and meet statutory timeframes.
3. There is a separate agenda item for the rating resolution which Council will need to make to set the 2019/20 rates. The 2019/20 Annual Plan needs to be adopted first, before setting the rates.

Recommendations

That the Council:

1. **Adopts the revised Environment Canterbury Revenue and Financing Policy prepared under the Local Government Act 2002.**
2. **Adopts the revised Environment Canterbury Fees and Charges Policy.**
3. **Adopts the Environment Canterbury 2019/20 Annual Plan.**
4. **Delegates authority to the Council's Chief Executive to make alterations of minor effect or to correct any minor errors to the adopted 2019/20 Annual Plan.**

Background

4. On 14 February 2019 the Council approved the draft 2019/20 Annual Plan and the two revised policies (Fees and Charges Policy and Revenue and Financing Policy) for the engagement and consultation process with the Canterbury community. The information was available on the Council's website. From 18 February until 19 March 2019, the Council received 102 submissions. 85 submissions were from individuals and the remainder were group submissions including from stakeholders, papatipu rūnanga, service providers and community groups.
5. 21 of these submissions resulted in verbal presentations to the Council on 30 April 2019.
6. Council deliberated on all submissions received on 16 May 2019 at a Council meeting and made decisions on any changes needed to the draft 2019/20 Annual Plan and the two revised policies as a result of the submissions. These changes have been incorporated into the final version of the 2019/20 Annual Plan and the two policies attached.

Annual Plan 2019/20

7. Public feedback via engagement on the Annual Plan was received on all portfolios and covered most aspects of the document. Many supported the Council's priorities and discussed the challenges that lay ahead.
8. Minor changes following public feedback have been incorporated into the final Annual Plan including narrative amendments to clarify parts of the annual plan and accommodation of some funding requests.

Revenue and Financing Policy

9. Proposed changes to the Revenue and Financing Policy regarding Biodiversity and Biosecurity matters were consulted on. There was strong support from submitters on the creation of a rating area (including the Port Hills) to support the vision for a pest-free Banks Peninsula. Proposed changes to the Revenue and Financing policy consulted on have been incorporated into the revised policy.

Fees and Charges Policy

10. A number of proposed changes to the Fees and Charges Policy were consulted on and have been incorporated into the revised Fees and Charges Policy.
11. 18 submitters supported the creation of a new charge for annual water consent compliance (previously called water-use data). Applying a water consent compliance fee to the 6,000 consent holders will transfer the cost of compliance monitoring and data management from the general ratepayer to the consent holder. The fee will not be applied in the 2019/20 Annual Plan but may apply from 2020/21 Annual Plan onwards allowing staff time over the coming year to get systems and processes in place for a final decision to be made as part of the 2020/21 Annual Plan considerations.
12. 18 submitters commented that they opposed the proposed increase to swing-mooring fees. The mooring areas have been progressively cleared of obsolete and unmaintained moorings, and a suitable swing room area has been established for vessels. Mapping of the areas has been undertaken, and a suitable maintenance regime put in place to ensure the safety of all vessels. We now have an accurate cost of the management of mooring areas. This cost is now to be shared amongst mooring owners. The \$200 swing mooring fee has been benchmarked against other harbours and has been found to be reasonable.

Cost, compliance and communication

Financial implications

13. The changes approved by Councillors at the 2019/20 Annual Plan Council deliberation meeting held 16 May, resulted in an increase of total rates revenue for 2019/20 by 10.51%. The initial percentage increase proposed in the draft document was 8.9%.
14. The table below shows the changes in the rating income between the draft document and the final 2019/20 Annual Plan:

Draft Plan	2019/20 Plan \$000	2018/19 Plan \$000
Expenditure	185,898	172,333
Rates	108,718	99,793
Rates Increase	8,924	
% increase	8.9%	
Plus -Staff Advice + submissions (excl. request to restore air quality budget \$600k)		
Submitters (Excl. air quality reinstatement)	105	
Public Transport ERA/Contract	909	
Replenish General Reserve	550	
Total change	1,564	
Rates	1.6%	
=Final plan - Effect on Rates		
Rates	110,282	
Rates Increase	10,488	
% increase	10.51%	

15. The table below provides further detail on the change in expenditure and revenue that has occurred between the draft document and the final 2019/20 Annual Plan:

Year	EXP	GR	TR	GRANT	UP & OTH	RES	REV
2019/20 Draft							
Air Quality	3,236	1,021	1,732	-	65	418	3,236
Biodiversity and Biosecurity	11,949	8,490	2,003	-	64	1,392	11,949
Freshwater Management	31,354	30,391	2	332	504	124	31,354
Hazards, Risk and Resilience	26,534	8,389	11,038	351	7,516	-760	26,534
Regional Leadership	29,874	18,656	-	173	8,876	2,170	29,874
Transport and Urban Development	82,950	953	26,042	35,179	20,679	97	82,950
2019/20 Draft Total	185,898	67,899	40,818	36,034	37,705	3,441	185,898
2019/20							
Air Quality	3,207	1,064	1,797	-	65	281	3,207
Biodiversity and Biosecurity	11,906	8,244	2,207	-	64	1,391	11,906
Freshwater Management	32,043	30,329	2	1,518	504	-310	32,043
Hazards, Risk and Resilience	26,889	8,525	10,878	351	7,683	-547	26,889
Regional Leadership	29,805	19,285	-	173	8,787	1,560	29,805
Transport and Urban Development	85,441	934	27,017	37,845	20,568	-924	85,441
2019/20 Total	189,291	68,381	41,901	39,887	37,671	1,451	189,291

16. This new budget sees an increase in Full Time Equivalent (FTE) staff numbers from 630.23 to 672.36. 27.8 of this FTE increase is for a fixed term period of 2 years to assist deliver the foundation work for a 3D water data model foundation project.
17. The FTE numbers for ECan continue to align with the regional council benchmark measure of 1 to 1000 population.

Risk assessment and legal compliance

17. Staff have worked to ensure that the Annual Plan process has complied with the various legal requirements, particularly those under the Local Government Act 2002.
18. An external audit of the Environment Canterbury 2019/20 Annual Plan is not required but an external audit was undertaken for the LTP 2018-28 and there were no legal compliance concerns identified.
19. The remaining steps in the statutory process are to adopt the 2019/20 Annual Plan and then set the rates (by way of a separate resolution).

Significance and engagement

20. Submissions on the 2019/20 Annual Plan and the two revised Policies were provided to Councillors and are available on our website.
21. From our key organisational relationships, submissions were received from Timaru, Waimakariri, Selwyn, and Ashburton District Councils, Federated Farmers and papatipu rūnanga.

Consistency with council policy

22. Once adopted the Revenue and Financing Policy and the Fees and Charges Policy will become Council Policies.

Communication

23. This Annual Plan process provided the Councillors and staff with the opportunity to reflect on existing strategy and policy, and importantly further commit to the strategic priorities areas of freshwater management and indigenous biodiversity where future work will occur to improve these elements and to respond to the increased demand for public transport services.
24. The final Annual Plan documents will be displayed and promoted through our usual communication channels.

Next steps

25. At this meeting Council will approve the rates resolution for the 2019/20-year effective 1 July.

Attachments – circulated separately

1. 2019/20 Annual Plan
2. Revenue & Financing Policy
3. Fees and Charges Policy

Legal review	Catherine Schache
Peer reviewers	Cecilia Ellis, Senior Strategy Advisor Phil Barclay, Communications and Engagement Manager Tarsha Triplow, Team Leader Corporate Reporting David Perenara- O'Connell, Programme Manager Regional Leadership

7.2. Setting the Rates for 2019/20

Council Report	 Environment Canterbury Regional Council <i>Kaunihera Taiao ki Waitaha</i>
Date of Meeting	20 June 2019
Portfolio	Regional Leadership
Author	Katherine Harbrow Chief Financial Officer
Endorsed by	Catherine Schache General Counsel Miles McConway Director Finance & Corporate Services

Purpose

To enable Canterbury Regional Council to set the draft rates for the 2019/20 financial year following the adoption of the 2018/28 Long Term Plan. The Council now needs to formally adopt the rates resolution setting the rates for the period 1 July 2019 to 30 June 2020.

Value proposition

Rates are required to be set in order to be legitimately levied on the community so that the Council's activities can be funded.

Recommendations

That Council:

1. Resolves: to

- a) **Appoint under Section 53(1) of the Local Government (Rating) Act 2002 those territorial authorities set out in resolutions ca - cc and cg - ch to collect those instalments of rates on the due dates and to apply those penalties on unpaid rates set out alongside their names in those resolutions. Noting that the rates collected by Mackenzie District Council include the rates in relation to that part of the Waitaki District that is in the Canterbury Regional Council boundary); and**
- b) **Delegate under Section 27 of the Local Government (Rating) Act 2002 to those territorial authorities the function of keeping and maintaining a rating information database and rates records.**

2. Delegates authority pursuant to the Local Government (Rating) Act 2002 to the Chief Executive, Director Finance and Corporate Services and the Chief Financial Officer (any one of them acting alone) to:

- a) **apply penalties (stated under resolution cb - cc and cg - ch) on unpaid rates in accordance with Sections 57 and 58, and**
- b) **approve applications for rates postponement and rates remissions in accordance with Council's policy, and**

- c) carry out all other functions permissible under the Act that are not required to be a Council responsibility

3. **Resolves** the setting of the rates for the 2019/20 financial year and sets the following rates (including GST), pursuant to the Local Government (Rating) Act 2002 and

- a) states due dates for payment in accordance with Section 24, and
b) applies penalties on unpaid rates in accordance with Sections 57 and 58,

on rating units in the region for the financial year commencing 1 July 2019 ending on 30 June 2020. These rates are set in accordance with Canterbury Regional Council's 2018-28 Long Term Plan and the Funding Impact Statement which forms part of the 2019/20 Annual Plan

- a) That a Uniform Annual General Charge (Fixed Rate) be set, for all rateable land at \$25.95 per rating unit as set out in the table below

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Uniform Annual General Charge			
Canterbury region	\$28.62	fixed amount per rating Unit	7,690,618

- b) That the general rate is set for all rateable land based upon capital value. The Council has used projected values to arrive at capital values for each territorial authority area.

