

**From:** [Leslie Hogbin](#)  
**To:** [Environment Canterbury](#)  
**Subject:** Annual Plan Submission  
**Date:** Saturday, 9 March 2019 10:01:08 a.m.

---

Leslie Hogbin just submitted the survey 'Draft Annual Plan 2019/20 Feedback' with the responses below.

### **Draft 2019/20 Annual Plan Feedback**

The work of Environment Canterbury is reported on under six portfolios as outlined in the draft Annual Plan document 2019/20. Our website outlines many of the areas we work in, in more detail. Please give your feedback on the activity planned in the comments section under each portfolio and tell us what you support/don't support about the planned activity.

#### **I generally support the plan for Regional Leadership**

No

#### **Please provide any comments below**

The current proposed rates rise tells me the organisation is so out of touch with the community that pays for it and that drastic remedial action is required. To propose this massive degree of rates increase tells me that the organisation is out of control and thus leadership cannot be supported, let alone plans that do not bring leadership back to reality.

#### **I generally support the plan for Freshwater Management**

No

#### **Please provide any comments below**

The fiasco with the china owned water bottling company approval tells me that freshwater management does not pass the first hurdle-it's worthless! Additionally, the history of poor lake and river quality is also at environment Canterbury's door. I see nothing in this plan that changes the appalling management to date.

#### **I generally support the plan for Biodiversity and Biosecurity**

No

#### **Please provide any comments below**

Not enough funding and immediate action to make banks peninsula predator free. Farmers can control rabbits, to even mention rooks is stupid with at best a single bird and nothing about reintroducing native birds.

#### **I generally support the plan for Hazards, Risk and Resilience**

No

#### **Please provide any comments below**

I would like to see who is behind the plan, what type of people and their qualifications and experience. Some critical key aspects involved and the public need confidence in who is

managing this. Unfortunately, ECAN suffers from a history of failure, fiasco and thus poor 'image' leading to a lack of confidence and credibility.

**I generally support the plan for Air Quality**

No Answer

**Please provide any comments below**

No Answer

**I generally support the plan for Transport and Urban Development**

No

**Please provide any comments below**

Until ECAN can work with the city Council this cannot work. The city centre under ECAN's leadership is terrible-why is the centre not traffic free?

**Overall feedback on the draft Annual Plan 2019/20**

**I generally support the draft Annual Plan 2019/20**

No

**Please provide any comments below**

The cost of the rates rise is way too high for the people paying for the plan who have wage rises around 2%. The plan could be 'sold' on added benefits but i don't see any. ECAN has a terrible track record of non-achievement and needs to rectify this before asking for more funds...most people would simply vote to remove the organisation completely.

**Fees and Charges Policy**

**I generally support the proposed new charge for non-compliant incident response**

No

**Please provide any comments below**

No Answer

**I generally support the proposed new charge for annual water-use data management**

No Answer

**Please provide any comments below**

No Answer

**I generally support the changes to the existing schedule of fees and charges**

No Answer

**Please provide any comments below**

No Answer

**If you have any additional comments on the Fees and Charges Policy please provide them below.**

Charges should reflect costs but the issue for ECAN is that service has up till now been well below expectation or what should be reasonably expected.

### **Revenue and Financing Policy**

**I generally support the proposed changes to the Revenue and Financing Policy to amend the land value/land area split for Regional Targeted Pest Management rates**

No Answer

**Please provide any comments below**

No Answer

**I generally support the proposed changes to the Revenue and Financing Policy to create a rating area (including the Port Hills) to support the vision for a pest-free Banks Peninsula**

No Answer

**Please provide any comments below**

No Answer

**If you have any additional comments on the Revenue and Financing Policy please provide them below.**

Who pays for sub standard levels of service?

### **Supporting documents**

**Attach any supporting documents (if applicable)**

No Answer

**If you are submitting a video submission, please add your Youtube or Dropbox link in the box below**

No Answer

### **Public Hearing**

**I wish to speak to Council on my submission**

No

### **Public Information**

All information contained in a submission under the Local Government Act 2002, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information. Your information is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Local

Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the Privacy Act 1993. This means that your information may be disclosed to other people who request it in accordance with the terms of these Acts. All submissions are public documents and copies and/or a summary including your name, address and submission will be published on our website. It is therefore important you let us know if your form includes any information including your name and contact details you consider should not be disclosed. Please let us know in the comments box below.

**Please provide comments below**

No Answer

**How did you find out about this consultation?**

Digital ads (Metservice & Stuff)