

From: [Tim Lockwood](#)
To: [Environment Canterbury](#)
Subject: Annual Plan Submission
Date: Thursday, 14 March 2019 10:35:54 p.m.

Tim Lockwood just submitted the survey 'Draft Annual Plan 2019/20 Feedback' with the responses below.

Draft 2019/20 Annual Plan Feedback

The work of Environment Canterbury is reported on under six portfolios as outlined in the draft Annual Plan document 2019/20. Our website outlines many of the areas we work in, in more detail. Please give your feedback on the activity planned in the comments section under each portfolio and tell us what you support/don't support about the planned activity.

I generally support the plan for Regional Leadership

Yes

Please provide any comments below

support the words that have been written in this draft plan and the strap line of robust regulatory process with support for well informed decision makers. However there seems to be a serious lack of courage to be leaders and follow through with the sentiment of the plan for regional leadership currently. Well informed decision makers would not allow the resource consent, for Fonterra to burn obscene amounts of coal, to continue in the face of overwhelming evidence that it is a direct cause of climate change. A leader would challenge them and help them achieve a better solution for their business and our region. ECAN leadership is not being robust by passing the can to central government, they should challenge central government (in the courts if required) on issues such as discharge of greenhouse gasses bring mitigation and not to be considered in consents. Your hands are not tied, make them accountable, if you are to be leaders.

I generally support the plan for Freshwater Management

Yes

Please provide any comments below

question the effectiveness of a Non-plan plan that have been put forward. There was an effort to put across the work that is being done unfortunately the wording is something from a Monty python sketch; A sub committee to cover the report endorsed by the main committee that was put forward by the form for reporting efforts which has been reviewed for its effectiveness and will take another year to complete with \$50K thank you very much.... endless committee's are not solving the problems and are in fact stalling any effective work. We are now having to look for rivers that are safe to swim in. Why is this now becoming the 'new norm'. Why are their adverts for people to check the website regularly for up to date information on where is safe to swim? It means you have failed to live up to your very middle of the road standards to date, and impending lack of innovation & seemingly leadership shall continue.

I generally support the plan for Biodiversity and Biosecurity

Yes

Please provide any comments below

Work in this area has been directly effected by the freshwater work and they must work hand in hand to protect what we have and encourage natural restoration (as much as is realistically possible) with then effective & concentrated management where required. Focused areas rather than a little here and a little there, such as the banks peninsular pest free zone (which is commendable), is in my opinion the way forward. As much as we must embrace the ‘tech’ we must also recognise the simplistic crop rotation systems, strip field farming and other older techniques that worked so well for so long in many areas of the world, for centuries rather than decades, and without the detrimental effect to the ecosystem. You will not require to spend hundreds of thousands on R&D of this kind of work as it has already been done. This is inachivable for land owners without subsidies for initial support though.

I generally support the plan for Hazards, Risk and Resilience

Yes

Please provide any comments below

There is only one thing to say here and that is stop taking a strategic approach and take that strong & collaborative response to central government. Refuse to ignore greenhouse gas emissions effect on climate change when issuing consents.

I generally support the plan for Air Quality

No

Please provide any comments below

The tag line is the key to understanding what is missing. Air quality effects all sections of this plan that EC are putting forward. Whilst our health & wellbeing are not trivial matters in themselves there is a lack of air quality reference in regards to climate change as it’s detrimental effect on all things. Your plans have been flawed to date by the lip service paid to it by resource consent. There is no evidence to show this will change any time soon considering the 3 liner on industrial emissions that is greater in certain zones than domestic. Combating domestic emissions seems to be the purpose of this draft even though there have been massive gains in this area and

I generally support the plan for Transport and Urban Development

Yes

Please provide any comments below

There could be more i ovation here such as banning use of drinking water for use on gardens by providing rain harvesting systems.

Overall feedback on the draft Annual Plan 2019/20

I generally support the draft Annual Plan 2019/20

Yes

Please provide any comments below

No Answer

Fees and Charges Policy

I generally support the proposed new charge for non-compliant incident response

Yes

Please provide any comments below

No Answer

I generally support the proposed new charge for annual water-use data management

No

Please provide any comments below

No, stop eating money and just restrict drinking water use to exactly that and use the hundreds of thousands saved for rain harvesting schemes.

I generally support the changes to the existing schedule of fees and charges

Yes

Please provide any comments below

No Answer

If you have any additional comments on the Fees and Charges Policy please provide them below.

No Answer

Revenue and Financing Policy

I generally support the proposed changes to the Revenue and Financing Policy to amend the land value/land area split for Regional Targeted Pest Management rates

Yes

Please provide any comments below

No Answer

I generally support the proposed changes to the Revenue and Financing Policy to create a rating area (including the Port Hills) to support the vision for a pest-free Banks Peninsula

Yes

Please provide any comments below

No Answer

If you have any additional comments on the Revenue and Financing Policy please provide them below.

No Answer

Supporting documents

Attach any supporting documents (if applicable)

No Answer

If you are submitting a video submission, please add your Youtube or Dropbox link in the box below

No Answer

Public Hearing

I wish to speak to Council on my submission

Yes

Public Information

All information contained in a submission under the Local Government Act 2002, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information. Your information is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the Privacy Act 1993. This means that your information may be disclosed to other people who request it in accordance with the terms of these Acts. All submissions are public documents and copies and/or a summary including your name, address and submission will be published on our website. It is therefore important you let us know if your form includes any information including your name and contact details you consider should not be disclosed. Please let us know in the comments box below.

Please provide comments below

No Answer

How did you find out about this consultation?

Social media