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
General Rate			
Kaikoura	\$36.75	per \$100,000 Capital Value	591,963
Hurunui	\$38.08	per \$100,000 Capital Value	2,619,409
Waimakariri	\$37.00	per \$100,000 Capital Value	5,974,620
Christchurch	\$37.54	per \$100,000 Capital Value	37,419,839
Selwyn	\$35.98	per \$100,000 Capital Value	8,333,936
Ashburton	\$36.56	per \$100,000 Capital Value	6,880,781
Timaru	\$37.38	per \$100,000 Capital Value	5,089,038
Mackenzie	\$38.63	per \$100,000 Capital Value	1,564,609
Waimate	\$37.40	per \$100,000 Capital Value	1,769,140
Waitaki	\$39.09	per \$100,000 Capital Value	874,577

c) That Passenger Transport Services targeted rates be set differentially based on location at a rate in the dollar or at a fixed rate amount per rating unit as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Public Passenger Transport Services Rate			
Kaikoura (Kaikoura Vehicle Trust)	\$0.77	per \$100,000 Capital Value	5,166
Christchurch – City	\$28.23	per \$100,000 Capital Value	26,870,162
Christchurch – Kainga	\$6.07	per \$100,000 Capital Value	53,848
Christchurch (Governors Bay Community Vehicle Trust)	\$2.51	per \$100,000 Capital Value	6,199
Ashburton (Total Mobility only)	\$1.02	per \$100,000 Capital Value	32,985
Timaru – City	\$13.54	per \$100,000 Capital Value	735,397
Timaru (Geraldine Community Vehicle Trust)	\$4.39	per \$100,000 Capital Value	29,553
Timaru –Temuka	\$1.74	per \$100,000 Capital Value	9,609
Mackenzie – Twizel (Twizel-Tekapo Community Vehicle Trust)	\$0.79	per \$100,000 Capital Value	5,472
Mackenzie – Tekapo (Twizel-Tekapo Community Vehicle Trust)	\$0.35	per \$100,000 Capital Value	1,760
Mackenzie (Fairlie Community Vehicle Trust)	\$4.12	per \$100,000 Capital Value	5,140
Waimate (Total Mobility only)	\$2.55	per \$100,000 Capital Value	9,991
Uniform Targeted Public Passenger Transport Services Rate			
Hurunui (Cheviot Vehicle Trust)	\$5.63	fixed amount per rating Unit	5,166
Hurunui (Culverden Community Vehicle Trust)	\$8.36	fixed amount per rating Unit	5,166
Hurunui (Amberley Community Vehicle Trust)	\$1.58	fixed amount per rating Unit	5,140
Hurunui (Hawarden Waikari Community Vehicle Trust)	\$5.57	fixed amount per rating Unit	5,140
Waimakariri - Urban	\$81.10	fixed amount per rating Unit	1,299,176
Waimakariri - Rural	\$9.70	fixed amount per rating Unit	90,582
Selwyn - Urban	\$131.42	fixed amount per rating Unit	1,597,818
Selwyn - Rural	\$16.36	fixed amount per rating Unit	192,734
Selwyn - Darfield	\$16.28	fixed amount per rating Unit	40,735
Selwyn - Leeston	\$28.11	fixed amount per rating Unit	40,735
Selwyn (Ellesmere Community Vehicle Trust)	\$2.18	fixed amount per rating Unit	6,716
Selwyn (Malvern Community Vehicle Trust)	\$0.99	fixed amount per rating Unit	5,166
Timaru (Pleasant Point Community Vehicle Trust)	\$3.94	fixed amount per rating Unit	5,166
Waimate (Waitaki Community Vehicle Trust)	\$3.32	fixed amount per rating Unit	504
Waitaki (Waitaki Community Vehicle Trust)	\$3.32	fixed amount per rating Unit	4,636

d) That Air Quality targeted rates be set differentially based on location for a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Air Quality Rate			
Waimakariri	\$1.61	per \$100,000 Capital Value	112,830
Christchurch	\$1.62	per \$100,000 Capital Value	1,553,389
Ashburton	\$1.59	per \$100,000 Capital Value	58,998
Timaru	\$1.62	per \$100,000 Capital Value	101,771
Waimate	\$1.62	per \$100,000 Capital Value	7,933

e) That Air Quality Heating Assistance targeted rates be set differentially based on location for a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Air Quality Heating Assistance Rate			
Rangiora	\$0.00	per \$100,000 Capital Value	0
Kaipoi	\$3.30	per \$100,000 Capital Value	42,978
Christchurch	\$0.00	per \$100,000 Capital Value	0
Ashburton	\$0.00	per \$100,000 Capital Value	0
Timaru	\$2.38	per \$100,000 Capital Value	132,731
Geraldine	\$4.43	per \$100,000 Capital Value	30,476
Waimate	\$4.97	per \$100,000 Capital Value	24,261

f) That a Clean Heat Loan targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at different fixed amounts as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Clean Heat Loan Rate				
Loan Advanced - Band AD: 1000 to 1200	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$100.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	100
Loan Advanced - Band AC: 1200 to 1400	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$120.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	240
Loan Advanced - Band AB: 1400 to 1600	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$140.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	140
Loan Advanced - Band AA: 1600 to 1800	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$160.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	1,120
Loan Advanced - Band A: 1800 to 2000	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$180.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	720
Loan Advanced - Band B: 2000 to 2200	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$200.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	600
Loan Advanced - Band C: 2200 to 2400	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$220.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	1,540
Loan Advanced - Band D: 2400 to 2600	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$240.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	9,840
Loan Advanced - Band E: 2600 to 2800	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$260.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	4,680
Loan Advanced - Band F: 2800 to 3000	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$280.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	9,520
Loan Advanced - Band G: 3000 to 3200	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$300.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	14,700
Loan Advanced - Band H: 3200 to 3400	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$320.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	15,680
Loan Advanced - Band I: 3400 to 3600	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$340.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	35,700
Loan Advanced - Band J: 3600 to 3800	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$360.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	19,800
Loan Advanced - Band K: 3800 to 4000	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$380.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	23,940
Loan Advanced - Band L: 4000 to 4200	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$400.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	21,600
Loan Advanced - Band M: 4200 to 4400	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$420.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	23,520
Loan Advanced - Band N: 4400 to 4600	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$440.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	18,920
Loan Advanced - Band O: 4600 to 4800	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$460.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	55,660
Loan Advanced - Band P: 4800 to 5000	the provision of service to the rating unit	nil	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	0
Loan Advanced - Band Q: 5000 to 5200	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$500.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	29,000
Loan Advanced - Band R: 5200	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$520.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	133,120

g) That a Healthier Homes Canterbury Loan targeted rate be set differentially based on the extent of provision of service to the rating unit as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Healthier Homes Canterbury Loan				
Loan Advanced - Band H20: 1800 to 1900	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$200.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	400
Loan Advanced - Band H21: 1900 to 2000	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$211.12	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	211
Loan Advanced - Band H23: 2100 to 2200	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$233.32	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	233
Loan Advanced - Band H24: 2200 to 2300	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$244.44	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	733
Loan Advanced - Band H25: 2300 to 2400	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$255.56	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	256
Loan Advanced - Band H27: 2500 to 2600	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$277.76	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	278
Loan Advanced - Band H34: 3100 to 3200	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$344.44	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	1,378
Loan Advanced - Band H35: 3200 to 3300	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$355.56	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	711
Loan Advanced - Band H36: 3300 to 3400	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$366.68	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	733
Loan Advanced - Band H37: 3400 to 3500	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$377.76	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	756
Loan Advanced - Band H38: 3500 to 3600	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$388.88	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	1,167
Loan Advanced - Band H40: 3600 to 3700	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$400.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	400
Loan Advanced - Band H41: 3700 to 3800	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$411.12	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	3,289
Loan Advanced - Band H42: 3800 to 3900	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$422.24	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	1,267
Loan Advanced - Band H43: 3900 to 4000	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$433.32	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	1,733
Loan Advanced - Band H44: 4000 to 4100	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$444.44	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	444
Loan Advanced - Band H45: 4100 to 4200	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$455.56	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	911
Loan Advanced - Band H46: 4200 to 4300	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$466.68	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	467
Loan Advanced - Band H48: 4400 to 4500	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$488.88	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	489
Loan Advanced - Band H50: 4500 to 4600	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$500.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	1,000
Loan Advanced - Band H51: 4600 to 4700	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$511.12	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	1,533
Loan Advanced - Band H52: 4700 to 4800	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$522.24	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	1,044
Loan Advanced - Band H53: 4800 to 4900	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$533.32	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	533
Loan Advanced - Band H54: 4900 to 5000	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$544.44	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	3,267
Loan Advanced - Band H55: 5000 to 5100	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$555.56	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	2,222
Loan Advanced - Band H56: 5100 to 5200	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$566.68	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	567
Loan Advanced - Band H57: 5200 to 5300	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$577.76	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	1,156
Loan Advanced - Band H58: 5300 to 5400	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$588.88	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	589

Loan Advanced - Band H60: 5400 to 5500	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$600.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	1,800
Loan Advanced - Band H61: 5500 to 5600	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$611.12	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	1,222
Loan Advanced - Band H63: 5700 to 5800	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$633.32	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	633
Loan Advanced - Band H64: 5800 to 5900	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$644.44	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	644
Loan Advanced - Band H65: 5900 to 6000	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$655.56	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	1,311
Loan Advanced - Band H66: 6000 to 6100	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$666.68	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	2,000
Loan Advanced - Band H67: 6100 to 6200	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$677.76	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	1,356
Loan Advanced - Band H68: 6200 to 6300	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$688.88	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	1,378
Loan Advanced - Band H70: 6300 to 6400	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$700.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	2,800
Loan Advanced - Band H72: 6500 to 6600	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$722.24	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	722
Loan Advanced - Band H73: 6600 to 6700	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$733.32	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	2,200
Loan Advanced - Band H75: 6800 to 6900	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$755.56	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	2,267
Loan Advanced - Band H76: 6900 to 7000	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$766.68	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	1,533
Loan Advanced - Band H77: 7000 to 7100	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$777.76	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	2,333
Loan Advanced - Band H78: 7100 to 7200	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$788.88	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	3,156
Loan Advanced - Band H80: 7200 to 7300	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$800.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	800
Loan Advanced - Band H81: 7300 to 7400	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$811.12	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	2,433
Loan Advanced - Band H82: 7400 to 7500	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$822.24	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	822
Loan Advanced - Band H83: 7500 to 7600	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$833.32	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	833
Loan Advanced - Band H84: 7600 to 7700	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$844.44	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	11,822
Loan Advanced - Band H85: 7700 to 7800	the provision of service to the rating unit	\$855.56	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	2,567

h) That Civil Defence Emergency Management targeted rates be set using projected values at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Civil Defence Emergency Management Rate			
Kaikoura	\$1.53	per \$100,000 Capital Value	24,689
Hurunui	\$1.59	per \$100,000 Capital Value	109,250
Waimakariri	\$1.54	per \$100,000 Capital Value	249,188
Christchurch	\$1.57	per \$100,000 Capital Value	1,560,697
Selwyn	\$1.50	per \$100,000 Capital Value	347,590
Ashburton	\$1.53	per \$100,000 Capital Value	286,982
Timaru	\$1.56	per \$100,000 Capital Value	212,252
Mackenzie	\$1.61	per \$100,000 Capital Value	65,256
Waimate	\$1.56	per \$100,000 Capital Value	73,787

i) That Canterbury Water Management Strategy Environmental Infrastructure

Local targeted rates be set at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted CWMS Environmental Infrastructure Local Rate			
Selwyn	nil	per \$100,000 Capital Value	0
Ashburton	nil	per \$100,000 Capital Value	0

l) That Waimakariri River Regional Park targeted rates be set using projected values at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Waimakariri River Regional Park Rate			
Waimakariri	\$0.93	per \$100,000 Capital Value	149,862
Christchurch	\$0.94	per \$100,000 Capital Value	900,626
Selwyn	\$0.88	per \$100,000 Capital Value	209,042

m) That Ashley / Rakahuri River Regional Park targeted rates be set using projected values at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Ashley/Rakahuri River Regional Park Rate			
Waimakariri	\$0.15	per \$100,000 Capital Value	23,883
Christchurch	\$0.14	per \$100,000 Capital Value	135,752

n) That a Tekapo Regional Park targeted rate be set at a uniform fixed amount per rating unit as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Uniform Targeted Tekapo Regional Park Rate			
Mackenzie	\$0.99	fixed amount per rating Unit	20,803
Timaru	\$0.99	fixed amount per rating Unit	4,271

o) That a Rabbit Pest Control targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate per hectare of land as set out in the table below

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Rabbit Pest Control Rate				
Banks Peninsula Rabbit Rating District				
Negligible	where the land is situated	\$0.43	per Hectare of Land	3,852
Low Plains	where the land is situated	\$0.71	per Hectare of Land	15,408
Moderate	where the land is situated	\$2.18	per Hectare of Land	19,260

p) That Pest-free Banks Peninsula targeted rates be set differentially based on location at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Rate			
Christchurch	\$4.98	per \$100,000 Land Value	266,187
Selwyn	\$4.37	per \$100,000 Land Value	6,937

q) That Pest-free Banks Peninsula targeted rates be set at a rate per hectare of land as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Uniform Targeted Rate			
Christchurch	\$0.66	per Hectare of Land	65,393
Selwyn	\$0.66	per Hectare of Land	2,888

s) That Pest Management Targeted rates be set at a rate using projected values in the dollar or a rate per hectare of land as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Pest Management Rate			
Kaikoura	\$4.14	per \$100,000 Land Value	22,108
Hurunui	\$4.18	per \$100,000 Land Value	155,982
Waimakariri	\$4.16	per \$100,000 Land Value	180,029
Christchurch	\$3.67	per \$100,000 Land Value	75,706
Selwyn	\$4.27	per \$100,000 Land Value	334,509
Ashburton	\$4.01	per \$100,000 Land Value	446,507
Timaru	\$4.11	per \$100,000 Land Value	166,708
Mackenzie	\$4.11	per \$100,000 Land Value	57,429
Waimate	\$4.06	per \$100,000 Land Value	120,427
Waitaki	\$4.11	per \$100,000 Land Value	30,581
Targeted Pest Management Rate			
Kaikoura	\$0.14	per Hectare of Land	11,909
Hurunui	\$0.14	per Hectare of Land	80,985
Waimakariri	\$0.14	per Hectare of Land	23,043
Christchurch	\$0.14	per Hectare of Land	13,138
Selwyn	\$0.14	per Hectare of Land	52,064
Ashburton	\$0.14	per Hectare of Land	55,196
Timaru	\$0.14	per Hectare of Land	28,159
Mackenzie	\$0.14	per Hectare of Land	53,366
Waimate	\$0.14	per Hectare of Land	42,989
Waitaki	\$0.14	per Hectare of Land	36,648

t) That Catchment Works and Services targeted rates be set at a rate differentially based on location in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Catchment Works and Services Rate			
Kaikoura	\$4.63	per \$100,000 Capital Value	74,595
Hurunui	\$0.64	per \$100,000 Capital Value	44,309
Waimakariri	\$0.97	per \$100,000 Capital Value	157,418
Christchurch	\$0.27	per \$100,000 Capital Value	270,116
Selwyn	\$1.04	per \$100,000 Capital Value	240,590
Ashburton	\$1.18	per \$100,000 Capital Value	222,607
Timaru	\$2.18	per \$100,000 Capital Value	296,950
Mackenzie	\$1.89	per \$100,000 Capital Value	76,555
Waimate	\$2.19	per \$100,000 Capital Value	103,609
Waitaki	\$0.35	per \$100,000 Capital Value	7,907

u) That a Waimakariri Eyre Cust Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Waimakariri Eyre Cust Rating District				
Class A (Christchurch)	where the land is situated	\$0.52	per \$100,000 Capital Value	2,041
Class B	where the land is situated	\$0.35	per \$100,000 Capital Value	5,485
Class C	where the land is situated	\$0.14	per \$100,000 Capital Value	6,668
Class D	where the land is situated	\$0.10	per \$100,000 Capital Value	84,896
Class E	where the land is situated	\$0.07	per \$100,000 Capital Value	234
Class F	where the land is situated	\$0.03	per \$100,000 Capital Value	2,646
Class A (Waimakariri)	where the land is situated	\$0.52	per \$100,000 Capital Value	13,207
Class B	where the land is situated	\$0.35	per \$100,000 Capital Value	8,139
Class C	where the land is situated	\$0.14	per \$100,000 Capital Value	569
Class D	where the land is situated	\$0.10	per \$100,000 Capital Value	622
Class E	where the land is situated	\$0.07	per \$100,000 Capital Value	891
Class F	where the land is situated	\$0.03	per \$100,000 Capital Value	969
Class B (Selwyn)	where the land is situated	\$0.34	per \$100,000 Capital Value	122
Class C	where the land is situated	\$0.13	per \$100,000 Capital Value	147
Class D	where the land is situated	\$0.10	per \$100,000 Capital Value	309
Class E	where the land is situated	\$0.07	per \$100,000 Capital Value	1,681
Class F	where the land is situated	\$0.03	per \$100,000 Capital Value	1,273

v) That a Waimakariri Flood Protection Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Waimakariri Flood Protection Project				
Class A (Christchurch)	where the land is situated	\$0.85	per \$100,000 Capital Value	747,029
Class B	where the land is situated	\$0.17	per \$100,000 Capital Value	11,342
Class A (Waimakariri)	where the land is situated	\$0.84	per \$100,000 Capital Value	23,299
Class B	where the land is situated	\$0.17	per \$100,000 Capital Value	12,277
Class A (Selwyn)	where the land is situated	\$0.82	per \$100,000 Capital Value	24,718
Class B	where the land is situated	\$0.16	per \$100,000 Capital Value	5,973

x) That an Ashley River Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Ashley River Rating District				
Class A	where the land is situated	\$21.47	per \$100,000 Capital Value	128,508
Class B	where the land is situated	\$14.32	per \$100,000 Capital Value	25,020
Class C	where the land is situated	\$10.74	per \$100,000 Capital Value	48,526
Class D	where the land is situated	\$5.73	per \$100,000 Capital Value	421
Class U1	where the land is situated	\$8.59	per \$100,000 Capital Value	320,412
Class U2	where the land is situated	\$8.59	per \$100,000 Capital Value	49,871
Class U3	where the land is situated	\$8.59	per \$100,000 Capital Value	53,985

y) That a Selwyn River Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Selwyn River Rating District				
Class A	where the land is situated	\$68.65	per \$100,000 Capital Value	42,480
Class B	where the land is situated	\$61.79	per \$100,000 Capital Value	77,527
Class C	where the land is situated	\$54.92	per \$100,000 Capital Value	21,064
Class D	where the land is situated	\$41.19	per \$100,000 Capital Value	29,294
Class E	where the land is situated	\$27.46	per \$100,000 Capital Value	19,779
Class F	where the land is situated	\$10.30	per \$100,000 Capital Value	9,451
Class U1	where the land is situated	\$61.79	per \$100,000 Capital Value	4,635
Class y) That a Lake Ellesmere Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:U2	where the land is situated	\$27.46	per \$100,000 Capital Value	724

z) That a Lake Ellesmere Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Lake Ellesmere Rating District				
Class A (Christchurch)	where the land is situated	\$90.14	per \$100,000 Capital Value	11,393
Class B	where the land is situated	\$75.12	per \$100,000 Capital Value	1,600
Class C	where the land is situated	\$48.07	per \$100,000 Capital Value	2,784
Class D	where the land is situated	\$12.02	per \$100,000 Capital Value	1,228
Class E	where the land is situated	\$6.01	per \$100,000 Capital Value	488
Class A (Selwyn)	where the land is situated	\$86.86	per \$100,000 Capital Value	43,966
Class B	where the land is situated	\$72.38	per \$100,000 Capital Value	13,981
Class C	where the land is situated	\$46.32	per \$100,000 Capital Value	68,468
Class E	where the land is situated	\$5.79	per \$100,000 Capital Value	9,054

aa) That an Ashburton Rivers 1999 Stopbank Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Ashburton Rivers 1999 Stopbank Rating District				
Class A	where the land is situated	\$1.56	per \$100,000 Capital Value	53,531
Class B	where the land is situated	\$0.78	per \$100,000 Capital Value	2,307
Class C	where the land is situated	\$0.39	per \$100,000 Capital Value	1,626

ab) That an Ashburton Rivers Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Ashburton Rivers Rating District				

Class AA	where the land is situated	\$39.70	per \$100,000 Capital Value	27,206
Class AB	where the land is situated	\$37.27	per \$100,000 Capital Value	28,341
Class AL	where the land is situated	\$34.83	per \$100,000 Capital Value	197,293
Class BL	where the land is situated	\$24.55	per \$100,000 Capital Value	14,846
Class CL	where the land is situated	\$18.46	per \$100,000 Capital Value	62,891
Class DL	where the land is situated	\$12.36	per \$100,000 Capital Value	36,797
Class EL	where the land is situated	\$6.09	per \$100,000 Capital Value	12,135
Class FL	where the land is situated	\$2.09	per \$100,000 Capital Value	3,640
Class U1	where the land is situated	\$3.31	per \$100,000 Capital Value	101,350
Class AU	where the land is situated	\$210.32	per \$100,000 Capital Value	18,908
Class BU	where the land is situated	\$126.25	per \$100,000 Capital Value	106,652
Class CU	where the land is situated	\$84.08	per \$100,000 Capital Value	2,488
Class DU	where the land is situated	\$62.99	per \$100,000 Capital Value	199

ac) That a Prices Valley Drainage Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Prices Valley Drainage District				
Class A	where the land is situated	\$264.15	per \$100,000 Capital Value	1,487
Class C	where the land is situated	\$70.44	per \$100,000 Capital Value	174
Class D	where the land is situated	\$35.22	per \$100,000 Capital Value	218
Class E	where the land is situated	\$17.61	per \$100,000 Capital Value	218

ad) That a Sefton Ashley Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Sefton Ashley Rating District				
Class A	where the land is situated	\$22.04	per \$100,000 Capital Value	12,448
Class B	where the land is situated	\$11.02	per \$100,000 Capital Value	3,454

ae) That a Lower Hinds River Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Lower Hinds River Rating District				
Class Main	where the land is situated	\$11.59	per \$100,000 Capital Value	67,478

af) That an Upper Hinds River Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Upper Hinds River Rating District				
Class A	where the land is situated	\$50.77	per \$100,000 Capital Value	31,295
Class B	where the land is situated	\$45.70	per \$100,000 Capital Value	43,890
Class C	where the land is situated	\$10.15	per \$100,000 Capital Value	2,650

ag) That an Orari-Waihi-Temuka Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Orari-Waihi-Temuka Rating District				
Class A	where the land is situated	\$85.20	per \$100,000 Capital Value	35,837
Class B	where the land is situated	\$59.64	per \$100,000 Capital Value	95,508
Class C	where the land is situated	\$39.76	per \$100,000 Capital Value	212,377
Class D	where the land is situated	\$19.88	per \$100,000 Capital Value	219,045
Class E	where the land is situated	\$8.52	per \$100,000 Capital Value	66,499
Class F	where the land is situated	\$2.84	per \$100,000 Capital Value	16,977

ah) That an Ophi River Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Ophi River Rating District				
Class A (Timaru)	where the land is situated	\$96.60	per \$100,000 Capital Value	43,326
Class B	where the land is situated	\$91.77	per \$100,000 Capital Value	108,057
Class C	where the land is situated	\$72.45	per \$100,000 Capital Value	141,898
Class D	where the land is situated	\$53.13	per \$100,000 Capital Value	16,957
Class E	where the land is situated	\$19.32	per \$100,000 Capital Value	40,174
Class F	where the land is situated	\$6.76	per \$100,000 Capital Value	32,008
Class U1	where the land is situated	\$96.60	per \$100,000 Capital Value	8,993
Class U2	where the land is situated	\$38.64	per \$100,000 Capital Value	17,452
Class U3	where the land is situated	\$19.32	per \$100,000 Capital Value	4,910
Class U4	where the land is situated	\$6.76	per \$100,000 Capital Value	3,847
Class U4A	where the land is situated	\$13.52	per \$100,000 Capital Value	9,694
Class B (Mackenzie)	where the land is situated	\$94.86	per \$100,000 Capital Value	12,463
Class C	where the land is situated	\$74.89	per \$100,000 Capital Value	118,059
Class D	where the land is situated	\$54.92	per \$100,000 Capital Value	2,048
Class E	where the land is situated	\$19.97	per \$100,000 Capital Value	12,471
Class F	where the land is situated	\$6.99	per \$100,000 Capital Value	74,094
Class U3	where the land is situated	\$19.97	per \$100,000 Capital Value	23,527

ai) That a Lower Pareora River Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Lower Pareora River Rating District				
Class A (Timaru)	where the land is situated	\$150.13	per \$100,000 Capital Value	1,947
Class B	where the land is situated	\$112.60	per \$100,000 Capital Value	4,031
Class C	where the land is situated	\$75.06	per \$100,000 Capital Value	21,664
Class D	where the land is situated	\$45.04	per \$100,000 Capital Value	3,585
Class E	where the land is situated	\$22.52	per \$100,000 Capital Value	1,153
Class F	where the land is situated	\$7.51	per \$100,000 Capital Value	764
Class U1	where the land is situated	\$90.08	per \$100,000 Capital Value	29,505
Class U2	where the land is situated	\$15.01	per \$100,000 Capital Value	6,891
Class B (Waimate)	where the land is situated	\$112.67	per \$100,000 Capital Value	19,060
Class C	where the land is situated	\$75.11	per \$100,000 Capital Value	11,439
Class D	where the land is situated	\$45.07	per \$100,000 Capital Value	9,066

Class E	where the land is situated	\$22.53	per \$100,000 Capital Value	5,811
Class F	where the land is situated	\$7.51	per \$100,000 Capital Value	891

aj) That a Kapua Drainage Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Kapua Drainage District				
Class A	where the land is situated	\$464.54	per \$100,000 Capital Value	743
Class C	where the land is situated	\$232.27	per \$100,000 Capital Value	848

ak) That a Lower Waitaki River Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Lower Waitaki River Rating District				
Class A (Waitaki)	where the land is situated	\$69.20	per \$100,000 Capital Value	28,557
Class B	where the land is situated	\$34.60	per \$100,000 Capital Value	8,321
Class U1	where the land is situated	\$34.60	per \$100,000 Capital Value	1,139
Class A (Waimate)	where the land is situated	\$66.12	per \$100,000 Capital Value	64,417
Class B	where the land is situated	\$33.06	per \$100,000 Capital Value	27,889

al) That a Waiau River-Bourne Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Waiau River-Bourne Rating District				
Class A	where the land is situated	\$2,791.77	per \$100,000 Capital Value	16,192

am) That a Waiau River-Rotherham Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Waiau River-Rotherham Rating District				
Class A	where the land is situated	\$294.81	per \$100,000 Capital Value	2,093
Class B	where the land is situated	\$191.63	per \$100,000 Capital Value	27,516

an) That a Waiau Township Area Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Waiau Township Area Rating District				
Class A	where the land is situated	\$56.02	per \$100,000 Capital Value	41,426

ao) That a Kaikoura River Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Kaikoura River Rating District				
Class A	where the land is situated	\$62.48	per \$100,000 Capital Value	196,244
Class B	where the land is situated	\$37.49	per \$100,000 Capital Value	7,297
Class C	where the land is situated	\$24.99	per \$100,000 Capital Value	4,351
Class D	where the land is situated	\$15.62	per \$100,000 Capital Value	3,072
Class E	where the land is situated	\$12.50	per \$100,000 Capital Value	11,782
Class F	where the land is situated	\$6.25	per \$100,000 Capital Value	11,341
Class U1	where the land is situated	\$62.48	per \$100,000 Capital Value	35,075
Class U2	where the land is situated	\$15.62	per \$100,000 Capital Value	1,462
Class U3	where the land is situated	\$9.37	per \$100,000 Capital Value	13,987
Class U4	where the land is situated	\$6.25	per \$100,000 Capital Value	24,956

ap) That a Kowai River - Leithfield Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Kowai River - Leithfield Rating District				
Class A	where the land is situated	\$4.22	per \$100,000 Capital Value	4,743

aq) That a North Kowai River Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
North Kowai Rating District				
Class A	where the land is situated	\$10.21	per \$100,000 Capital Value	1,558
Class B	where the land is situated	\$5.11	per \$100,000 Capital Value	997

ar) That a Conway River Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Conway River Rating District				
Class A	where the land is situated	\$427.65	per \$100,000 Capital Value	4,500

as) That a Sefton Town Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially

for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Sefton Town Rating District				
Class A	where the land is situated	\$28.90	per \$100,000 Capital Value	2,052

a) That a Washdyke Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially
for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Washdyke Rating District				
Class A	where the land is situated	\$53.31	per \$100,000 Capital Value	44,404
Class B	where the land is situated	\$31.99	per \$100,000 Capital Value	22,202

au) That a Halswell River Drainage Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially
for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Halswell River Drainage District				
Class B (Christchurch)	where the land is situated	\$68.19	per \$100,000 Land Value	162,620
Class C	where the land is situated	\$48.13	per \$100,000 Land Value	42,128
Class D	where the land is situated	\$32.09	per \$100,000 Land Value	21,170
Class E	where the land is situated	\$4.81	per \$100,000 Land Value	9,404
Class F	where the land is situated	\$12.03	per \$100,000 Land Value	13,305
Class U3	where the land is situated	\$16.04	per \$100,000 Land Value	72,613
Class U4	where the land is situated	\$16.04	per \$100,000 Land Value	39,133
Class A (Selwyn)	where the land is situated	\$77.77	per \$100,000 Land Value	16,060
Class B	where the land is situated	\$66.10	per \$100,000 Land Value	201,609
Class C	where the land is situated	\$46.66	per \$100,000 Land Value	119,277
Class D	where the land is situated	\$31.11	per \$100,000 Land Value	20,080
Class E	where the land is situated	\$4.67	per \$100,000 Land Value	29,867
Class F	where the land is situated	\$11.67	per \$100,000 Land Value	12,486
Class U1	where the land is situated	\$77.77	per \$100,000 Land Value	36,266
Class U2	where the land is situated	\$15.55	per \$100,000 Land Value	9,699

av) That a Halswell Earthquake Recovery Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially
for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Halswell Earthquake Recovery District				
Class B (Christchurch)	where the land is situated	\$3.97	per \$100,000 Land Value	9,463
Class C	where the land is situated	\$2.80	per \$100,000 Land Value	2,451
Class D	where the land is situated	\$1.87	per \$100,000 Land Value	1,232
Class E	where the land is situated	\$0.28	per \$100,000 Land Value	547
Class F	where the land is situated	\$0.70	per \$100,000 Land Value	774
Class U3	where the land is situated	\$0.93	per \$100,000 Land Value	4,225
Class U4	where the land is situated	\$0.93	per \$100,000 Land Value	2,277
Class A (Selwyn)	where the land is situated	\$4.53	per \$100,000 Land Value	935

Class B	where the land is situated	\$3.85	per \$100,000 Land Value	11,732
Class C	where the land is situated	\$2.72	per \$100,000 Land Value	6,941
Class D	where the land is situated	\$1.81	per \$100,000 Land Value	1,168
Class E	where the land is situated	\$0.27	per \$100,000 Land Value	1,738
Class F	where the land is situated	\$0.68	per \$100,000 Land Value	727
Class U1	where the land is situated	\$4.53	per \$100,000 Land Value	2,110
Class U2	where the land is situated	\$0.91	per \$100,000 Land Value	564

aw) That a North Rakaia River Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
North Rakaia River Rating District				
Class A	where the land is situated	\$249.78	per \$100,000 Land Value	102,558

ax) That a Rangitata River Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Rangitata River Rating District				
Class A	where the land is situated	\$85.25	per \$100,000 Land Value	159,887
Class B	where the land is situated	\$51.15	per \$100,000 Land Value	40,799
Class C	where the land is situated	\$34.10	per \$100,000 Land Value	14,381
Class D	where the land is situated	\$17.05	per \$100,000 Land Value	4,861
Class AA	where the land is situated	\$1,014.44	per \$100,000 Land Value	4,900

ay) That a Staveley Storm Channel Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Staveley Storm Channel Rating District				
Class A	where the land is situated	\$3.01	per \$100,000 Land Value	273
Class B	where the land is situated	\$2.40	per \$100,000 Land Value	167
Class C	where the land is situated	\$0.90	per \$100,000 Land Value	65

az) That an Upper Chatterton & Hanmer West Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Upper Chatterton & Hanmer West Rating District				
Class A	where the land is situated	\$36.55	per \$100,000 Land Value	2,345
Class B	where the land is situated	\$23.50	per \$100,000 Land Value	1,359

Class C	where the land is situated	\$15.67	per \$100,000 Land Value	3,561
Class D	where the land is situated	\$44.38	per \$100,000 Land Value	15,499
Class U	where the land is situated	\$71.80	per \$100,000 Land Value	7,031

ba) That a Makikihi River Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Makikihi River Rating District				
Class A	where the land is situated	\$75.21	per \$100,000 Land Value	1,331
Class B	where the land is situated	\$30.08	per \$100,000 Land Value	101
Class C	where the land is situated	\$7.52	per \$100,000 Land Value	33

bb) That a Dry Creek Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Dry Creek Rating District				
Class A	where the land is situated	\$37.39	per \$100,000 Land Value	5,867
Class B	where the land is situated	\$26.17	per \$100,000 Land Value	4,761
Class C	where the land is situated	\$5.61	per \$100,000 Land Value	1,011

bc) That a Lower Pahau River Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Lower Pahau River Rating District				
Class A	where the land is situated	\$314.56	per \$100,000 Land Value	10,004

bd) That a Waihao-Wainono Flood & Drainage Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially for different categories of land at a rate in the dollar as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Waihao-Wainono Flood & Drainage District				
Class A	where the land is situated	\$120.05	per \$100,000 Land Value	107,479
Class B	where the land is situated	\$15.61	per \$100,000 Land Value	9,268

be) That a Lower Hurunui Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially at a rate for different categories of land at a rate per hectare of land as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Lower Hurunui Rating District				
Class A	where the land is situated	\$0.00	per Hectare of Land	0

bf) That a Lower Flats Groyne Waiau Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially at a rate for different categories of land at a rate per hectare of land as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Lower Flats Groyne Waiau Rating District				
Class A	where the land is situated	\$10.96	per Hectare of Land	3,150

bg) That a Lyndon Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially at a rate for different categories of land at a rate per hectare of land as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Lyndon Rating District				
Class A	where the land is situated	\$24.34	per Hectare of Land	438
Class B	where the land is situated	\$14.60	per Hectare of Land	657
Class C	where the land is situated	\$10.71	per Hectare of Land	60

bh) That a Waiau River Spotswood Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially at a rate for different categories of land at a rate per hectare of land as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Waiau River Spotswood Rating District				
Class A	where the land is situated	\$16.51	per Hectare of Land	3,961
Class B	where the land is situated	\$14.86	per Hectare of Land	394

bi) That a Pahau River Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially at a rate for different categories of land at a rate per hectare of land as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Pahau River Rating District				
Class A	where the land is situated	\$0.38	per Hectare of Land	
Class B	where the land is situated	\$0.35	per Hectare of Land	
Class C	where the land is situated	\$0.23	per Hectare of Land	
Class D	where the land is situated	\$0.14	per Hectare of Land	
Class E	where the land is situated	\$0.14	per Hectare of Land	

bj) That a Lower Rakaia River Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially at a rate for different categories of land at a rate per hectare of land as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Lower Rakaia River Rating District				
Class A (Ashburton)	where the land is situated	\$273.82	per Hectare of Land	4,072
Class B	where the land is situated	\$13.69	per Hectare of Land	10,664
Class C	where the land is situated	\$10.95	per Hectare of Land	4,418
Class D	where the land is situated	\$8.21	per Hectare of Land	4,634
Class E	where the land is situated	\$5.48	per Hectare of Land	1,125
Class B (Selwyn)	where the land is situated	\$13.69	per Hectare of Land	21,183
Class C	where the land is situated	\$10.95	per Hectare of Land	3,625
Class D	where the land is situated	\$8.21	per Hectare of Land	996
Class E	where the land is situated	\$5.48	per Hectare of Land	3,534
Class F	where the land is situated	\$2.74	per Hectare of Land	246

bk) That an Ashburton-Hinds Drainage Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially at a rate for different categories of land at a rate per hectare of land as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Ashburton-Hinds Drainage District				
Class A	where the land is situated	\$9.01	per Hectare of Land	126,066
Class B	where the land is situated	\$6.31	per Hectare of Land	29,399
Class C	where the land is situated	\$4.51	per Hectare of Land	27,849
Class D	where the land is situated	\$2.70	per Hectare of Land	4,322
Class E	where the land is situated	\$1.80	per Hectare of Land	6,377
Class F	where the land is situated	\$0.90	per Hectare of Land	4,467
Class U1	where the land is situated	\$36.09	per Hectare of Land	6,688

b) That a Seadown Drainage Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially at a rate for different categories of land at a rate per hectare of land as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Seadown Drainage District				
Class A	where the land is situated	\$48.00	per Hectare of Land	18,124
Class B	where the land is situated	\$28.80	per Hectare of Land	13,232

Class C	where the land is situated	\$9.60	per Hectare of Land	5,164
Class D	where the land is situated	\$4.80	per Hectare of Land	431

bm) That an Otaio River Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially at a rate for different categories of land at a rate per hectare of land as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Otaio River Rating District				
Class A	where the land is situated	\$78.14	per Hectare of Land	27,730
Class B	where the land is situated	\$31.26	per Hectare of Land	3,729

bn) That a Kaikoura Drainage Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially at a rate for different categories of land at a rate per hectare of land as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Kaikoura Drainage District				
Class A	where the land is situated	\$32.35	per Hectare of Land	42,229
Class B	where the land is situated	\$16.18	per Hectare of Land	1,011
Class C	where the land is situated	\$9.71	per Hectare of Land	809

bo) That a Cleardale Catchment Works targeted rate be set differentially at a rate for different categories of land at a rate per hectare of land as set out in the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Cleardale Rating District				
Class A	where the land is situated	\$0.84	per Hectare of Land	970
Class B	where the land is situated	\$0.05	per Hectare of Land	84
Class C	where the land is situated	\$0.03	per Hectare of Land	135
Class D	where the land is situated	\$0.06	per Hectare of Land	181
Class E	where the land is situated	\$0.01	per Hectare of Land	119
Class F	where the land is situated	\$0.00	per Hectare of Land	7

bp) That a Buttericks Road Drainage Catchment Works targeted rate be set

per the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Buttericks Road Drainage District				
Class A (prorated)	where the land is situated	\$4.43	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	443

bq) That a Chertsey Road Drainage Catchment Works targeted rate be set
per the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Chertsey Road Drainage District				
Class A (prorated)	where the land is situated	\$4.68	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	468

br) That a Green Street Creek Drainage Catchment Works targeted rate be set
per the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Green Street Creek Drainage District				
Class A (prorated)	where the land is situated	\$0.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	0

bs) That a Lower Makikihi River Catchment Works targeted rate be set
per the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Lower Makikihi River Rating District				
Class A (prorated)	where the land is situated	\$16.12	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	1,612

bt) That an Esk Valley Catchment Works targeted rate be set
per the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Esk Valley Rating District				
Class A (prorated)	where the land is situated	\$6.73	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	673

bu) That a Mount Harding Creek Catchment Works targeted rate be set per the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Mount Harding Creek Rating District				
Class A (prorated)	where the land is situated	\$22.50	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	2,250

bv) That an Omarama Stream Catchment Works targeted rate be set per the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Omarama Stream Rating District				
Class A (prorated)	where the land is situated	\$0.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	0

bw) That a Penticotico River Catchment Works targeted rate be set per the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Penticotico River Rating District				
Class A (prorated)	where the land is situated	\$61.28	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	6,128

bx) That a Seadown Road Drain Catchment Works targeted rate be set per the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Seadown Road Drain Rating District				
Class A (prorated)	where the land is situated	\$0.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	0

by) That a Twizel River Catchment Works targeted rate be set per the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Twizel River Rating District				
Class A (prorated)	where the land is situated	\$0.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	0

bz) That a Rakaia Double Hill Catchment Works targeted rate be set per the table below:

TYPE OF RATE CATEGORIES OF RATEABLE LAND Subcategorised as the following targeted rates:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CATEGORIES	RATE	CALCULATION BASIS	2019/20 REVENUE SOUGHT \$
Targeted Differential Catchment Works Rate				
Rakaia Double Hill Rating District				
Class A (prorated)	where the land is situated	\$0.00	the extent of provision of service to the rating unit	0

ca) That in the 2019/20 financial year the tentative rates be due in instalments as set out in the table below:

Territorial Authority	Instalment No.1	Instalment No.2	Instalment No.3	Instalment No.4
Kaikoura	20-Sep-19	20-Dec-19	20-Mar-20	20-Jun-20
Hurunui	20-Aug-19	20-Nov-19	20-Feb-20	20-May-20
Waimakariri	20-Aug-19	20-Nov-19	20-Feb-20	20-May-20
Christchurch - Area 1	15-Aug-19	15-Nov-19	15-Feb-20	15-May-20
Christchurch - Area 2	15-Sep-19	15-Dec-19	15-Mar-20	15-Jun-20
Christchurch - Area 3	31-Aug-19	30-Nov-19	28-Feb-20	31-May-20
Selwyn	15-Sep-19	15-Dec-19	15-Mar-20	15-Jun-20
Ashburton	20-Aug-19	20-Nov-19	20-Feb-20	20-May-20

Timaru	20-Sep-19	20-Dec-19	20-Mar-20	22-Jun-20
Waimate	30-Aug-19	29-Nov-19	28-Feb-20	29-May-20
MacKenzie	20-Sep-19	20-Dec-19	20-Mar-20	20-Jun-20
Waitaki	31-Jan-20			

cb) That further penalties of 10% may be added to any portion of rates assessed in the 2019/20 financial year that are not paid by or near the due date as set out in the table below.

Territorial Authority	Instalment No.1	Instalment No.2	Instalment No.3	Instalment No.4
Kaikoura	21-Sep-19	21-Dec-19	21-Mar-20	21-Jun-20
Hurunui	21-Aug-19	21-Nov-19	21-Feb-20	21-May-20
Waimakariri	27-Aug-19	27-Nov-19	27-Feb-20	27-May-20
Christchurch - Area 1	20-Aug-19	21-Nov-19	20-Feb-20	20-May-20
Christchurch - Area 2	19-Sep-19	19-Dec-19	19-Mar-20	18-Jun-20
Christchurch - Area 3	5-Sep-19	5-Dec-19	4-Mar-20	5-Jun-20
Selwyn	16-Sep-19	16-Dec-19	16-Mar-20	16-Jun-20
Ashburton	21-Aug-19	21-Nov-19	21-Feb-20	21-May-20
Timaru	25-Sep-19	24-Dec-19	24-Mar-20	24-Jun-20
Waimate	2-Sep-19	2-Dec-19	2-Mar-20	1-Jun-20
MacKenzie	22-Sep-19	22-Dec-19	22-Mar-20	22-Jun-20
Waitaki	1-Feb-20			

cc) That penalties of 10% may be added to the balance of rates levied in any previous financial year, including any additional charges previously imposed which remain unpaid, and an additional 10% may continue thereafter to all arrears and additional charges that remain unpaid (with the exception of current instalments) at six monthly intervals, by the date fixed for that purpose by the territorial authority collecting rates on behalf of the Canterbury Regional Council for that district.

Territorial Authority	Additional Penalty Dates	Further Additional Penalties
Kaikoura	prior years o/s 20/07 following	then 20/01 following
Hurunui	prior years o/s 1/7 following	then 1/01 following
Waimakariri	prior years o/s 1/7 following	then 1/01 following
Christchurch - Area 1	prior years o/s 1/10 following	then 1/04 following
Christchurch - Area 2	prior years o/s 1/10 following	then 1/04 following
Christchurch - Area 3	prior years o/s 1/10 following	then 1/04 following
Selwyn	prior years o/s 1/7 following	
Ashburton	prior years o/s 31/8 following	continuing annually
Timaru	prior years o/s 21/09 following	then 22/03 following
Waimate	prior years o/s 1/1 following	continuing at 6 mth intervals
MacKenzie	prior years o/s 1/7 following	then 1/01 following
Waitaki	prior years o/s 1/7 following	then 1/01 following

cd) That the discount for the early payment of rates be set in accordance with the policy of the territorial authority collecting the rates on behalf of the Canterbury Regional Council.

ce) That the Rates are to be paid at the venues determined by the territorial authorities collecting the rates on behalf of Canterbury Regional Council and to be paid by the methods determined by the territorial authorities collecting the rates on behalf of Canterbury Regional Council.

cf) The following schemes have Targeted Catchment Rates applied by Canterbury Regional Council.

Group No.1	Buttericks Rd	Omarama Stream	Lower Makikihi River
	Chertsey Rd	Penticotico River	Mount Harding Creek
	Esk Valley	Seadown Rd Drain	Twizel River
	Green Street Creek		

Group No.2 Rakaia Double Hill

Regional Authority Due Date

All Targeted Rates issued directly by Canterbury Regional Council shall be due and payable

	Group No.1	Group No.2
On the following dates	20-Nov-19	20-Feb-20

cg) That further penalties of 10% may be added to any portion of rates assessed in the 2019/20 financial year that are not paid by or near the due date as set out in the table below.

Regional Authority Penalty Date

All Targeted Rates issued directly by Canterbury Regional Council and outstanding

	Group No.1	Group No.2
On the following dates	21-Nov-19	21-Feb-20

ch) That penalties of 10% may be added to the balance of rates levied in any previous financial year, including any additional charges previously imposed which remain unpaid, and an additional 10% may continue thereafter to all arrears and additional charges that remain unpaid (with the exception of current instalments) at six monthly intervals, by the date fixed for that purpose by Canterbury Regional Council for that group.

Regional Authority	Additional Penalty Dates	Further Additional Penalties
Canterbury Regional Council	prior years o/s 1/7 following	then 1/01 following

7.3. Enforcement Policy

Council report

Date of meeting	20 June 2019
Author	Valyn Barrett
Responsible Director	Nadeine Dommissie

Purpose

1. To seek endorsement from Council on a revised Enforcement Policy. This was a recommended outcome of the Compliance Monitoring Internal Audit Findings, undertaken in 2018.
2. The document provides our staff with guidance and informs stakeholders on how we manage enforcement activities.

Recommendations

That the Council:

- 1. approves the Enforcement Policy, as a recommendation of the Compliance Monitoring Audit Program 2018**

Key points

3. The Enforcement Policy was a recommendation from the Compliance Monitoring Audit Program. The document has been reviewed by Rob Dragten (who undertook this independent audit).
4. This policy updates a pre-existing policy and will bring us into alignment with the majority of other Regional Councils in terms of consistency.
5. The policy aligns with our Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement (CME) suite of procedures, policies and guidelines in giving clarity around how these activities are managed by Environment Canterbury.

Background

6. A Compliance Monitoring Audit Program was undertaken to review existing processes and documentation and highlight any potential gaps that may create organisational risk.
7. Overall, the audit found that the Council undertakes an appropriate range of compliance activities which are well targeted to consents of the highest risk. The compliance activities are well supported by excellent prioritisation methods, and good

documentation and systems. The Council has a culture of continuous improvement, and prior to the commencement of this audit, had a number of initiatives underway or planned to continue to strengthen its CME function. The audit provided a number of recommendations, all of which have been completed including ongoing changes to business as usual activities, such as a compliance monitoring peer review process.

8. A recommendation from this review was made to ‘consider publishing a compliance and enforcement policy to clarify how the Council will use compliance and enforcement tools to achieve its objectives.’ This Enforcement Policy delivers on this recommendation.

Financial implications

9. This work is being completed within existing CME budgets.

Risk assessment and legal compliance

10. This documentation refresh was focused on reducing risk associated with CME functions through establishing clear organisational guidance and direction for CME activities. We believe these risks have been addressed through the delivery of the outcomes of this review including the Enforcement Policy document.

Next steps

11. If endorsed the Enforcement Policy document will be implemented into the suite of CME documentation on our internal and external websites.

Attachments

Enforcement Policy

Legal review	Catherine Schache
Peer reviewers	Nick Daniels

Draft Environment Canterbury Enforcement Policy

Introduction

Local government in New Zealand is responsible for ensuring compliance with a variety of laws and regulations that are aimed at achieving positive community and environmental outcomes.

Environment Canterbury (The Canterbury Regional Council) has a key role in facilitating sustainable management in the Canterbury region while meeting a number of legislative obligations relating to the implementation of a number of Acts and Regulations relevant to this facilitation. The majority of Environment Canterbury enforcement work relates to the Resource Management Act (RMA) and this is the primary focus of this Policy. Notwithstanding this the principles underpinning this Policy may be applied to other areas of enforcement, e.g. the Biosecurity Act 1993 and the navigational safety provisions of the Maritime Transport Act 1994.

Environment Canterbury meets this role by managing resource use through plans, resource consents and a range of other statutory instruments and regulations.

Complying with these regulations and requirements is everyone's responsibility.

Environment Canterbury's approach to compliance is to work with individuals, industry and the community to achieve voluntary compliance wherever possible and to take enforcement action when voluntary compliance is not achieved.

In Canterbury the delivery of RMA enforcement activities is undertaken within a wider strategic context set out through the Long Term Plan and regional strategies such as the Canterbury Water Management Strategy, Air Strategy and Zone Implementation Programmes.

This Enforcement Policy sets out how Environment Canterbury will investigate non-compliance and, where appropriate, take enforcement action under the relevant regulations.

Purpose

The purpose of this policy document is to:

- Outline Environment Canterbury's approach to enforcement activities within the wider Canterbury strategic approach;
- Inform the general community as to the Council's approach to dealing with non-compliance;
- Provide guidelines for Environment Canterbury staff on the delivery of enforcement functions;
- Ensure a consistent and integrated approach to enforcement in Canterbury.

Conflicts of Interest

Environment Canterbury will carry out its enforcement functions in accordance with the Conflict of Interest Policy.

This policy provides guidance for staff as to where a Conflict of Interest may arise and a mechanism for ensuring that any actual or potential Conflict of Interest is disclosed and managed appropriately.

Response to Non-Compliance

Achieving environmental and community outcomes is a shared responsibility between Government, resource users, industry and community. Our approach to non-compliance is to work with individuals, landowners and industry towards voluntary compliance and where needed, apply escalating interventions to address non-compliance, achieve behaviour change or provide deterrence.

Environment Canterbury operates across the full regulatory spectrum which has the key components of engagement, education, enabling and enforcement.

Engagement – This includes consulting with resource users, stakeholders and community on matters that may affect them. This will promote greater understanding of the challenges and constraints, engender support and identify opportunities to work with others. Key to this are relationships and communication until final outcomes have been reached.

Education – For those who are unaware of the rules and regulations or need reminding of their obligations. Education is also used to inform community and stakeholders about what the expectations are for regulations so that there is a good understanding about what is compliant and how this links to achieving outcomes.

Enabling – Providing opportunities for resource users to be informed regarding industry best practice and the help and assistance that is available to them. This includes linking people with industry advisors who can provide such assistance.

Enforcement – When breaches of regulation or non-compliance are identified, various enforcement tools are used to bring about positive behaviour change, obtain necessary action or provide deterrence through appropriate penalties. Enforcement outcomes should be proportional to the seriousness of the adverse environmental effect, the individual circumstances of the breach, culpability of the party and the significance to the community.

These are not exclusive of each other but operate together and may be provided by diverse parts of the organisation. This policy covers the Council's enforcement activities.

Principles

Environment Canterbury will undertake its enforcement responsibilities in a manner that is consistent with the following principles:

- **Transparent** – We will provide clear information and explanation to the community on the standards and requirements for compliance. We will ensure that the community has access to information about the impacts of poor performance and the actions taken by us to address issues and non-compliance.
- **Risk based and prioritised** – We will focus on the most important issues and problems to achieve the best outcomes. We will target our regulatory interventions at poor performers and illegal activities that pose the greatest risk to the environment and the communities impacted by poor performance.
- **Consistency of process** – Our actions will be consistent with the legislation and within our powers. We will ensure that our staff have the necessary skills and are appropriately trained, and that there are effective systems and policies in place to support them.
- **Fair, reasonable and proportional approach** – We will apply a range of regulatory interventions and actions appropriate to the situation. This could range from educating users, promoting and encouraging compliance, using enforcement tools to obtain necessary action or providing deterrence through appropriate penalties.
- **Evidence based, informed** – We will use an evidence-based approach to our decision-making.
- **Lawful, ethical and accountable** – We will conduct ourselves lawfully and impartially and in accordance with these principles and relevant policies and guidance.
- **Collaborative** – We will work with all relevant Parties to ensure the best compliance outcome for our region.
- **Responsive and effective** – We will use a range of statutory and non-statutory interventions and action to achieve outcomes.
- **Integrated work programmes** – We will integrate national, regional and zone priorities to deliver on agreed zone and community-wide outcomes.
- **Communication** – We will communicate with all relevant parties to ensure that there is full understanding of Environment Canterbury’s responsibilities and potential responses; and to assist all parties to understand their responsibilities and what constitutes a non-compliance or a breach.

The Enforcement Pathway

This section of the policy outlines the enforcement pathway expected to be undertaken from the discovery of a breach through to the decision to take enforcement action. This section details the specific steps involved for breaches of the RMA. However, the principles below will also be considered for other areas of Environment Canterbury regulatory enforcement.

1. Gathering Information (Investigation)

If a breach or a potential breach of a regulation occurs, then information and evidence must be gathered to establish the truth of what has occurred and to enable informed decisions to be made. The depth and scope of the investigation will be dependent on the seriousness of the incident.

An investigation may entail:

- entry onto private property to gather information and evidence such as samples, photographs and ecological or geological surveys.
- speaking to witnesses and the liable parties, and
- the recording, either in written form or electronically, of detailed witness statements, and the interview of liable parties under a formal caution.

When entering private property Council officers must ensure that they respect the rights of liable parties and lawful occupiers and that their entry onto private property is lawful¹.

Undertaking a comprehensive investigation ensures that we have the right information to be able to make an appropriate decision about how the Council should best respond to non-compliance.

2. Enforcement Decision Making

The RMA provides potentially large penalties for those who breach but does not offer any guidance as to determining what is serious and what is less so. The Courts have provided helpful guidelines as to what factors are appropriate to consider in RMA cases to determine the seriousness of a breach. It is widely accepted across the regional sector that these are the appropriate factors to consider in enforcement decision making and Environment Canterbury has adopted them as a standard.

Factors considered by Environment Canterbury when contemplating enforcement action:

- What were, or are the actual adverse effects on the environment?
- What were, or are, the potential adverse effects on the environment?
- What is the value or sensitivity of the receiving environment or area affected?
- What is the toxicity of the discharge?
- Was the breach as a result of deliberate, negligent or careless action?
- What degree of due care was taken and how foreseeable was the incident?
- What efforts have been made to remedy or mitigate the adverse effects?
- What has been the effectiveness of those efforts?

¹ Under Section 38 of the RMA Environment Canterbury officers may issue warrants to their officers which gives them legal authority to assess compliance with environmental regulations. However, these entry powers have some limitations. In some circumstances the Council might need to obtain a search warrant to gather evidence from private property.

- Was there any profit or benefit gained by the alleged offender(s)?
- Was there a failure to act on prior instructions, advice or notice?
- Is there a degree of specific deterrence required in relation to the alleged offender(s)?
- Is there a need for a wider general deterrence required in respect of this activity or industry?
- Was the receiving environment of particular significance to iwi?
- How does the unlawful activity align with the purpose and principles of the RMA?

Not every factor will be relevant on every occasion and one single factor may be sufficiently aggravating or mitigating such that it may influence the ultimate decision.

Each case is unique, and the individual circumstances need to be considered on each occasion to achieve a fair and reasonable outcome. Notwithstanding this, Environment Canterbury may proceed directly to enforcement action, including prosecution, where the circumstances support this.

The decision as to the appropriate level of enforcement is arrived at by consultation between enforcement officers and their managers, with recommendations for action that might include an infringement or prosecution being referred to an Enforcement Decision Panel (EDP) comprising three Environment Canterbury managers drawn from the compliance sector.

Recommendations for this level of enforcement action are presented to this panel by Environment Canterbury investigators, Incident Response officers and Resource Management officers.

Recommendations for enforcement action other than prosecution are decided by the EDP. Recommendations for prosecution are initially considered by the EDP. If the EDP finds that prosecution is warranted, the matter is forwarded for a legal review.

3. Legal Review

Once the EDP has determined that prosecution is the most appropriate enforcement response, the matter is referred for a legal review. The legal review applies two tests, being the evidential test and the public interest test respectively, as outlined in the Solicitor General's Guidelines. (Appendix 1). The legal review may be undertaken by Environment Canterbury in-house legal counsel, the Crown Solicitor or an independent law firm.

4. Chief Executive Officer Sign-off

The Chief Executive Officer of Environment Canterbury has the delegated authority to make the decisions for the Council to prosecute. The Chief Executive Officer will

consider this policy, the recommendations of the EDP and the findings of the independent legal review in making their decision.

5. Enforcement Options

Enforcement can be categorised into two main types; Directive and Punitive.

Directive actions are about looking forward and giving direction and righting the wrong.

Punitive actions are about looking back and holding people accountable for what they have done.

Directive Actions include:

Notice of Non-Compliance: A Notice of Non-Compliance is used in a minor to moderate situation with a cooperative, motivated party. It is designed to prevent further breaches, or to remedy or mitigate the effects of non-compliance. Normally the notice will give timelines and what action should be taken or ceased. Such a notice is not legally enforceable. It may however be taken into account in future decision making should the matter not be resolved within the timeframes given.

Abatement Notice: An abatement notice is a formal, written directive. The form, content and scope of an abatement notice are prescribed in the RMA. It is written by a warranted officer and will instruct an individual or company to cease an activity, prohibit them from commencing an activity or requiring them to take action. An abatement notice is legally enforceable and failure to comply with an abatement notice is an offence in itself that may attract punitive action.

An abatement notice may be appropriate any time there is a risk of further breaches of environmental regulation, or when remediation or mitigation is required as a result of non-compliance, however is an enforcement action generally taken before punitive actions.

Enforcement Order: An enforcement order is similar in some respects to an abatement notice as it can direct an individual or company to take the same actions contained in the abatement notice. However, an enforcement order is granted by the Environment Court, so the options can be far in excess of the scope of an abatement notice. An enforcement order is legally enforceable.

An application can be made to recover all reasonable cost of an enforcement order from the offending party.

Enforcement orders can be sought as an individual action or as part of sentencing after being found guilty of an offence. Environment Canterbury will normally seek an

enforcement order as part of sentencing in order to ensure remediation, mitigation or to minimise the risk of further breaches.

Where there is imminent risk of irreparable environmental damage, an Interim Enforcement Order may be made in order to mitigate this risk in a timely manner.

Punitive Actions include:

Formal Warning: A formal warning is a written warning to a person or company that has committed an offence. No further action will be taken in respect of the breach, but it will form part of the history of non-compliance. Normally a formal warning will be given where an administrative, minor or technical breach has occurred; where the environmental effect is minor or trivial in nature; the subject does not have a history of non-compliance; the matter is one which can be quickly and simply put right, or where a written warning would be appropriate in the circumstances.

Infringement Notice: An infringement notice can be issued to an individual or company that has committed an RMA offence. The infringement fine varies from \$300 to \$1000 depending on the offence and if not paid in certain timeframes will be sent to the Ministry of Justice for fine collection (where further fees are likely to be added). Payment of the fine does not lead to a criminal conviction.

Infringements can be appealed. Information on how to make an appeal is found on the back of each infringement notice and can be explained by staff.

Prosecution: A prosecution is a process for taking a breach through the criminal Courts. The ultimate decision to prosecute is made by the Chief Executive Officer of Environment Canterbury based on the recommendations and advice of investigative and legal staff.

The matter is presided over by a District Court judge who specialises in Environment Court matters. The hearing is held in the District Court.

All criminal evidential rules and standards must be met in an RMA prosecution.

People or companies who face prosecution will be served with a summons, which will provide information regarding dates and location of the court hearing.

Most RMA offences carry a penalty of up to two years imprisonment or a fine not exceeding \$300,000 for an individual, and a fine not exceeding \$600,000 for a company.

Some prosecutions may qualify for consideration for Alternative Environmental Justice; a scheme administered by Environment Canterbury whereby restorative environmental outcomes are negotiated at a Conference attended by the offending party, Council staff and the community under the guidance of an independent

facilitator. As per the Environment Canterbury Guidelines for implementing Alternative Environmental Justice.

Appendix 1

Solicitor-General's Prosecution Guidelines (2013)

The Council will adhere to the standards of good criminal prosecution practice expressed in the Solicitor-General's prosecution Guidelines (2103). The Solicitor-General's Prosecution Guidelines and the Media Protocol for Prosecutors (Crown Law 2013), while not binding on local authorities, represent best practice.

The Solicitor-General's Prosecution Guidelines is a comprehensive 31-page document providing a detailed guide to evidential and public interest considerations, and the list below is illustrative only and not a comprehensive list of the matters to be considered. Matters will vary in each case according to the particular facts.

Under the Solicitor-General's Prosecution Guidelines a prosecution is more likely if:

- A conviction is likely to result in a significant sentence;
- The offence caused significant harm or created a risk of significant harm;
- The offence was committed against a person serving the public e.g. a police officer or a Council officer;
- The individual was in a position of authority or trust;
- The evidence shows that the individual was a ringleader or an organiser of the offence;
- There is evidence that the offence was premeditated;
- There is evidence that the offence was carried out by a group;
- The victim of the offence was vulnerable, has been put in considerable fear, or suffered personal attack, damage or disturbance;
- The offence was committed in the presence of, or in close proximity to, a child;
- There is an element of corruption;
- The individual's previous convictions or cautions are relevant to the present offence;
- There are grounds for believing that the offence is likely to be continued or repeated for example by a history of recurring conduct;
- The offence, although not serious in itself, is widespread in the area where it was committed;
- A prosecution would have a significant positive impact on maintaining community confidence;
- The individual is alleged to have committed the offence while subject to an order of the court;
- A confiscation or some other order is required, and a conviction is a pre-requisite.

Under the Solicitor-General's Prosecution Guidelines a prosecution is less likely if:

- The court is likely to impose a nominal penalty;
- The individual has already been made the subject of a sentence and any further conviction would be unlikely to result in the imposition of an additional sentence or order;
- The offence was committed as a result of a genuine mistake or misunderstanding (these factors must be balanced against the seriousness of the offence);
- The loss or harm can be described as minor and was the result of a single incident, particularly if it was caused by a misjudgement;
- There has been a long delay between the offence taking place and the date of the trial, unless: the offence is serious, the delay has been caused in part by the individual, the offence has only recently come to light, or the complexity of the offence has meant that there has been a long investigation;
- A prosecution is likely to have a bad effect on the physical or mental health of a victim or witness, always bearing in mind the seriousness of the offence;
- The individual is elderly or very young or is, or was at the time of the offence, suffering from significant mental or physical ill health, unless the offence was serious or there is real possibility that it may be repeated;
- The individual has put right the loss or harm that was caused (but individuals must not avoid prosecution or diversion solely because they pay compensation);
- Where other proper alternatives to prosecution are available (including disciplinary or other proceedings).

These considerations are not intended to be comprehensive or exhaustive. The public interest considerations that may properly be taken into account when deciding whether the public interest requires prosecution will vary from case to case.

7.4. Canterbury Water Management Strategy - Fit for Future

Council report

Date of meeting	20 June 2019
Author	Dann Olykan
Responsible Director	Katherine Trought

Purpose

1. to formally note the Mayoral Forum decision on the Canterbury Water Management Strategy (CWMS) Fit for Future Project.

Recommendations

That the Council:

notes the recommendations of the Canterbury Mayoral Forum on the CWMS Fit for Future Project, which the Forum resolved 24 May 2019 , in particular to:

- **note that the Canterbury Mayoral Forum has approved CWMS goals for 2025 and 2030;**
- **note that the Canterbury Mayoral Forum has asked Environment Canterbury to work with territorial authorities, Ngāi Tahu, industry and community partners to develop a regional work programme, with an implementation plan and monitoring framework to deliver the goals; and**
- **note that implementation to deliver the goals will have resource implications that councils will need to consider in adopting annual plans for 2020/21 and long-term plans for 2021–31.**

Key points

2. The CWMS Fit for Future project developed 2025 and 2030 goals and advice to the Mayoral Forum on implementation.
3. With the goals finalised, there is more work to do to engage partners in the development of a Regional Work Programme and to address key strategic matters.
4. All councils have been asked to formally note the decisions of the Canterbury Mayoral Forum.

Background

5. The CWMS Fit for Future project was started in June 2018. The purpose of the project was:
 - a) to develop 2025 and 2030 goals for the ten target areas of the CWMS; and

- b) provide advice to the Mayoral Forum on what is needed to support delivery of the strategy.
6. The CWMS Regional Committee was tasked by the Canterbury Mayoral Forum to lead the development of advice on these matters, supported by a Goals Working Group and six Task Groups representing a range of interests and perspectives.
 7. Two rounds of engagement with Zone Committees, rūnanga, community groups, sector groups, district health boards, central government, industry and other stakeholders were completed, as well as engagement with a youth focus group.
 8. Environment Canterbury acted as project manager, providing analytical and logistical support, managing stakeholder engagement, and working with a project steering group of territorial authorities.
 9. Final advice was taken to the Canterbury Mayoral Forum on 24 May 2019. At that meeting the Mayoral Forum resolved;
That the Canterbury Mayoral Forum:
 - 1) note that the Chief Executives Forum endorses the Regional Committee's recommendations on proposed CWMS goals for 2025 and 2030
 - 2) approve the proposed CWMS goals for 2025 and 2030
 - 3) agree that Environment Canterbury work with territorial authorities, Ngāi Tahu, industry and community partners to develop a regional work programme, with an implementation plan and monitoring framework to deliver the goals, and report progress to the Mayoral Forum by February 2020
 - 4) request member councils to:
 - note that the Canterbury Mayoral Forum has approved CWMS goals for 2025 and 2030
 - note that the Canterbury Mayoral Forum has asked Environment Canterbury to work with territorial authorities, Ngāi Tahu, industry and community partners to develop a regional work programme, with an implementation plan and monitoring framework to deliver the goals
 - note that implementation to deliver the goals will have resource implications that councils will need to consider in adopting annual plans for 2020/21 and long-term plans for 2021–31
 - 5) invite Mayors Damon Odey, Sam Broughton and Winton Dalley to lead public communications about Canterbury Mayoral Forum decisions on the goals following the meeting on 24 May 2019.

Project Outcomes

10. The CWMS Fit for Future project has concluded with 3 major outputs;
 1. The final set of proposed CWMS goals for 2025 and 2030.
 2. The beginnings of a Regional Work Programme (RWP) has emerged from the project.

3. A set of four Strategic Implementation Matters to be addressed alongside the Regional Work Programme including:
 - effective mechanisms for leadership and accountability
 - adequate resourcing and funding
 - regular monitoring, reporting and review of progress
 - a broad base of understanding and support for the CWMS.

11. Each of these Project Outcomes is outlined here;

Project Outcome 1: Draft Goals for 2025 and 2030

12. The final set of proposed CWMS goals for 2025 and 2030 has been agreed. An overview of the proposed direction of the goals for each of the ten CWMS target areas is set out in the following table.

Target area	Comment on proposed goals for 2025 and 2030
Environmental limits	Goals largely maintain the direction set in 2010 – with emphasis on review of limits and attention to how these will be achieved
Ecosystem health and biodiversity	Ambitious new goals are proposed to prompt change in this area
Natural character of braided rivers	Ambitious new goals are proposed to prompt change in this area
Kaitiakitanga	Goals represent a move away from process objectives, with a greater focus on desired outcomes
Drinking water	Goals are a mix of maintaining direction set in 2010 and a new goal relating to untreated community drinking water supplies
Recreational and amenity opportunities	Goals largely maintain the direction set in 2010, with inclusion of new goals relating to recreational water quality
Water-use efficiency	Goals largely maintain the direction set in 2010
Irrigated land area	Shift in emphasis, with more focus on reliable and efficient use of water than on irrigated land area (for which an indicative target was set for 2040)
Energy security and efficiency	Goals largely maintain the direction set in 2010
Indicators of regional and national economies	A range of more measurable goals is proposed to better reflect the links between water and economic resilience and incorporate externalities.

Project Outcome 2: Draft Work Programme

13. The beginnings of a Regional Work Programme provides a high-level view of what action is needed to progress the goals, subject to further discussion with contributing organisations.
14. The emerging Regional Work Programme includes a strong emphasis on the kaitiakitanga and ecosystem health and biodiversity target areas.
15. Further conversations with individual organisations will be required to agree the Regional Work Programme, confirm responsible agencies, fully scope and cost each area of work, and secure the necessary resources and funding (for example, through long-term plan processes). Consideration is also needed on how different elements of

the Regional Work Programme might be prioritised, and how strategic implementation matters can be integrated.

16. The Regional Work Programme will continue to evolve over time and be aligned to relevant policy or legislative changes at the national level such as the Three Waters Review and changes to the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management.
17. Environment Canterbury is willing to work with territorial authorities, Ngāi Tahu and key industry and community partners to develop the Regional Work Programme and an implementation plan. Further advice and a progress report be provided to the Mayoral Forum by February 2020.

Project Outcome 3: Strategic Implementation Matters

18. The Regional Committee considers that successful implementation of the CWMS requires attention across four Strategic Implementation Matters. In summary these are;

- 1) **Leadership and accountability** – The Mayoral Forum plays a key role in championing the CWMS and maintaining oversight of the strategy, supported by partners delivering on specific work programmes. Looking ahead to the next ten years, it will be important to ensure:

- participation of a broad range of interests across Canterbury, particularly the active involvement and support of Ngāi Tahu in water management processes
- co-ordinated action by a wide range of agencies and sectors across the CWMS target areas, including Environment Canterbury, territorial authorities and key industry and community partners – to do the right things at the right time, together
- effective mechanisms for oversight to ensure that commitments to action are being honoured and that action is having the desired impact
- support for the Zone Committees to shift their focus from planning and delivery through clear work programmes
- ongoing management support for the Mayoral Forum, to provide robust information and advice and ensure that CWMS processes are well co-ordinated.

Developing the regional work programme and developing an implementation plan in collaboration with partner organisations is an important first step.

- 2) **Resourcing and Funding** – ensuring that there is adequate planned and committed resourcing and funding to deliver on projects across all parties.
- 3) **Monitoring, reporting and review** – ensuring the strategy is on track through improved reporting and an enhanced monitoring framework.
- 4) **Understanding and support for the CWMS** – continuing to develop a broad base of understanding and support for the CWMS within the community.

Cost, compliance and communication

Financial implications

19. For Environment Canterbury, over the next six to 12 months, costs will primarily relate to staff time required to develop the Regional Work Programme, implementation plan and monitoring framework, and to socialise the 2025 and 2030 goals.
20. There will be financial implications associated with implementing the Regional Work Programme, once developed and agreed, over the next ten years – for councils, Ngāi Tahu and for key industry and community partners. Further work is required as part of implementation planning to fully scope and cost each work programme action, and to identify appropriate priorities, responsibilities, resources and funding. Funding decisions will be the responsibility of individual agencies (for example, through 2020/21 annual plans and subsequent long-term plan processes).

Risk assessment

21. Key risks and mitigations include:
 - lack of broad support for 2025 and 2030 goals – this risk has been mitigated by involving a wide range of interests and perspectives in the project. Some residual risk remains given limited engagement by some stakeholders. This will be managed by clearly communicating next steps to ensure the goals are delivered.
 - The Regional Work Programme is unable to be agreed or delivered due to lack of buy-in, competing priorities or lack of resources – this risk will be mitigated by considering deliverability as the work programme is developed, and by developing an implementation plan with partner agencies
 - national policy directives (such as changes to the National Policy Statement on Freshwater Management) have significant implications on the goals or work programme – this will be managed by regular communication with central government so agencies are aware of potential impacts, and ensuring the regional work programme remains a live document and can be adapted over time.

Engagement and communication

22. The project has involved two rounds of engagement with Zone Committees, rūnanga, community groups, sector groups, district health boards, central government, industry and other stakeholders during the goals development process, as well as engagement with a youth focus group.
23. A communications approach has been prepared, as requested by the Canterbury Mayoral Forum at its meeting on 1 February 2019. The advice sets out a communications approach, and key messages.

Next steps

24. Release of the Mayoral Forum decisions.
25. Each Council will be formally noting the decisions of the Canterbury Mayoral Forum – starting with this paper on 20 June 2019.
26. Develop the Regional Work Programme via discussions with individual organisations to prioritise the work programme, confirm responsible agencies, fully scope and cost each area of work and secure the necessary resources.
27. Environment Canterbury is willing to work with territorial authorities, Ngāi Tahu and key industry and community partners to develop the Regional Work Programme and an implementation plan. Further advice and a progress report be provided to the Mayoral Forum by February 2020.

Legal review	
Peer reviewers	Caroline Hart

8. Exclusion of the Public from Part of the Council Meeting

Council paper

Meeting Date	20 June 2019
Author	Louise McDonald, Senior Committee Advisor

Recommendations

That the public be excluded from the following part of the proceedings of this meeting, namely:

1. 6-monthly report to the Ministers

- The general subject of the matters to be considered while the public is excluded, the reason for passing this resolution and the specific grounds under section 48(1) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 for the passing of this resolution are as follows:

Item No.	Report	Reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter	Ground(s) under section 48(1) for the passing of this resolution
1	6-monthly report to the Ministers		Section 48(1)(a)

- This resolution is made in reliance on section 48(1) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the particular interest or interests protected by section 6 or section 7 of that Act which would be prejudiced by the holding of the whole or relevant part of the proceeding of the meeting in public are as follows:

Item No.	
1	Protect information which is subject to an obligation of confidence or which any person has been or could be compelled to provide under the authority of any enactment, where the making available of the information would be likely to prejudice the supply of similar information, or information should continue to be supplied. (Section 7(2)(c)(i))

- That appropriate officers remain to provide advice to the Council.**

9. Other Business

10. Notices of Motion

11. Questions

12. Next Meeting

13. Closing Karakia